

# Deposition of: Planning Commission Meeting

June 10, 2020

In the Matter of:

**Planning Commission Meeting** 

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-734-5292 | calendar-dmv@veritext.com |

	Page 1
1	PLANNING COMMISSION OF TALBOT COUNTY, MARYLAND
2	
3	
4	Planning Commission Meeting
5	
6	
7	June 10, 2020; 9:00 a.m.
8	
9	
10	Wye Oak Room - Talbot County Community Center
11	Easton, Maryland
12	
13	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
14	Phillip Councell
15	William Boicourt - Via Telephone
16	Lisa Ghezzi
17	Paul Spies
18	Michael Strannahan
19	
20	
	Reported by
21	Diane Houlihan

			-
1	Page 2	1	Page 4
1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1	Bill, I'm sorry. The mike was off.
2		2	The county has not received any comments
3	MR. COUNCELL: Okay. I'd like to now call	3	associated from I guess what our letters. At
4	the meeting of the Planning Commission to	4	the same time, from the public hearing that was
5	order.	5	held back in November. At the same time,
6	This is a continuation of our June 3rd	6	written comments were due into MDE on
7	meeting, again, for the application of the Town	7	January 20th. And MDE has not responded to our
8	of Trappe and Trappe East Holdings.	8	comments or anyone else's comments at this
9	So remind everybody that we are not taking	9	time.
10	any additional public comment, but what I would	10	MR. BOICOURT: Okay. Thank you, Ray.
11	like to do at the beginning of the meeting, to	11	MR. COUNCELL: So Ray, while we're on
12	see if any of the Commission members have any	12	this, I have a copy of that letter in front of
13	either legal questions for Tony or technical	13	me. And this brings up a little bit of concern
14	questions for Ray Clarke given the information	14	for me.
15	that we've been presented. We've had a	15	In other words, you questioned some of the
16	mountain of it. It's taken a while to get	16	information in that permit. So if you could
17	through it. But I know I have a few questions.	17	comment on some of those and help us.
18	So anyway, at this point, I would ask Ray	18	Your first thing, you stated that the
19	if he would mind coming forward and we can	19	application was incomplete and inadequate.
20	start.	20	MR. CLARKE: Correct.
21	Bill, are you on the phone?	21	MR. COUNCELL: Could you address that
	Page 3		Page 5
1	MR. BOICOURT: I am. Thanks, Chip.	1	briefly?
2	MR. COUNCELL: Okay. Great, great. Bill,	2	MR. CLARKE: Yes. Based upon the
3	if you want, we could start with you. We have	3	application, one of the things that was
4	Ray and we have Tony with us. If you have any	4	required was a nutrient management plan that
5	concerns or questions for them, we'll go	5	would be submitted as part of the application.
6	forward.	6	There was no nutrient management plan submitted
7	MR. BOICOURT: I'll ask Ray a question	7	as part of that application.
8	that an arrange in this that are harre the letter		
	that's on our mind, is that we have the letter	8	At the same time, I think the other
9	that you wrote, the comments on the application	8 9	At the same time, I think the other question was related to that. There were some
9 10			
	that you wrote, the comments on the application	9	question was related to that. There were some
10	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments	9 10	question was related to that. There were some I guess issues associated with the application.
10 11	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to	9 10 11	question was related to that. There were some I guess issues associated with the application. One was that the president of the Town Council
10 11 12	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE.	9 10 11 12	question was related to that. There were some I guess issues associated with the application. One was that the president of the Town Council at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still
10 11 12 13	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE. And the question is have those issues been	9 10 11 12 13	question was related to that. There were some I guess issues associated with the application. One was that the president of the Town Council at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still in the application.
10 11 12 13 14	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE. And the question is have those issues been resolved at present?	9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>question was related to that. There were some</li><li>I guess issues associated with the application.</li><li>One was that the president of the Town Council</li><li>at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still</li><li>in the application.</li><li>So ultimately it was a recycled</li></ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE. And the question is have those issues been resolved at present? MR. CLARKE: At this time, Bill, the	<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>	<ul><li>question was related to that. There were some</li><li>I guess issues associated with the application.</li><li>One was that the president of the Town Council</li><li>at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still</li><li>in the application.</li><li>So ultimately it was a recycled</li><li>application that had information that was ten</li></ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE. And the question is have those issues been resolved at present? MR. CLARKE: At this time, Bill, the county has not received any response to any of the comments that were generated from the	<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	question was related to that. There were some I guess issues associated with the application. One was that the president of the Town Council at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still in the application. So ultimately it was a recycled application that had information that was ten years ago versus current information. So there were some issues there as well.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE. And the question is have those issues been resolved at present? MR. CLARKE: At this time, Bill, the county has not received any response to any of the comments that were generated from the public hearing or written comments that I think	<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>question was related to that. There were some</li> <li>I guess issues associated with the application.</li> <li>One was that the president of the Town Council at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still in the application.</li> <li>So ultimately it was a recycled</li> <li>application that had information that was ten years ago versus current information. So there were some issues there as well.</li> <li>And I think on top of those, I guess a</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE. And the question is have those issues been resolved at present? MR. CLARKE: At this time, Bill, the county has not received any response to any of the comments that were generated from the public hearing or written comments that I think were due to MDE on January 20th. So at this	<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>question was related to that. There were some</li> <li>I guess issues associated with the application.</li> <li>One was that the president of the Town Council at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still in the application.</li> <li>So ultimately it was a recycled</li> <li>application that had information that was ten years ago versus current information. So there</li> <li>were some issues there as well.</li> <li>And I think on top of those, I guess a couple of other questions that were being</li> </ul>
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you wrote, the comments on the application from November 19th, as well as your comments were incorporated in Corey Pack's letter to MDE. And the question is have those issues been resolved at present? MR. CLARKE: At this time, Bill, the county has not received any response to any of the comments that were generated from the public hearing or written comments that I think	<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>question was related to that. There were some</li> <li>I guess issues associated with the application.</li> <li>One was that the president of the Town Council at the time was Cheryl Lewis. That was still in the application.</li> <li>So ultimately it was a recycled</li> <li>application that had information that was ten years ago versus current information. So there were some issues there as well.</li> <li>And I think on top of those, I guess a</li> </ul>

	Page 6		Page 8
1	management plan, we had no idea what the crops	1	going through it all?
2	would be associated with that.	2	MR. COUNCELL: Absolutely, yup.
3	I think at the same time, I think some of	3	MR. SPIES: A question on the nutrient
4	the questions we raised, some of the questions	4	management plan, and this is for my knowledge,
5	you probably have, was storage requirements, 60	5	with these nutrient management plans, now we
6	days versus 90 days.	6	know that they're not going to be using those
7	At the same time, I think we were had	7	spray fields for one to two years or some
8	some conversations with MDE about the overall	8	extended amount of time. They're just going to
9	process, especially for amending the Comp Water	9	be sending the effluent into the Town of
10	and Sewer Plan. And it was a little	10	Trappe.
11	frustrating for us that when we were talking	11	What is the requirements for that nutrient
12	with MDE, their position was that they	12	management plan and those fields for that
13	considered everything within the town	13	situation? Are they going to give you like a
14	boundaries as being S-1 W-1. And they were	14	three to four-year nutrient management plan or
15	basing that based upon the passage of time,	15	cropping plan?
16	which we took exception to.	16	This is a very unique situation. So I'm
17	We felt that this had to go through the	17	not trying to throw a ringer in it. I'm just
18	Comp Water and Sewer Plan process, through the	18	saying in this unique situation, we know
19	public process, and that ultimately my office	19	they're not going to be using those spray
20	has never received any documents from MDE	20	fields for an extended period of time.
21	indicating that the classification of the S-1	21	When does the nutrient management plan
	Page 7		Page 9
1	W-1 for the Lakeside development.	1	become a necessity and when do they submit it?
2	So usually when we submit the resolution,	2	Because right now, I mean it's just either a
3	the Planning Commission as well as the County	3	crop field or grass land.
4	Council has voted to approve. We submit that	4	MR. CLARKE: Right.
5	to MDE. MDE then basically has up to 90 days.	5	MR. SPIES: And how long I mean how
6	They review that with the Maryland Department	6	many years or how much time frame does that
7	of Planning. They then forward back a letter	7	nutrient management plan need to cover?
8	saying that that is approved.	8	Because like I'm foggy about that and I don't
9	So typically, any application that Talbot	9	understand what is needed to put into this
10	County receives for a Comp Water and Sewer Plan	10	plan.
11	is pending approval of MDE. So we can't really	11	MR. CLARKE: Right. My understanding with
12			
	say that's approved until MDE has reviewed it	12	MDE under the permit is that they're requiring
13	say that's approved until MDE has reviewed it and approved it.	12 13	MDE under the permit is that they're requiring now within six months of spray irrigation that
13 14			
	and approved it.	13	now within six months of spray irrigation that
14	and approved it. So in the case of the Town of Trappe, we	13 14	now within six months of spray irrigation that the nutrient management plan be submitted.
14 15	and approved it. So in the case of the Town of Trappe, we had nothing in our files indicating there was	13 14 15	now within six months of spray irrigation that the nutrient management plan be submitted. I think one of the arguments that we have
14 15 16	and approved it. So in the case of the Town of Trappe, we had nothing in our files indicating there was an S-1 W-1 approval for the Lakeside	13 14 15 16	now within six months of spray irrigation that the nutrient management plan be submitted. I think one of the arguments that we have all been trying to make is that at a bare
14 15 16 17	and approved it. So in the case of the Town of Trappe, we had nothing in our files indicating there was an S-1 W-1 approval for the Lakeside development.	13 14 15 16 17	now within six months of spray irrigation that the nutrient management plan be submitted. I think one of the arguments that we have all been trying to make is that at a bare minimum, there should be a draft management
14 15 16 17 18	and approved it. So in the case of the Town of Trappe, we had nothing in our files indicating there was an S-1 W-1 approval for the Lakeside development. MR. SPIES: Chip. Can each one of these,	13 14 15 16 17 18	now within six months of spray irrigation that the nutrient management plan be submitted. I think one of the arguments that we have all been trying to make is that at a bare minimum, there should be a draft management plan at least presented to show what kind of

	Page 10		Page 12
1	nutrient management plan is missing.	1	they're running it at full tilt. That's what
2	MR. CLARKE: Correct.	2	Paul Spies would like to see.
3	MR. SPIES: Could it not be missing?	3	If that's not a requirement, then there's
4	I mean if they don't plan to use that	4	a lot of things in life I'd like, I'm probably
5	spray field for six months, could it also not	5	not going to get.
6	be missing?	6	But we need to be very clear with the
7	MR. CLARKE: Well, I think one of the	7	applicant about what we require and then say
8	things that I would say is when this was	8	something is not there. Well, if it's not
9	originally coming out back in let's say	9	required until six months prior to starting the
10	2008-ish, if I'm not mistaken, when they first	10	spray irrigation, I'm guessing 99 percent of
11	came out, they did have a meeting. And at that	11	applicants aren't going to turn it in until
12	meeting, MDE highlighted that the nutrient	12	seven months and one day and they're going to
13	management plan could consist of crops and/or	13	make the deadline.
14	trees.	14	So that's my only comment.
15	So the question would be okay, what are	15	But I do think for this process and for us
16	you proposing to do here? There's been no	16	all to make a feel more comfortable with
17	discussion on that, as to whether they're	17	this project moving forward, going above and
18	proposing crops or trees.	18	beyond what we're requiring, it would be
19	And I think the other component would be	19	helpful.
20	is what is the current nutrient management plan	20	MS. GHEZZI: May I actually comment on
21	today? If they've got crops out there, the	21	that particular topic as well?
1		1	
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Page 11 farmer should have a nutrient management plan	1	Page 13 I'm really confused. I mean I've been
1 2		1 2	
	farmer should have a nutrient management plan		I'm really confused. I mean I've been
2	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today.	2	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused.
2 3	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to	2 3	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an
2 3 4	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the	2 3 4	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge
2 3 4 5	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process.	2 3 4 5	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the
2 3 4 5 6	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they	2 3 4 5 6	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as
2 3 4 5 6 7	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public	2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my opinion we need to clarify, because I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean it seems like it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my opinion we need to clarify, because I would like to see, personally I would like to see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean it seems like it's a MR. BOICOURT: This is Bill. I can't hear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my opinion we need to clarify, because I would like to see, personally I would like to see their three-year plan, nutrient management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean it seems like it's a MR. BOICOURT: This is Bill. I can't hear Lisa.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my opinion we need to clarify, because I would like to see, personally I would like to see their three-year plan, nutrient management plan. What they're going to do in the short	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean it seems like it's a MR. BOICOURT: This is Bill. I can't hear Lisa. MS. GHEZZI: Sorry, Bill. I'm just really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my opinion we need to clarify, because I would like to see, personally I would like to see their three-year plan, nutrient management plan. What they're going to do in the short term on those fields, how they're going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean it seems like it's a MR. BOICOURT: This is Bill. I can't hear Lisa. MS. GHEZZI: Sorry, Bill. I'm just really confused because I just handed Ray a copy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my opinion we need to clarify, because I would like to see, personally I would like to see their three-year plan, nutrient management plan. What they're going to do in the short term on those fields, how they're going to manage it, what they're going to do when they're about to start using the spray irrigation field, what they're going to do when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean it seems like it's a MR. BOICOURT: This is Bill. I can't hear Lisa. MS. GHEZZI: Sorry, Bill. I'm just really confused because I just handed Ray a copy of the application, the MDE application with asterisks, the requirement that a nutrient management plan be submitted with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	farmer should have a nutrient management plan for those crops today. MR. SPIES: In my opinion, we just need to be very clear with the applicant and in the process. I have a note that says the nutrient management plan is missing, and we have public comment that says it's not needed until they plan to use the spray field irrigation, six months prior to using the spray irrigation. If we want more than that, then in my opinion we need to clarify, because I would like to see, personally I would like to see their three-year plan, nutrient management plan. What they're going to do in the short term on those fields, how they're going to manage it, what they're going to do when they're about to start using the spray	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm really confused. I mean I've been pouring over documents. I'm really confused. I found this online. This is an application for State ground water discharge permit for domestic wastewater. This is the instructions. And on page two, it says as strict land application method of wastewater discharge, it's required to be submitted with the application. Maybe Mr. Clarke, you could say if I'm looking at the correct application. And I mean it seems like it's a MR. BOICOURT: This is Bill. I can't hear Lisa. MS. GHEZZI: Sorry, Bill. I'm just really confused because I just handed Ray a copy of the application, the MDE application with asterisks, the requirement that a nutrient

	Page 14		Page 16
1	application. And I think that's one of the	1	wastewater treatment plant process.
2	issues that we were running into.	2	So those are the things I think for us it
3	I would also point out, one of the things	3	would have been nice to have.
4	that we kind of have a disconnect here in some	4	MR. SPIES: But again, in the near term,
5	respects, that right now we're looking at the	5	their nutrient management plan is we're sending
6	Comp Water and Sewer Plan. We're amending the	6	it to Trappe wastewater. They're not a
7	Comp Water and Sewer Plan.	7	generator of nutrients in the near term.
8	At the same time, what is also happening	8	MR. CLARKE: And I believe that's also
9	is that the applicant is moving forward with	9	part of the amendment, is to go ahead and now
10	their discharge permit.	10	move that to the Trappe plant for the first
11	So there's kind of two things happening at	11	phases possibly.
12	the same time here.	12	MR. SPIES: So again, we just need so
13	With the Comp Water and Sewer Plan,	13	in the short term, they're not a generator of
14	typically with the Comp Water and Sewer Plan,	14	nutrients. So they're not required to have a
15	what would happened is it's amended, we would	15	nutrient management plan.
16	move into design phases and whatnot, and then	16	MR. CLARKE: As it relates to the
17	ultimately a lot of what's happening with the	17	wastewater treatment plant, correct.
18	permit applications is coming after the Comp	18	MR. SPIES: So they need a nutrient
19	Water and Sewer Plan has been amended.	19	management plan six months prior to becoming a
20	So we're kind of in a unique scenario here	20	generator of nutrients that is field applied,
21	where we've got two things happening at the	21	correct?
	Page 15		Page 17
1	same time. We actually have the Comp Water and	<b>d</b> 1	MR. CLARKE: Correct.
2	Sewer Plan trying to be amended. At the same	2	MR. SPIES: I'm on the right
3	time, the applicant, the town and the	3	MR. CLARKE: Correct.
4	developer, are trying to move forward with	4	MR. SPIES: So in the short term in this
5	their discharge permit for their wastewater	5	application process, what we're voting on is
6	system. So that's one of the things I think is	6	the amendment that says they're going to be
7	happening that's kind of unique.	7	sending nutrients to the Trappe wastewater
8			
	But you are correct. I think that's one	8	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're
9	of the issues that we felt is that there was no	8 9	
9 10	•		treatment facility. That's the amendment we're
	of the issues that we felt is that there was no	9	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct?
10	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was	9 10	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think
10 11	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being	9 10 11	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater
10 11 12	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being proposed out there.	9 10 11 12	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater will either go to what they call phase 1A,
10 11 12 13	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being proposed out there. At the same time, I know questions popped	9 10 11 12 13	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater will either go to what they call phase 1A, which is the new wastewater treatment plant, or
10 11 12 13 14	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being proposed out there. At the same time, I know questions popped up with the Planning Commission is that if	9 10 11 12 13 14	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater will either go to what they call phase 1A, which is the new wastewater treatment plant, or it could be going to the Trappe, the existing
10 11 12 13 14 15	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being proposed out there. At the same time, I know questions popped up with the Planning Commission is that if you're treating it to a higher level, let's say	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater will either go to what they call phase 1A, which is the new wastewater treatment plant, or it could be going to the Trappe, the existing Trappe wastewater treatment plant, until things
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being proposed out there. At the same time, I know questions popped up with the Planning Commission is that if you're treating it to a higher level, let's say ENR level which is three milligrams per liter	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater will either go to what they call phase 1A, which is the new wastewater treatment plant, or it could be going to the Trappe, the existing Trappe wastewater treatment plant, until things can progress to the new treatment plant.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being proposed out there. At the same time, I know questions popped up with the Planning Commission is that if you're treating it to a higher level, let's say ENR level which is three milligrams per liter or less of total nitrogen, now you're	<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ul>	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater will either go to what they call phase 1A, which is the new wastewater treatment plant, or it could be going to the Trappe, the existing Trappe wastewater treatment plant, until things can progress to the new treatment plant. MR. SPIES: Okay.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the issues that we felt is that there was no nutrient management plan submitted. So it was difficult for us to determine what's being proposed out there. At the same time, I know questions popped up with the Planning Commission is that if you're treating it to a higher level, let's say ENR level which is three milligrams per liter or less of total nitrogen, now you're potentially in a position, because under MDE,	<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	treatment facility. That's the amendment we're voting on on the S-1 W-1, correct? MR. CLARKE: Right now, the way I think the amendment one identifies it that wastewater will either go to what they call phase 1A, which is the new wastewater treatment plant, or it could be going to the Trappe, the existing Trappe wastewater treatment plant, until things can progress to the new treatment plant. MR. SPIES: Okay. MR. CLARKE: But again

1	Page 18		Page 20
1	be for Tony.	1	one. And then you've got the 2016, the most
2	So Tony, as a Planning Commission, are we	2	recent county Comprehensive Plan.
3	getting ahead of ourselves when we are delving	3	So the charge is to look at those two
4	into MDE's permit and what it entails as	4	proposals and to figure out is the proposed
5	opposed to the overall Comprehensive Water and	5	Comp Water and Sewer Plan amendment consistent
6	Sewer Plan?	6	with the county 2016 Comprehensive Plan.
7	MR. KUPERSMITH: First let me just ask, do	7	So take from that what you will, but the
8	we want to do a closed session for legal advice	8	issues of the nutrient management plan, what
9	where I can provide legal advice to the	9	MDE requires in its application for a discharge
10	Commission?	10	permit, I'll let you draw your own conclusions
11	Do you want to do the technical questions	11	about that, unless you want me to delve into it
12	with Ray and then do a closed session for legal	12	further in a legal advice session.
13	advice?	13	But Mr. Clarke also pointed out that under
14	MR. STRANNAHAN: I think so.	14	the State law, you would typically have, it
15	MR. SPIES: I think Chip's question is	15	does seem, you would typically have a Comp
16	pertinent to get answered because if it's not,	16	Water and Sewer Plan amended first and then you
17	we could go through an hour of Ray answering it	17	would have applications for a discharge permit
18	and then you tell us that it's not pertinent.	18	and then a facilities permit. Because the
19	Would be kind of a, in my mind so I think to	19	relevant sections in the State law, in the code
20	have	20	in COMAR, talk about whether or not the
21	MR. KUPERSMITH: Okay. I'll try to	21	discharge permit or the facilities permit are
	Page 19		Page 21
1	balance things, then, and just rather than	1	consistent with the county Water and Sewer
1	8	-	consistent with the county water and Sewer
2	trying to apply the law to the facts, which	2	Plan.
2 3	•		·
	trying to apply the law to the facts, which	2	Plan.
3	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just	2 3	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at
34	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the	2 3 4	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE
3 4 5	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the	2 3 4 5	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water
3 4 5 6	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the	2 3 4 5 6	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE
3 4 5 6 7	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county
3 4 5 6 7 8	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article, it talks about the factors that are to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out. And here you do have this parallel track
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article, it talks about the factors that are to be considered when you're looking at consistency.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out. And here you do have this parallel track thing going on. And that has injected some
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article, it talks about the factors that are to be considered when you're looking at consistency. And that's what, at our last meeting that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out. And here you do have this parallel track thing going on. And that has injected some confusion into the process. And it's hard to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article, it talks about the factors that are to be considered when you're looking at consistency. And that's what, at our last meeting that we had, that's what Mr. Councell went through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out. And here you do have this parallel track thing going on. And that has injected some confusion into the process. And it's hard to know what to attribute that parallel track to.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article, it talks about the factors that are to be considered when you're looking at consistency. And that's what, at our last meeting that we had, that's what Mr. Councell went through and the Commission went through are all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out. And here you do have this parallel track thing going on. And that has injected some confusion into the process. And it's hard to know what to attribute that parallel track to. I guess you could infer that they're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article, it talks about the factors that are to be considered when you're looking at consistency. And that's what, at our last meeting that we had, that's what Mr. Councell went through and the Commission went through are all of those factors. So that's really what's before the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out. And here you do have this parallel track thing going on. And that has injected some confusion into the process. And it's hard to know what to attribute that parallel track to. I guess you could infer that they're trying to just move ahead as quickly as possible by doing things on a parallel track. It's also possible that due to the history of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	trying to apply the law to the facts, which would be sort of more legal advice, I'll just try to say what the statute says. So for the Planning Commission, the requirement to certify consistency is in the Environment Article section 9506. And that says that the county planning agency shall certify consistency with the county Comprehensive Plan. And then you have in the Land Use Article, it talks about the factors that are to be considered when you're looking at consistency. And that's what, at our last meeting that we had, that's what Mr. Councell went through and the Commission went through are all of those factors. So that's really what's before the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Plan. So it makes sense. If MDE has to look at consistency with the county Water and Sewer Plan, it would make sense that the county Water and Sewer Plan is first amended and then MDE looks at it and says okay, is what they're proposing to do with discharge or with their facility consistent with the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan. So I think that's what he's pointing out. And here you do have this parallel track thing going on. And that has injected some confusion into the process. And it's hard to know what to attribute that parallel track to. I guess you could infer that they're trying to just move ahead as quickly as possible by doing things on a parallel track.

	Page 22		Page 24
1	history of it, it could be that that's why	1	know how else to say this other than I'm okay
2	we're dealing with this.	2	with three, I'm not okay with eight.
3	But again, I'm not going to make any	3	So could you address that?
4	I'll let you all draw your own conclusions	4	MR. CLARKE: Sure thing. Let me just say
5	about why we are where we are with this.	5	that as part of the application that you all
6	MR. COUNCELL: Another question for you.	6	are reviewing, Resolution 281 and amendment
7	And again, if you think it should be closed	7	one, in those documents the applicant has
8	session, but I'm okay with it.	8	basically identified an ENR facility, which is
9	So I think both Ray and the applicant	9	three milligrams per liter of total nitrogen
10	stated that as far as MDE is concerned, the	10	and .3 milligrams per liter of total
11	entire property is S-1, but can they move	11	phosphorus.
12	forward? In other words, I think the applicant	12	The permit, the discharge permit, MDE will
13	is saying they could move forward with or	13	write the permit around a BNR facility, which
14	without the county's blessing at this point.	14	will be eight milligrams per liter of nitrogen
15	Do you agree with that?	15	and possibly something higher for total
16	MR. KUPERSMITH: The applicant has	16	phosphorus. I have to look at the permit on
17	indicated that. And Ray has indicated that he	17	the total phosphorus.
18	believes that MDE has a certain interpretation	18	So in those scenarios, MDE in their I
19	of the county Comprehensive Water and Sewer	19	guess calculations, if you want to call it
20	Plan.	20	that, for spray irrigation believed that the
21	The County's position is that the	21	eight milligrams per liter that's delivered
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan needs to be	1	from the wastewater treatment plant at that
2	amended first and that the parcels in question	2	root zone will be net zero for total nitrogen.
3	would need to be designated S-1 W-1 in order	3	That's something that we don't have MDE's
4	for there to be a discharge permit or a	4	calculations on, but that would have to be
5	facilities permit issued. So that's the	5	provided by MDE.
6	county's view.	6	But at the same time, the applicant, the
7	The applicant and MDE may have their own	7	Town of Trappe, has proposed an ENR facility,
8	interpretations, but the County's view is that	8	which is three milligrams per liter for total
9	we need to have an amendment to the county	9	nitrogen.
10	Water and Sewer Plan to designate an area	10	And I think as Tony pointed out, what we
11	immediate priority status, S-1, before a	11	would probably look at is if Resolution 281 and
12	discharge permit is issued to connect	12	amendment one were adopted, then what we could
13	residential properties or other properties to a	13	then go back to the State on is that the Comp
14	sewer system.	14	Water and Sewer Plan identifies an ENR
15	MR. COUNCELL: Thank you.	15	facility. So that should be how the permit
16	So I guess, Ray, back to you. And I	16	should be drafted based upon that ENR facility.
17	think so the BNR treatment facility allows	17	MR. COUNCELL: Paul.
18	eight milligrams per liter?	18	MR. SPIES: So if this amendment passes,
19	MR. CLARKE: That's correct.	19	they're legally required to have an ENR system?
20	MR. COUNCELL: The applicant has testified	20	MR. CLARKE: It's part of the plan.
21	to the ENR system, which is three. And I don't	21	I think MDE, from what I've I've had

	Page 26		Page 28
1	some conversations with MDE related to this.	1	I mean I just, in good conscience, I see
2	They're a little reluctant to utilize a BNR	2	no assurances.
3	I'm sorry, an ENR permit requirement for spray	3	Anyway, let me just count the lines down
4	irrigation because that would establish a	4	where I am starting. And I'll count every line
5	precedent for spray irrigation that can be	5	that has letters on it. One, two, three, four,
6	utilized elsewhere in the State.	6	five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, 11, in the
7	MR. SPIES: I guess what Chip needs an	7	12th line, the underlined portion on page seven
8	answer to is he's not okay with eight, but he's	8	of the proposed amendment one to Resolution 281
9	okay with three. So we need to know before	9	dated February 11, 2020.
10	passing this amendment	10	The initial treatment and disposal
11	MR. CLARKE: Passing the amendment	11	capacity I'm sorry, I should have started
12	MR. SPIES: It requires to three.	12	with next line. Startup wastewater
13	MR. CLARKE: We would have a better	13	treatment for the Lakeside project may be
14	argument with MDE of pushing three milligrams	14	provided by a modular ENRB NBR WWTP capable of
15	per liter.	15	operating at the initial development flow rates
16	MR. SPIES: A better argument or it would	16	or by a direct connection to the existing
17	require three?	17	Trappe wastewater system.
18	MR. CLARKE: I think at this point in	18	In reading this, I have no assurances that
19	time, the applicant has also indicated they're	19	this is going to be what they're actually going
20	willing to move forward with the ENR facility.	20	to be doing. So how can I assure consistency
21	So I would say at this point three	21	if I have no assurance of what they're going to
	so i would suf at ans point anoe	21	If I have no assurance of what they ie going to
	Page 27	21	Page 29
1	· · ·	1	
	Page 27		Page 29
1	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go.	1	Page 29 be doing?
1 2	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What	1 2	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting
1 2 3	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding	1 2 3	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with
1 2 3 4	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing?	1 2 3 4	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that	1 2 3 4 5	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind? MR. CLARKE: That's basically all we have.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind? MR. CLARKE: That's basically all we have. MS. GHEZZI: Okay. That's basically all	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system. When would they even switch over? We have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind? MR. CLARKE: That's basically all we have. MS. GHEZZI: Okay. That's basically all we have.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system. When would they even switch over? We have no assurances.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind? MR. CLARKE: That's basically all we have. MS. GHEZZI: Okay. That's basically all we have. So here I'm seeing a may, not a shall, but	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system. When would they even switch over? We have no assurances. And could the current wastewater treatment
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind? MR. CLARKE: That's basically all we have. MS. GHEZZI: Okay. That's basically all we have. So here I'm seeing a may, not a shall, but a may. That to me is not an assurance.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system. When would they even switch over? We have no assurances. And could the current wastewater treatment plant even handle this?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind? MR. CLARKE: That's basically all we have. MS. GHEZZI: Okay. That's basically all we have. So here I'm seeing a may, not a shall, but a may. That to me is not an assurance. Could you help to interpret this for me,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system. When would they even switch over? We have no assurances. And could the current wastewater treatment plant even handle this? I have I understand that there may have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 27 milligrams or ENR would be the way to go. MS. GHEZZI: Could I ask a question? What assurances do we have other than people nodding their heads? Do we have something in writing? MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things that we do have is that they've submitted their application within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan with ENR. So that has been identified within the Comp Water and Sewer Plan. MS. GHEZZI: Is this amendment an assurance in your mind? MR. CLARKE: That's basically all we have. MS. GHEZZI: Okay. That's basically all we have. So here I'm seeing a may, not a shall, but a may. That to me is not an assurance. Could you help to interpret this for me, Mr. Clarke? This is on page seven of the green	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 29 be doing? MR. CLARKE: That, as far as the drafting of the documents, has been in conjunction with the Town of Trappe as well as the developer. The question would be if they were willing to change that from may to a shall, that would be the question that would be posed to the Town of Trappe. MS. GHEZZI: And there's an either-or there. Let me further note, they may connect to the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system. When would they even switch over? We have no assurances. And could the current wastewater treatment plant even handle this? I have I understand that there may have been a grant application to connect 11 homes in

Page 30	1	Page 32
		convinced that we were going with an ENR
		technology with the three milligrams at the
		last three meetings we've had, but besides
		that, now we're hooking up to the local plant
		which is not meeting standards.
		So first, we have no assurances that
		they're going to use ENR.
• • • •		And second of all, they're hooking up to
		an existing plant and we don't even know when
		they're going to switch over, and a plant
	11	that's not that's been turned down
wastewater treatment plant for the Town of	12	apparently for not meeting standards.
Trappe has a hydraulic design of	13	MR. CLARKE: My understanding is that
200,000 gallons a day. They're currently	14	they're also, the town is also pursuing or
doing they have about 100,000 gallons. So	15	having a preliminary engineering report
they have 50,000 or 50 percent capacity	16	completed to actually upgrade their existing
remaining at their wastewater treatment plant.	17	wastewater treatment plant with ENR
I believe in the early 2000s, the Trappe	18	technologies, but I don't know the time frame.
wastewater treatment plant was upgraded with	19	That's a question for the Town of Trappe.
what they call Biolac, which is a BNR	20	I very quickly would just highlight that
technology. That Biolac system was supposed to	21	when it comes to I guess the management of the
Page 31		Page 33
have met eight milligrams per liter of total	1	Town of Trappe's water and wastewater system,
have met eight milligrams per liter of total nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter	1 2	Town of Trappe's water and wastewater system, typically they're referred to as the
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter	2	typically they're referred to as the
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus.	2 3	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving	2 3 4	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp	2 3 4 5	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on	2 3 4 5 6	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities.
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we	2 3 4 5 6 7	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those property owners in connecting to the town	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe meeting the requirements that are established
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those property owners in connecting to the town wastewater system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe meeting the requirements that are established by MDE.
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those property owners in connecting to the town wastewater system. At that point in time, MDE said that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe meeting the requirements that are established by MDE. MR. COUNCELL: Tony, at this point, would
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those property owners in connecting to the town wastewater system. At that point in time, MDE said that they did not qualify for BRF funds because their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe meeting the requirements that are established by MDE. MR. COUNCELL: Tony, at this point, would you like to weigh in on amendment one, the may
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those property owners in connecting to the town wastewater system. At that point in time, MDE said that they did not qualify for BRF funds because their plant was not consistently meeting the BNR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe meeting the requirements that are established by MDE. MR. COUNCELL: Tony, at this point, would you like to weigh in on amendment one, the may instead of the shall?
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those property owners in connecting to the town wastewater system. At that point in time, MDE said that they did not qualify for BRF funds because their plant was not consistently meeting the BNR requirements through their facility.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities. MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe meeting the requirements that are established by MDE. MR. COUNCELL: Tony, at this point, would you like to weigh in on amendment one, the may instead of the shall? And then the applicant says they have
nitrogen and possibly two milligrams per liter of total phosphorus. At that point in time when we were moving forward with the application to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan for the 11 properties on Howell Point Road, the hope was is that we could then turn around, once that was amended, to the State of Maryland and say okay, now we'd like to go ahead and get BRF money, Bay Restoration Funding or money, to assist those property owners in connecting to the town wastewater system. At that point in time, MDE said that they did not qualify for BRF funds because their plant was not consistently meeting the BNR requirements through their facility. MS. GHEZZI: Okay. So fast forward, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>typically they're referred to as the controlling authority. The Department of Public Works for Talbot County, we're the controlling authority for St. Michael's and our facilities.</li> <li>MDE basically is the regulator. And so ultimately they're the ones that are issuing the permits, they're overseeing and managing the permits. So in those scenarios, it's basically going to be the Town of Trappe meeting the requirements that are established by MDE.</li> <li>MR. COUNCELL: Tony, at this point, would you like to weigh in on amendment one, the may instead of the shall?</li> <li>And then the applicant says they have applied for an ENR system. So does that have</li> </ul>
	MR. CLARKE: Well, first off, yeah. I think the Howell Point, there were 11 properties on Howell Point Road. The county basically worked with the Town of Trappe to amend the Comp Water and Sewer Plan to bring those 11 properties, they're currently on water from the Town of Trappe, bring those 11 properties into the sewer system. My understanding, again, you have to go back to the town, my understanding is the wastewater treatment plant for the Town of Trappe has a hydraulic design of 200,000 gallons a day. They're currently doing they have about 100,000 gallons. So they have 50,000 or 50 percent capacity remaining at their wastewater treatment plant. I believe in the early 2000s, the Trappe wastewater treatment plant was upgraded with what they call Biolac, which is a BNR technology. That Biolac system was supposed to	MR. CLARKE: Well, first off, yeah. I1think the Howell Point, there were 112properties on Howell Point Road.3The county basically worked with the Town4of Trappe to amend the Comp Water and Sewer5Plan to bring those 11 properties, they're6currently on water from the Town of Trappe,7bring those 11 properties into the sewer8system.9My understanding, again, you have to go10back to the town, my understanding is the11wastewater treatment plant for the Town of12Trappe has a hydraulic design of13200,000 gallons a day. They're currently14doing they have about 100,000 gallons. So15they have 50,000 or 50 percent capacity16remaining at their wastewater treatment plant.17I believe in the early 2000s, the Trappe18wastewater treatment plant was upgraded with19what they call Biolac, which is a BNR20technology. That Biolac system was supposed to21

	Page 34		Page 36
1	to keep open the option of serving the initial	1	a connection to its current facility, based on
2	phase of the development either by a new	2	that projection they would need 40,000 gallons
3	facility that they construct pursuant to the	3	of free capacity.
4	permits that they're seeking from MDE or from	4	Ray has indicated that he believes that
5	the existing Town of Trappe wastewater system.	5	the facility has 50 percent capacity remaining.
6	So that's what my understanding with the may	6	I think the facility is about
7	is.	7	200,000-gallon-per-day facility.
8	So it seems that if this were approved,	8	So based on the current estimates, it
9	this amendment were approved, then if they	9	would seem that they would have enough capacity
10	decided to do the first phase of the	10	to absorb that. But is the system operating up
11	development with the existing Trappe facility,	11	to MDE standards, I can't answer that right
12	I'm not certain what additional approvals from	12	now.
13	MDE would be needed at that stage, because the	13	They collect monitoring reports I guess
14	permits that they're applying for from MDE	14	each month that has the data for what sort of
15	right now pertain to the construction of a new	15	output the facility is doing.
16	facility.	16	As Ms. Ghezzi has pointed out, there I
17	So if they decided to exercise that	17	guess were some concerns earlier related to Bay
18	clause, the may clause, and go with the	18	Restoration Funding.
19	existing system, the following sentence talks	19	So is there some uncertainty associated
20	about connections to the existing Town of	20	with that, I suppose you could draw that
21	Trappe wastewater system is subject to the	21	conclusion. However, that is an existing
	Page 35		Page 37
1	approval of the Town of Trappe, available	1	facility that's approved by MDE and that
2	wastewater capacity, and adequate access to the	2	appears to have or there's a suggestion that it
3	collection system.	3	has sufficient capacity to accommodate the 30
4	So Trappe is essentially through this		
5		4	to 40,000 gallons per day.
5	saying these conditions would have to be met in	4 5	to 40,000 gallons per day. And the alternative is the construction of
6			
	saying these conditions would have to be met in	5	And the alternative is the construction of
6	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection.	5 6	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the
6 7	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is	5 6 7	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this
6 7 8	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding	5 6 7 8	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the
6 7 8 9	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says	5 6 7 8 9	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all
6 7 8 9 10	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside	5 6 7 8 9 10	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide
6 7 8 9 10 11	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and sales center and it projects that the capacity	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say that this is the kind of facility, this is the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and sales center and it projects that the capacity	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say that this is the kind of facility, this is the only kind of facility that's envisioned as far
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and sales center and it projects that the capacity required will be 30 to 40,000 gallons per day. So presumably, and that's not binding language in the sense that it's basically	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say that this is the kind of facility, this is the only kind of facility that's envisioned as far as the new facility is concerned. How much is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and sales center and it projects that the capacity required will be 30 to 40,000 gallons per day. So presumably, and that's not binding	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say that this is the kind of facility, this is the only kind of facility that's envisioned as far as the new facility is concerned. How much is the door left open to some other kind of facility, it does clearly talk about an NBR ENR wastewater treatment plant. There's not a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and sales center and it projects that the capacity required will be 30 to 40,000 gallons per day. So presumably, and that's not binding language in the sense that it's basically	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say that this is the kind of facility, this is the only kind of facility that's envisioned as far as the new facility is concerned. How much is the door left open to some other kind of facility, it does clearly talk about an NBR ENR wastewater treatment plant. There's not a discussion of another type of plant.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and sales center and it projects that the capacity required will be 30 to 40,000 gallons per day. So presumably, and that's not binding language in the sense that it's basically saying that's the projected amount of homes in the initial phase will be 84 plus the sales center. So it's not saying that that's the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say that this is the kind of facility, this is the only kind of facility that's envisioned as far as the new facility is concerned. How much is the door left open to some other kind of facility, it does clearly talk about an NBR ENR wastewater treatment plant. There's not a discussion of another type of plant. And so the question that it seems like
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	saying these conditions would have to be met in order for us to allow that initial connection. The initial phase of the project is discussed a little bit in the preceding sentences. And it's talking about, it says phase one section one of the proposed Lakeside project is expected to include approximately 84 family residential dwellings and a visitor and sales center and it projects that the capacity required will be 30 to 40,000 gallons per day. So presumably, and that's not binding language in the sense that it's basically saying that's the projected amount of homes in the initial phase will be 84 plus the sales	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And the alternative is the construction of a new facility. And I think that to get to the issues that have been raised about does this have teeth in terms of the discharge, the milligrams per liter, I think that you all would need to parse this language to decide whether is the language strong enough to say that this is the kind of facility, this is the only kind of facility that's envisioned as far as the new facility is concerned. How much is the door left open to some other kind of facility, it does clearly talk about an NBR ENR wastewater treatment plant. There's not a discussion of another type of plant.

	Page 42		Page 44
1	14 inches.	1	track. Sorry.
2	MS. GHEZZI: Fourteen inches annually?	2	MR. COUNCELL: Any more questions?
3	MR. CLARKE: I think they're adding here	3	MR. BOICOURT: Ray?
4	14 inches rainfall, whatever that is during	4	MR. CLARKE: Yes.
5	that period of time. So that has been included	5	MR. BOICOURT: I'm looking at the letter
6	in that volume of that.	6	from Corey Pack, which is your words as part of
7	All they've done is they basically said	7	the November 19th. And there's an item six in
8	that in their storage lagoons, they put in 14	8	there, and I just want to quote.
9	inches of rainfall. So that volume for 14	9	As part of the permit review, MDE should
10	inches is built into their total volume.	10	consider the Bay TMDLs and the John D. Hynes &
11	MS. GHEZZI: So what period is this over?	11	Associates, Incorporated hydrological
12	MR. CLARKE: If you took the total volumes	12	evaluation of the proposed spray site as it
13	that they've identified and then divided that	13	relates to (inaudible) storm water runoff and
14	by their 540,000 gallons per day, that would	14	the proposed spray irrigation to determine the
15	then establish 245 days.	15	impacts of the proposed development on the
16	MS. GHEZZI: Rainfall is at 14 inches at	16	streams within the Choptank Watershed.
17	different places. And we've had up to	17	I just want to endorse that and say that
18	90 inches.	18	it is clear we have no comeback from the
19	How is that taken into consideration?	19	Department of the Environment on this issue.
20	MR. CLARKE: I think what you have to	20	And I think it's extremely important.
21	remember is this is going to be a dynamic	21	MR. CLARKE: Yes, I agree.
	Page 43		Page 45
1	scenario.	1	MR. STRANNAHAN: If I may, just to bring
2	MS. GHEZZI: Right.	2	myself up to speed with what we've been talking
3	MR. CLARKE: So effluent is going into	3	about today, both from Ray and Tony, that if
4	that pond. As it's collected in that pond,	4	working backwards a little bit, so with the 14
5	there could be rainfall. And then they'll	5	inches of rainfall. If we get by the time
6	spray irrigate when the temperature or the	6	we have 14 inches of rainfall, they need to
7	weather is nice enough. They can spray	7	start spray irrigating to bring the level down.
8	irrigate with less strong winds. So it's	8	Is that correct?
9	always going to be going up and down for the	9	MR. CLARKE: Typically what's going to
10	lagoons.	10	happen, again, like I said, it's a dynamic
11	So I think if they were to get let's	11	scenario.
12	say they got 14 inches in one day, that's more	12	MR. STRANNAHAN: Sure.
13	than enough capacity in there. But again,	13	MR. CLARKE: What is going to happen is
14	they're going to be spray	14	that I don't believe the Town of Trappe as the
15	MS. GHEZZI: So you're saying 14 inches in	15	operator of the facility is going to wait until
16	one day, then. Okay. That's clear, then.	16	the lagoons are full.
17	MR. CLARKE: What they've done is they've	17	MR. STRANNAHAN: Right.
18	taken the volume. They've taken the volume and	18	MR. CLARKE: Before they spray irrigate.
19	they've added 14 inches of depth for rainfall	19	So typically what's going to happen is
20	is probably the easiest	20	they're going to let volumes build up into that
21	MS. GHEZZI: I didn't mean to take you off	21	spray lagoon.

	Page 46		Page 48
1	As those volumes build up, when the	1 for approval, but with that application a	
2	weather is nice like today, they'll be spray	2 nutrient management plan.	
3	irrigating. And if I'm not mistaken, their	3 And so at that time, if the nutrient	
4	permit is going to require them to put in as	4 management plan, which will have to state wh	
5	much as one inch a week out on the spray	5	crops will be planted and for whatever period
6	fields.	6	of time the requirement is before that has to
7	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay.	7	be updated, MDE will look at that and certify
8	MR. CLARKE: So I don't think any	8	whether or not that meets their requirements.
9	operator, other than like I said the biosolids	9	And if it does not meet their requirements,
10	facility, we are prohibited for spray	10	they will deny the applications to move forward
11	irrigating let's say for the months of December	11	at that point?
12	through February. We actually have, for our	12	MR. CLARKE: As far as discharge permit,
13	20,000-gallon-per-day facility, we have over	13	yes.
14	2 million gallons of capacity because we hold	14	I think one of the things I would just
15	everything for that period. And the reason we	15	also highlight for the Planning Commission as
16	do that is that for us, we cannot spray	16	well is that the Hynes' report, which was
17	irrigate unless there is vegetation on the	17	actually given to us on the night of the public
18	ground because that is our nutrient uptake at	18	hearing that they had down in the Town of
19	that facility.	19	Trappe, also identifies the NBR treatment
20	I believe here with the Town of Trappe,	20	system. So it has been identified in their
21	again, be associated with their nutrient	21	hydrogeological report of using the NBR as
	Page 47		Page 49
1	management plan, whatever the crops they're	1	well. So there's (inaudible) of utilizing the
2	growing, they could spray irrigate. And MDE	2	NBR ENR technology for that treatment system.
3	has allowed that as long as you have vegetation	3	MR. STRANNAHAN: Thank you.
4	on the ground, that you could spray irrigate at	4	
-		т	MR. COUNCELL: Further questions for
5	any time of the year.	5	MR. COUNCELL: Further questions for either Ray or Tony?
5 6	any time of the year. MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving	5	•
		5	either Ray or Tony?
6	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving	5 6	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be
6 7	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the	5 6 7	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay.
6 7 8	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're	5 6 7 8	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission,
6 7 8 9	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on.	5 6 7 8 9	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how
6 7 8 9 10	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel	5 6 7 8 9 10	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the
6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things got ahead, assumptions were made. But as of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas? It's my understanding that one area of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things got ahead, assumptions were made. But as of right now, if we pass this amendment, that then	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas? It's my understanding that one area of testing for sensitive areas is the actual
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things got ahead, assumptions were made. But as of right now, if we pass this amendment, that then the tracks are no longer really parallel. They	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas? It's my understanding that one area of testing for sensitive areas is the actual adequacy of that facility. And that's what
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things got ahead, assumptions were made. But as of right now, if we pass this amendment, that then the tracks are no longer really parallel. They have to go to MDE for the permits moving	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas? It's my understanding that one area of testing for sensitive areas is the actual adequacy of that facility. And that's what we've been looking at, ENR versus the existing
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things got ahead, assumptions were made. But as of right now, if we pass this amendment, that then the tracks are no longer really parallel. They have to go to MDE for the permits moving forward. And then that with six months or more	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas? It's my understanding that one area of testing for sensitive areas is the actual adequacy of that facility. And that's what we've been looking at, ENR versus the existing wastewater treatment plant, what technologies
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things got ahead, assumptions were made. But as of right now, if we pass this amendment, that then the tracks are no longer really parallel. They have to go to MDE for the permits moving forward. And then that with six months or more before they want to start operating the plant	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas? It's my understanding that one area of testing for sensitive areas is the actual adequacy of that facility. And that's what we've been looking at, ENR versus the existing wastewater treatment plant, what technologies are going to be used. There's no assurances
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STRANNAHAN: Okay. So then moving back, we talked about much earlier in the meeting about the parallel tracks that we're on. I'm assuming we're somewhat on parallel because this thing started years ago, things got ahead, assumptions were made. But as of right now, if we pass this amendment, that then the tracks are no longer really parallel. They have to go to MDE for the permits moving forward. And then that with six months or more before they want to start operating the plant that they build, assuming that they hook up to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	either Ray or Tony? MS. GHEZZI: I have a question that may be applicable to Ray or to Miguel or to Mary Kay. And in terms of the Planning Commission, are we looking at adequate facilities and how they help to protect what's demonstrated in the Comprehensive Plan to be sensitive areas? It's my understanding that one area of testing for sensitive areas is the actual adequacy of that facility. And that's what we've been looking at, ENR versus the existing wastewater treatment plant, what technologies are going to be used. There's no assurances what's going to be used.

	Page 50		Page 52	
1	of adequacy of the facilities to determine	1 the zoning ordinance stream protection buffers		
2	consistency with the Comprehensive Plan?	2 outside of the critical area (inaudible)		
3	MR. SALINAS: So a lot of the policies	3	perennial streams 50 feet and 100 feet	
4	that you're referring to as well as text	4	respectively, but that's embedded in our zoning	
5	language in the Comprehensive Plan is located	5	ordinance for Talbot County. That has no	
6	in Chapter six, which is the natural resources	6	impact on the Town of Trappe's, any specific	
7	section.	7	stream protection policies in their	
8	That section does point out that public	8	Comprehensive Plan or stream protection	
9	wastewater systems that are not owned by Talbot	9	regulations in their zoning ordinance.	
10	County but one of them being the Town of	10	And so to sum up my answer, there isn't a	
11	Trappe. And there isn't a lot of specificity	11	lot of specificity in Chapter six under natural	
12	in that natural resources section related to	12	resources that deals with the Town of Trappe's	
13	the Town of Trappe other than pointing out its	13	wastewater treatment plant system.	
14	available capacity and then future demand	14	MS. GHEZZI: Okay.	
15	projections for the capacity on that wastewater	15	MR. CLARKE: To answer your question about	
16	system that Trappe currently has.	16	adequate facilities, I would just note that at	
17	There is a reference in Chapter six about	17	this point in time I'm not aware of the consent	
18	the lack of available capacity under the	18	order that has been imposed on the existing	
19	existing Town of Trappe wastewater treatment	19	wastewater treatment at Town of Trappe.	
20	plant as it's projected I think to 2030, unless	20	At the same time, I am not aware of any	
21	there is a proposed 540,000-gallon-per-day	21	prohibition for them not moving forward with	
	Page 51		Page 53	
1	wastewater treatment plant upgrade and spray	1	any new development within the Town of Trappe	
2	irrigation system, unless that's built to	2	that would utilize that facility. That would	
3	support the Lakeside project.	3	actually come from the MDE through their	
4	And then it talks a little bit about	4	enforcement. If there were issues that said	
5	alternative wastewater disposal as an	5	you were not allowed to do anything, you would	
6	alternative to doing a point source outfall	6	be prohibited from basically doing any new	
7	directly into a stream, that spray irrigation	7	construction and connecting that to the	
8	may be appropriate for larger public systems	8	treatment plant, MDE would have made that	
9	due to the ability to have nutrient uptake.	9	order.	
10	But everything else from my reading of the	10	MS. GHEZZI: And is historically MDE on	
11	Comprehensive Plan under Chapter six,	11	top of that in other jurisdictions as well as	
12	everything else really talks about it does	12	ours if those issues come up at a local level	
13	talk about (inaudible) waters, but everything	13	where they have the jurisdiction?	
14	else really doesn't deal with what's happening	14	MR. CLARKE: They would make that call.	
15	inside of Trappe but what's happening outside	15	MS. GHEZZI: I'm just asking historically	
16	of Trappe, outside of the incorporated	16	have they made that call?	
17	municipalities in Talbot County.	17	MR. CLARKE: They have, yes. Sometimes it	
18	For instance, it talks about stream	18	takes them a while to do so, but they have made	
19	buffers in the critical area, for example. And	19	those calls.	
17	surfers in the entited drea, for example. This			
20	it talks about stream buffers outside of the critical area. We do have, for instance, in	20	MS. GHEZZI: So in the meantime, runoff	

1	Page 54 MR. CLARKE: Or I would probably say with	1	Page 56 MR. COUNCELL: Thank you very much.
2	this, with the facilities with the wastewater	2	At this time, then what's the pleasure of
3	treatment plant, if they're not meeting the	3	the group? If you want to go into executive
4	permit, that would be something that has to	4	session, now is the time to make that motion.
5	come through MDE. They have to delegate	5	MS. GHEZZI: Yeah. I understand. I would
6	authority from the EPA.	6	like to have Mr. Kupersmith respond to your
7	So if they're finding that the Town of	7	question from earlier. I'd like to get
8	Trappe has what they call a significant notice	8	legal
9	of violations, then what would end up happening	8 9	MR. COUNCELL: So it's my understanding
10	is MDE would say okay, you've got to address	10	that Commissioner Ghezzi is making a motion to
10	that. Then as part of that addressing of those	10	go into executive session to consult with our
11	issues, MDE would then issue a consent order to	11	attorney on legal matters at five minutes of
12	the town. And through that, there would be I	12	
13	guess milestones that would have to be met	13 14	ten.
	within that consent order that would come		MR. SALINAS: Mr. Chairman, may I suggest
15		15	that given that we don't have phones now, we're
16	through the AG's office.	16	not using the phones in the room for our
17	As it relates to storm water, that storm	17	meeting, that when we go into executive
18	water actually would be something that would	18	session, that we actually leave the room.
19	probably, as part of the development's concern,	19 20	I think there's adequate space out in the
20	that would have to be incorporated as part of	20	lobby to social distance and have executive
21	the town's review of the developer's storm	21	session.
	Page 55		Page 57
1	water management strategies. And then at that	1	I would ask anybody listening, either
2	point, it would be monitored.	2	through live stream YouTube or on Webex call,
3	MR. COUNCELL: Okay.	3	to just if they want to wait, to please don't
4	MS. GHEZZI: Thank you, Mr. Clarke.	4	hang up or don't leave the live stream meeting
5	MR. COUNCELL: Further questions?	5	and we'll be back once executive session has
6	Mr. Strannahan?	6	ended.
7	MR. STRANNAHAN: No.	7	MR. SPIES: (Inaudible.)
8	MR. COUNCELL: Ms. Ghezzi?	8	MR. BOICOURT: Here is a request from
9	MS. GHEZZI: Are we going to go into	9	Bill, who is on the phone call. Could somebody
10	session, closed-door session?	10	at the end of that executive session just give
11	MR. COUNCELL: If anybody feels the need	11	me a synopsis by phone call? And I can give
12	to, they're more than welcome to make that	12	you another
13	motion.	13	MR. SALINAS: Actually, Bill, we have set
14	Questions?	14	up a separate call-in for executive session.
15	MR. SPIES: Nothing further.	15	So one of us will be either you'll be able
16	MR. COUNCELL: Mr. Boicourt, do you have		to call us or we'll connect you in.
17	any further questions for either Ray or Tony at	17	MR. BOICOURT: So I just should hang up;
18	this time?	18	is that correct?
19	MR. BOICOURT: No, I don't. And I thank	19	MR. SALINAS: Yes. For you, yes. You'll
20 21	Ray for being here this morning.	20	call back in once we come back from executive
	MS. GHEZZI: Yes. Thank you.	21	session.

	Page 58		Page 60
1	MR. BOICOURT: But right at the moment,	1	And we will go through the Commission one
2	what should I do?	2	more time to make sure that everybody has had
3	MR. SALINAS: So you can hang up now and	3	their concerns addressed or, again, if there
4	then we'll connect with you for executive	4	are additional questions for staff or the
5	session.	5	attorney.
6	MR. KUPERSMITH: But we still need a	6	So yes, Ray.
7	second and a vote.	7	MR. CLARKE: I just learned when you all
8	MR. STRANNAHAN: I'll second that.	8	were in your executive session that MDE
9	MR. COUNCELL: So we have a motion by	9	actually has now incorporated the ENR facility
10	Commissioner Ghezzi, a second by Commissioner		into the permit. So I just wanted to let you
11	Strannahan to go into executive session.	11	all know that.
12	Miguel, would you please call for the roll	12	MR. COUNCELL: So to make the record
13	vote.	13	clear, we just learned that MDE is
14	MR. SALINAS: Sure. Mr. Boicourt.	14	incorporating the ENR process in their permit.
15	MR. BOICOURT: Aye.	15	Bill, are you back on? Did you hear that?
16	MR. SALINAS: Mr. Strannahan.	16	MR. BOICOURT: I did. Thank you.
17	MR. STRANNAHAN: Aye.	17	MR. SPIES: Just a point of clarification,
18	MR. SALINAS: Ms. Ghezzi.	18	is that including the ENR into application? Is
19	MS. GHEZZI: Aye.	19	that just the equipment or the flow rate or the
20	MR. SALINAS: Mr. Spies.	20	nutrient load rates?
21	MR. SPIES: Aye.	21	MR. CLARKE: That's actually going to be
	Page 59		Page 61
1	MR. SALINAS: Mr. Councell.	1	the equipment. The NDR is an ENR.
2	MR. COUNCELL: Aye.	2	So what I understand, just learned from
3	MR. SALINAS: Motion approved.	3	Ryan is that the MDE is now incorporating that
4	MS. VERDERY: Mr. Boicourt, before you	4	ENR technology into their discharge permit.
5	hang up, can you give me just the last two	5	MR. SPIES: Permit.
6	numbers of the number you want us to call	6	MR. CLARKE: So that's now part of the
7	because I have four numbers for you? So just	7	permit.
8	give me the last two numbers.	8	MR. SPIES: But the nitrogen and
9	MR. BOICOURT: 1579.	9	phosphorus loading will still remain the
10	MS. VERDERY: Okay. We'll contact you on	10	same
11	that number.	11	MR. CLARKE: I'm assuming if they're
12	MR. COUNCELL: Stay with us. We will	12	incorporating that, it would be at the three
13	return shortly.	13	milligram (inaudible).
14	(Recess taken.)	14	MR. SPIES: Okay. Thank you.
15	MR. COUNCELL: We will reconvene the	15	MS. GHEZZI: And just for clarification,
16	meeting at 10:26. We met with the attorney for	16	what does that mean? Can you just is it
17	matters of law and procedure as we move	17	from a timing perspective? When will the ENR
18	forward.	18	equipment be put into use per what they've just
19	So at this time, I would remind everybody	19	added?
20	that we did go through the seven standards for	20	MR. CLARKE: I think with the permit, once
21	the consistency with the Comprehensive Plan.	21	the permit has been issued and requires ENR
			-

	Page 62		Page 64
1	components, that when they're moving forward	1	And I think that what has changed this
2	with their let's say construction, they've	2	morning since I got the Ray Clarke letter and
3	receive their MDE construction permit to	3	the letter from Corey Pack to MDE is sort of a
4	construct that wastewater treatment plant, that	4	greater discomfort with the fact that there's
5	would be the time it would be required to go in	5	the uncertainty with regard to giving, if we
6	the NDRs for the ENR treatment. It would be at	6	approve this amendment, approval of two-thirds
7	that time of the issuance of the construction	7	of the proposed development without any
8	permit.	8	controls or checks and balances along the way
9	MR. COUNCELL: Okay. Bill, questions at	9	on the part of the county, I think is incorrect
10	this time?	10	or not advisable.
11	MR. BOICOURT: Not of Ray, no. Thank you	.11	And that's what the Public Works Advisory
12	Well, I have comment. You want me to go	12	Board said very clearly. And that's what I
13	forth with the comment?	13	read in Ray Clarke's letter to the MDE, to the
14	MR. COUNCELL: Sure. Let's do everything	14	county. Those are included in MDE.
15	at one time. Go ahead, bill.	15	The fact that MDE has not come back, as
16	MR. BOICOURT: I don't want to rehash too	16	Tony listed some possible reasons why that was
17	much, but where we stood last time, where I	17	the case. And I take that as evidence that if
18	stood last time, I think you want to recall	18	they're not forthcoming, that increases the
19	that my objections to the amendment were	19	uncertainty.
20	two-fold.	20	So in that space of uncertainty, I would
21	One, environmentally. I thought that	21	propose that we find this not consistent with
	Page 63		Page 65
		1	
1	there was inadequate protection for the	1	the Comprehensive Plan, and only to protect the
1 2	there was inadequate protection for the sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek	1 2	the Comprehensive Plan, and only to protect the county from the great uncertainties. And I
2	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek	2	county from the great uncertainties. And I
2 3	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek.	2 3	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are
2 3 4	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our	2 3 4	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the
2 3 4 5	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on	2 3 4 5	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned.
2 3 4 5 6	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment,	2 3 4 5 6	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the
2 3 4 5 6 7	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive Plan. That hasn't changed. And Tony I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure. So both of those, I think the county
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive Plan. That hasn't changed. And Tony I think properly said, there's a lot of different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure. So both of those, I think the county should not aggregate this responsibility or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive Plan. That hasn't changed. And Tony I think properly said, there's a lot of different things now. But what hasn't changed in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure. So both of those, I think the county should not aggregate this responsibility or those responsibilities it has. And I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive Plan. That hasn't changed. And Tony I think properly said, there's a lot of different things now. But what hasn't changed in the Comprehensive Plan is the land use policies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure. So both of those, I think the county should not aggregate this responsibility or those responsibilities it has. And I think that for that reason, I'm against this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive Plan. That hasn't changed. And Tony I think properly said, there's a lot of different things now. But what hasn't changed in the Comprehensive Plan is the land use policies state that it says support concentration of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure. So both of those, I think the county should not aggregate this responsibility or those responsibilities it has. And I think that for that reason, I'm against this proposal, this amendment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive Plan. That hasn't changed. And Tony I think properly said, there's a lot of different things now. But what hasn't changed in the Comprehensive Plan is the land use policies state that it says support concentration of growth within the town and then incrementally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure. So both of those, I think the county should not aggregate this responsibility or those responsibilities it has. And I think that for that reason, I'm against this proposal, this amendment. MR. COUNCELL: Thank you, Mr. Boicourt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sensitive areas. Not just to Miles Creek headwaters, but also Trappe Creek. But I also want to most of our discussion this morning has been focusing on the MDE permit in terms of the environment, that's my name and what I do. But the other issue that I want to bring us back to just briefly is the ability for the county to assert some approval and control over what we intended to have in the Comprehensive Plan. That hasn't changed. And Tony I think properly said, there's a lot of different things now. But what hasn't changed in the Comprehensive Plan is the land use policies state that it says support concentration of growth within the town and then incrementally within the growth areas adjacent to the town	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	county from the great uncertainties. And I think that the ENR versus BNR, those are important issues. But they're down in the weeds as far as I'm concerned. This is a broad concern about the development and its impact on the sensitive areas. And it's a broad concern with regard to the development of this number, even if it's a 20-year process, on the county's infrastructure. So both of those, I think the county should not aggregate this responsibility or those responsibilities it has. And I think that for that reason, I'm against this proposal, this amendment. MR. COUNCELL: Thank you, Mr. Boicourt. Mr. Strannahan.

1	Page 66	1	Page 68
	anything, additions, deletions, or any changes	1	applicant. And it kind of throws to me it
2	to your recommendation and the background	2	throws all the other numbers out the window.
3	information that you gave us at that time?	3	MR. CLARKE: Unfortunately, wastewater,
4	MR. SALINAS: There is not.	4	you design a system. And what you have is a PE
5	MR. STRANNAHAN: Thank you. That's all.	5	factor. So what would happen is you'd have a
6	MR. COUNCELL: Commissioner Ghezzi.	6	daily average flow of 540,000 gallons a day.
7	MS. GHEZZI: Yes. Not to get back in the	7	But then you would ultimately have let's say a
8	weeds, but there is one. Just if I didn't ask	8	daily over peaking factor, a daily of
9	it, I would not lose sleep at night. And this	9	1.5 million gallons a day.
10	is for Mr. Clarke.	10	Part of that is like, to give you an
11	In doing my due diligence, we've poured	11	example, at the St. Michael's wastewater
12	over documents in preparation for the last four	12	treatment plant, we have a hydrologic design
13	meetings, and there's just a lot to take in.	13	flow rate of 660,000 gallons a day. Currently
14	And I have very similar feelings as	14	we are discharging anywhere from 300 to
15	Mr. Boicourt. And details matter in this case	15	340,000 gallons a day on a daily average.
16	because we're looking at consistency with the	16	There can be periods let's say with heavy
17	Comprehensive Plan. And to me, I just, I need	17	wet weather event that we could actually see
18	to understand better.	18	our flow rates go to as much as two million
19	In the May 18th Public Works Advisory	19	gallons a day or a million and a half gallons a
20	Board letter to the Talbot County Council, they	20	day because we have what we call inflow and
21	attach a memorandum, or perhaps this was it	21	infiltration numbers. So that's more of a
	Page 67		Page 69
1	looks like it was attached. That's how I	1	peaking factor that would occur, again, on a
2	received it. Regarding another number of a	2	daily maximum. So that's roughly about three
3	daily maximum of 1.5 million gallons per day.	3	times the peaking factor.
4	And in the hydrogeological report, it	4	But again, it's because of the dynamics.
4			But again, it's because of the dynamics.
5	bases all of its calculations on two numbers	5	Sometimes you can have things happen that
		5 6	
5	bases all of its calculations on two numbers		Sometimes you can have things happen that
5 6	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter	6	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to
5 6 7	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing	6 7	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine
5 6 7 8	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers.	6 7 8	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's
5 6 7 8 9	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this	6 7 8 9	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to
5 6 7 8 9 10	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this 1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report	6 7 8 9 10	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this 1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report uses the average as the maximum, and I believe	6 7 8 9 10 11	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>bases all of its calculations on two numbers</li> <li>that President Pack notes in his January letter</li> <li>of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing</li> <li>a rainfall number that is not representative of</li> <li>our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers.</li> <li>But the second part of it is this</li> <li>1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report</li> <li>uses the average as the maximum, and I believe</li> <li>incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this 1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report uses the average as the maximum, and I believe incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an average number, not a maximum number. And	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually have to report what those loading factors are
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this 1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report uses the average as the maximum, and I believe incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an average number, not a maximum number. And that's what's pointed out in this May 18th	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually have to report what those loading factors are on their permit and then assure compliance with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this 1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report uses the average as the maximum, and I believe incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an average number, not a maximum number. And that's what's pointed out in this May 18th memo.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually have to report what those loading factors are on their permit and then assure compliance with those loading factors at the end of the year.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this 1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report uses the average as the maximum, and I believe incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an average number, not a maximum number. And that's what's pointed out in this May 18th memo. So Mr. Clarke, if you could just help me	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually have to report what those loading factors are on their permit and then assure compliance with those loading factors at the end of the year. So this is probably one of those this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>bases all of its calculations on two numbers</li> <li>that President Pack notes in his January letter</li> <li>of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing</li> <li>a rainfall number that is not representative of</li> <li>our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers.</li> <li>But the second part of it is this</li> <li>1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report</li> <li>uses the average as the maximum, and I believe</li> <li>incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an</li> <li>average number, not a maximum number. And</li> <li>that's what's pointed out in this May 18th</li> <li>memo.</li> <li>So Mr. Clarke, if you could just help me</li> <li>to understand. I mean that's pretty huge</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually have to report what those loading factors are on their permit and then assure compliance with those loading factors at the end of the year. So this is probably one of those this is more of a peaking factor at that one day of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	bases all of its calculations on two numbers that President Pack notes in his January letter of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing a rainfall number that is not representative of our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers. But the second part of it is this 1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report uses the average as the maximum, and I believe incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an average number, not a maximum number. And that's what's pointed out in this May 18th memo. So Mr. Clarke, if you could just help me to understand. I mean that's pretty huge because all the numbers are based on the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually have to report what those loading factors are on their permit and then assure compliance with those loading factors at the end of the year. So this is probably one of those this is more of a peaking factor at that one day of a three times the daily average flow.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>bases all of its calculations on two numbers</li> <li>that President Pack notes in his January letter</li> <li>of being the hydrogeologic report is utilizing</li> <li>a rainfall number that is not representative of</li> <li>our area. It's based on Salisbury numbers.</li> <li>But the second part of it is this</li> <li>1.5 million gallons. The hydrogeologic report</li> <li>uses the average as the maximum, and I believe</li> <li>incorrectly. The 540,000 gallons per day is an</li> <li>average number, not a maximum number. And</li> <li>that's what's pointed out in this May 18th</li> <li>memo.</li> <li>So Mr. Clarke, if you could just help me</li> <li>to understand. I mean that's pretty huge</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sometimes you can have things happen that you've got inflow coming in that you need to address or something of that nature. But nine times out of ten, the daily average and then ultimately from the permit perspective, there's going to be loading factors that you'll have to calculate. And then under those loading factors, whatever that in this situation, the applicant or the operator would actually have to report what those loading factors are on their permit and then assure compliance with those loading factors at the end of the year. So this is probably one of those this is more of a peaking factor at that one day of

	Page 70		Page 72
1	especially if it went from three to eight	1	are many areas that shed doubts still for me.
2	milligrams per liter, which is 2.4 or so times	2	And as I've tried to understand those, I'm just
3	the nitrogen load, I'm just looking at	3	not convinced that we're offering the
4	nitrogen, not even phosphorus, which is	4	protections, we're not being mindful of the
5	actually well, so you're not concerned that	5	sensitive areas in our area as well as a major
6	that will push the system beyond and that there	6	influx of growth in our area.
7	will be a lot of nitrogen load, additional	7	And for that, I just find it very
8	nitrogen load on the soils?	8	difficult to find that the proposal as
9	MR. CLARKE: Again, going back to like the	9	presented and the uncertainties that it itself
10	St. Michael's wastewater treatment plant, let's	10	proposes, I'd love to see a revision to the MDE
11	say in 2018 the wet issue we had on record. We	11	language, for instance, and the underlying
12	had 90 inches recorded at our wastewater	12	edits to this particular amendment.
13	treatment facility. We saw an uptick on our	13	So I appreciate the explanations of staff
14	daily average flows. But during dry water	14	to help me to understand these better, but I'm
15	events, we were let's say less than	15	still just very unsettled that they don't
16	300,000 gallons a day. During let's say a very	16	completely answer the questions that would
17	wet weather event, we may see our flows up to	17	cause our inability to really protect the areas
18	one and a half million gallons a day.	18	that we need to.
19	What ended up happening over time, that	19	MR. COUNCELL: Thank you.
20	averaged out to let's say maybe about 380 to	20	Commissioner Spies.
21	400 gallons a day for that year.	21	MR. SPIES: Yeah. This is one of these
	Page 71		Page 73
1	At the same time, if we had a very wet	1	difficult ones being a commissioner up here.
2	month, it could have been that we might, I	2	It's where it crosses the line of personal
3	don't think we did, but we could have exceeded	3	preference and personal desire and how this
4	our loading factor for that month. But then	4	county is developed and moved forward based
5	it's through those other months that we brought	5	comes in direct conflict with what we're
6	those averages down. So we met permit on a	6	required to vote on.
7	loading perspective.	7	How we've set this up as the county and
8	But typically, treatment plants don't	8	the State, I believe that the project, not my
9	operate as let's say like us driving our car.	9	personal favorite project, but how this project
10	We don't go 55 miles an hour when it's 55. We	10	and this development has moved forward and what
11	sometimes go a little higher, sometimes a	11	we're tasked with on voting on a month ago, two
12	little lower depending upon the situation. So	12	weeks ago, and now today has followed the
13	it's kind of the same thing with a wastewater	13	requirements that we've set forward.
14	treatment plant. Flows are going to go up and	14	Again, just for the record, we're voting
15	down throughout a period of time.	15	on whether this not was this project designed
16	MS. GHEZZI: Okay. Thank you for that.	16	right, is it too big for the county, not too
17	MR. COUNCELL: Anything further,	17	big for the county. We're voting on a time
18	Commissioner Ghezzi?	18	frame that in my mind has already been passed.
10		19	Wa're noting on whether this should move from a
19	MS. GHEZZI: I'd just like to reiterate	17	We're voting on whether this should move from a
19 20	MS. GHEZZI: I'd just like to reiterate that while I think the staff has pointed out	20	S-2 W-2 to an S-1 W-1, which meaning it moves
	-		

	Page 74		Page 76
1	in the next five years, a portion of it in the	1	The specific recommendation is that the
2	next five years.	2	program go forth with the ability for the
3	So in my mind	3	county to provide checks and balances. And
4	MR. BOICOURT: Paul, I can't hear you.	4	that's consistent with the Public Works
5	Can you speak (inaudible)? I'm sorry.	5	Advisory Board position it seems.
6	MR. SPIES: So basically the gist of it is	6	And so I'm not saying that I'm against the
7	is that through the last, the multiple days of	7	program going forth or the project going forth.
8	testimony, even with my uncomfort level, I	8	I'm against having no control if we happen to
9	think we've shown that in my opinion it is	9	say this is consistent with the plan, which I
10	consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. And	10	think it's not, I think that's incorrect. I
11	that even though that we do have some concerns	11	think the county should maintain its control.
12	with permitting and other things, but the	12	That's all.
13	overall arching testimony for me, it shows that	13	MR. COUNCELL: Sure. Understood. Thank
14	this resolution or this amendment to Resolution	14	you, Commissioner Boicourt.
15	281 is consistent with our Comprehensive Plan.	15	Commissioner Ghezzi.
16	MR. COUNCELL: Thank you, Commissioner	16	MS. GHEZZI: And my level of discomfort is
17	Spies.	17	that I don't think we have adequate assurances
18	The only additional comment that I would	18	that things are going to be done as possibly
19	make, again, I mentioned at our last meeting I	19	indicated, and that's my discomfort.
20	have friends, family that work, live, and play	20	Yes, control. I agree with Commissioner
21	in the Trappe area. It's very important.	21	Boicourt that control and checks and balances
	Page 75		Page 77
1	Ray's answers to some of the questions we had	1	along the way would be even better. But even
2	this morning eased my mind considerably. I	2	with what we have, I don't have the confidence,
3	hope it did some others as well. When we just	3	the assurances that what's been presented, all
4	heard that the permit will go to an ENR	4	of those pieces, will take place to help us to
5	technology instead of the BNR technology, that	5	protect the sensitive areas aspects of the
6	helps a lot.	6	environment.
7	So I think additionally, the comments that	7	
		,	This area is a remarkably special area,
8	I've made along the way probably still stand.	8	This area is a remarkably special area, Talbot County. We have just a wonderful,
8 9	I've made along the way probably still stand. So if everybody is comfortable and felt		• •
		8	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful,
9	So if everybody is comfortable and felt	8 9	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place
9 10	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth	8 9 10	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our
9 10 11	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a	8 9 10 11	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and
9 10 11 12	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a motion.	8 9 10 11 12	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and have such a low COVID impact, which is pretty
9 10 11 12 13	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a motion. MR. BOICOURT: Mr. Chairman, if I can make	8 9 10 11 12 13	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and have such a low COVID impact, which is pretty amazing. And we care about our community, and
9 10 11 12 13 14	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a motion. MR. BOICOURT: Mr. Chairman, if I can make one more comment, if that's okay.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and have such a low COVID impact, which is pretty amazing. And we care about our community, and I want to be in a position to have the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a motion. MR. BOICOURT: Mr. Chairman, if I can make one more comment, if that's okay. MR. COUNCELL: Sure. Commissioner	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and have such a low COVID impact, which is pretty amazing. And we care about our community, and I want to be in a position to have the assurances to say that this is going to go
<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ul>	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a motion. MR. BOICOURT: Mr. Chairman, if I can make one more comment, if that's okay. MR. COUNCELL: Sure. Commissioner Boicourt.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and have such a low COVID impact, which is pretty amazing. And we care about our community, and I want to be in a position to have the assurances to say that this is going to go forward with the standards that MDE has set,
<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a motion. MR. BOICOURT: Mr. Chairman, if I can make one more comment, if that's okay. MR. COUNCELL: Sure. Commissioner Boicourt. MR. BOICOURT: Yeah. My now (inaudible)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and have such a low COVID impact, which is pretty amazing. And we care about our community, and I want to be in a position to have the assurances to say that this is going to go forward with the standards that MDE has set, and yet I have so many questions regarding will
<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ul>	So if everybody is comfortable and felt that they have expressed their views, so forth and so on, we are probably ready to entertain a motion. MR. BOICOURT: Mr. Chairman, if I can make one more comment, if that's okay. MR. COUNCELL: Sure. Commissioner Boicourt. MR. BOICOURT: Yeah. My now (inaudible) statement that this is not in my opinion	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Talbot County. We have just a wonderful, wonderful community and a very special place that I think in part is demonstrated in our ability to come together as a community and have such a low COVID impact, which is pretty amazing. And we care about our community, and I want to be in a position to have the assurances to say that this is going to go forward with the standards that MDE has set, and yet I have so many questions regarding will these pieces really be carried through.

1	Page 78 MR. STRANNAHAN: I intend to parrot what	1	Page 80 MS. GHEZZI: No.
2	Commissioner Spies said earlier. This is	2	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Spies.
3	probably not my favorite project that could	3	MR. SPIES: Aye.
4	come before us, although it's been before the	4	MR. SALINAS: Chairman Councell.
5	county for many, many years now.	5	MR. COUNCELL: Aye.
6	However, with the advent of this new	6	MR. SALINAS: That motion passes three to
7	amendment, and that is what our job is here	7	two.
8	today I believe, is to certify this specific		
0 9	• • •	8 MR. COUNCELL: Again, motion carries	
	amendment with the compliance to our plan. I believe that it has.	9	to two. Thank you very much.
10		10	At this point, I'd entertain a motion to
11	I agree with the concerns of Commissioner	11	adjourn our Planning Commission meeting.
12	Ghezzi and Commissioner Boicourt, but I don't	12	MR. SPIES: So moved.
13	believe that that is the issue in front of us	13	MR. STRANNAHAN: Second.
14	today. I believe that we're beyond that and	14	MR. COUNCELL: We have a motion by
15	that those specific concerns should or will be	15	Commissioner Spies, a second by Commissioner
16	addressed by MDE moving into the next phase of	16	Strannahan to adjourn at 10:50.
17	things.	17	Miguel, would you call the vote again.
18	MR. COUNCELL: Thank you.	18	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Boicourt.
19	MR. SPIES: Chairman, I propose we make a	19	MR. BOICOURT: Aye.
20	recommendation to the County Council that	20	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Strannahan.
21	amendment number one to Resolution 281 is	21	MR. STRANNAHAN: Aye.
	Page 79		Page 81
1	consistent with the Comprehensive Plan based on	1	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Ghezzi.
2	the multiple days of testimony, mainly that on	2	MS. GHEZZI: Aye.
3	the June 3rd, that we went through the seven	3	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Spies.
4	regulations to find something consistent with	4	MR. SPIES: Aye.
5	the Comprehensive Plan and that they've met	5	MR. SALINAS: Chairman Councell.
6	those standards to be found consistent with the	6	MR. COUNCELL: Aye.
7	Comprehensive Plan.	7	Meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much
8	MR. COUNCELL: We have a motion.	8	for your time and business.
9	MR. STRANNAHAN: I'll second.	9	MR. BOICOURT: Thank you.
10	MR. COUNCELL: We have a motion by	10	(Meeting concluded at: 10:50 a.m.)
11	Commissioner Spies, a second by Commissioner	11	
12	Strannahan that the amendment is consistent	12	
13	with the Comprehensive Plan. Is there any	13	
14	further discussion?	14	
15	Hearing none, I'll ask Miguel for the roll	15	
16	voice vote.	16	
17	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Boicourt.	17	
18	MR. BOICOURT: No.	18	
19	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Strannahan.	19	
20	MR. STRANNAHAN: Aye.	20	
21	MR. SALINAS: Commissioner Ghezzi.	21	

	Page 82	
1 STATE OF MARYLAND		
2 I, Diane Houlihan, a Notary Public in and		
for the State of Maryland, County of Anne Arundel,		
3 do hereby certify that the within named, Talbot County Planning Commission Audio, personally		
4 appeared before me at the time and place herein set		
according to law, was interrogated by counsel.		
5		
I further certify that the examination was		
6 recorded stenographically by me and then transcribed		
from my stenographic notes to the within printed		
7 matter by means of computer-assisted transcription		
in a true and accurate manner.		
8 I further certify that the stipulations		
9 contained herein were entered into by counsel in my		
presence.		
10		
I further certify that I am not of counsel		
11 to any of the parties, not an employee of counsel,		
nor related to any of the parties, nor in any way		
12 interested in the outcome of this action.		
13 AS WITNESS my hand Notorial Seal this 15th day of June, 2020, at Easton, MD.		
14		
15 Dime Heukiha		
10		
Diane Houlihan		
17 Notary Public 18		
19		
20 My commission expires September 16, 2021		
21		
L		

### [& - answers]

June 10, 2020

&	<b>2030</b> 50:20	a	agency 19:8
<b>&amp;</b> 44:10	<b>20th</b> 3:19 4:7	<b>a.m.</b> 1:7 81:10	aggregate 65:13
1	<b>24</b> 41:15,16	ability 38:18 51:9	<b>ago</b> 5:16 47:11
	<b>245</b> 41:10 42:15	63:9 76:2 77:11	73:11,12
<b>1</b> 6:14,14,21 7:1	<b>281</b> 24:6 25:11	able 57:15	agree 22:15 44:21
7:16,16 17:9,9	27:21 28:8 74:15	absolute 35:20	76:20 78:11
22:11 23:3,3,11	78:21	absolutely 8:2	<b>ahead</b> 16:9 18:3
73:20,20	3	<b>absorb</b> 36:10	21:17 31:10 47:12
<b>1.5</b> 67:3,11 68:9	<b>3</b> 24:10 38:18	access 35:2	62:15
<b>10</b> 1:7 <b>100</b> 52:3	<b>30</b> 35:14 37:3	accommodate	allow 35:6,21
	<b>300</b> 68:14	37:3	allowed 47:3 53:5
<b>100,000</b> 30:15 <b>10:26</b> 59:16	<b>300,000</b> 70:16	accurate 82:7	allowing 38:5
<b>10:20</b> 39:10 <b>10:50</b> 80:16 81:10	<b>340,000</b> 68:15	action 82:12	allows 23:17
<b>10.30</b> 80.10 81.10 <b>11</b> 28:6,9 29:18	<b>380</b> 70:20	<b>actual</b> 49:13	alternative 37:5
30:2,6,8 31:6	<b>3rd</b> 2:6 79:3	<b>added</b> 43:19 61:19	51:5,6
<b>12</b> 41:14	4	adding 15:20 42:3	amazing 77:13
<b>12</b> 41.14 <b>12th</b> 28:7	<b>40,000</b> 35:14 36:2	addition 41:3	amend 30:5 31:5
<b>1362</b> 82:15	37:4	additional 2:10	<b>amended</b> 14:15,19 15:2 19:21 20:16
<b>1302</b> 02:13 <b>14</b> 41:4 42:1,4,8,9	<b>400</b> 70:21	34:12 60:4 70:7	
42:16 43:12,15,19		74:18	21:6 23:2 31:8 37:21
45:4,6	5	additionally 75:7	
<b>15</b> 65:21	<b>50</b> 30:16 36:5 52:3	additions 66:1	<b>amending</b> 6:9 14:6
<b>1579</b> 59:9	<b>50,000</b> 30:16	address 4:21 24:3	amendment 16:9
<b>15th</b> 82:13	<b>540,000</b> 42:14	54:10 69:7	17:6,8,11 19:21
<b>16</b> 82:20	50:21 67:13,20	addressed 60:3	19:21 20:5 23:9
<b>18th</b> 66:19 67:15	68:6	78:16	24:6 25:12,18
<b>19th</b> 3:10 44:7	55 71:10,10	addressing 54:11	26:10,11 27:10,20
<b>1a</b> 17:12	6	adequacy 49:14	28:8 33:15 34:9
2	<b>60</b> 6:5 40:21 41:2	50:1	38:9,11 47:13
	41:3	adequate 35:2	62:19 64:6 65:16
<b>2</b> 46:14 73:20,20	<b>660,000</b> 68:13	49:9 52:16 56:19	72:12 74:14 78:7
<b>2.4</b> 70:2	8	76:17	78:9,21 79:12
<b>20</b> 65:10	<b>8</b> 38:20	adjacent 63:18	amount 8:8 35:17
<b>20,000</b> 46:13 <b>200,000</b> 30:14	<b>8</b> 35:11,18	adjourn 80:11,16	analysis 33:19
,	<b>87</b> 31:19	adjourned 81:7	anne 82:2
36:7 <b>2000s</b> 30:18		adopted 25:12	annexation 63:19
<b>2000s</b> 50:18 <b>2008</b> 10:10	9	advent 78:6	annually 42:2
<b>2008</b> 10:10 <b>2016</b> 20:1,6	<b>90</b> 6:6 7:5 42:18	advice 18:8,9,13	answer 26:8 36:11
<b>2010</b> 20:1,6 <b>2018</b> 70:11	70:12	19:3 20:12	52:10,15 72:16
<b>2010</b> 70.11 <b>2020</b> 1:7 28:9	<b>9506</b> 19:7	advisable 64:10	answered 18:16
65:21 82:13	<b>99</b> 12:10	advisory 64:11	answering 18:17
<b>2021</b> 82:20	<b>9:00</b> 1:7	66:19 76:5	answers 75:1
		<b>ag's</b> 54:16	

### [anybody - buffers]

June 10, 2020

anybody 55:11	<b>area</b> 23:10 49:12	available 35:1	<b>best</b> 39:9
57:1	51:19,21 52:2	39:9 50:14,18	<b>better</b> 26:13,16
anyway 2:18 28:3	67:9 72:5,6 74:21	<b>average</b> 67:12,14	39:21 66:18 72:14
	77:7,7	68:6,15 69:8,19	77:1
apparently 32:12 appeared 82:4	<b>areas</b> 40:9 49:11	70:14	
			<b>beyond</b> 12:18 70:6 78:14
appears 37:2	49:13 63:2,18	averaged 70:20	
applicable 49:7	65:8 72:1,5,17 77:5	<b>averages</b> 71:6 <b>aware</b> 52:17,20	<b>big</b> 73:16,17
<b>applicant</b> 11:4 12:7 14:9 15:3		· · · · ·	<b>bill</b> 2:21 3:2,15 4:1
	<b>argument</b> 26:14 26:16	aye 58:15,17,19,21	13:13,15 57:9,13
22:9,12,16 23:7 23:20 24:7 25:6	- · · -	59:2 79:20 80:3,5	60:15 62:9,15
	arguments 9:15	80:19,21 81:2,4,6	<b>binding</b> 35:15
26:19 33:17 40:2 40:7 68:1 69:13	<b>article</b> 19:7,11 <b>arundel</b> 82:2	b	<b>biolac</b> 30:20,21 <b>biosolids</b> 46:9
		<b>back</b> 4:5 7:7 10:9	<b>bit</b> 4:13 29:21
applicants 12:11	asking 53:15	23:16 25:13 30:11	
40:16	aspects 77:5	47:7 57:5,20,20	35:8 45:4 51:4
application 2:7	assert 63:10	60:15 63:9 64:15	blessing 22:14
3:9 4:19 5:3,5,7	assist 31:11	65:20 66:7 70:9	<b>bnr</b> 23:17 24:13 26:2 30:20 31:16
5:10,13,15 7:9	assisted 82:7	background 66:2	
13:4,7,9,11,17,17	<b>associated</b> 4:3	backwards 45:4	65:3 75:5
13:20 14:1 17:5	5:10,21 6:2 36:19	balance 19:1	<b>board</b> 41:6,14,16
20:9 24:5 27:7	46:21	<b>balances</b> 64:8 76:3	41:17 64:12 66:20
29:18 31:5 38:2,4	associates 44:11	76:21	76:5
38:6,8,14 40:18	<b>assuming</b> 47:10	<b>bare</b> 9:16	<b>boicourt</b> 1:15 3:1
47:21 48:1 60:18	47:18 61:11	<b>barely</b> 11:20	3:7 4:10 13:13
applications 14:18	assumptions	<b>based</b> 5:2 6:15	44:3,5 55:16,19
20:17 48:10	47:12	25:16 36:1,8 67:9	57:8,17 58:1,14
<b>applied</b> 16:20	<b>assurance</b> 27:11	67:19 73:4 79:1	58:15 59:4,9
33:18	27:16 28:21	bases 67:5	60:16 62:11,16
apply 19:2	<b>assurances</b> 27:3	basically 7:5 24:8	65:17 66:15 74:4
<b>applying</b> 34:14	28:2,18 29:14	27:12,13 30:4	75:13,16,17 76:14
appreciate 72:13	32:6 49:17 76:17	33:7,11 35:16	76:21 78:12 79:17
appropriate 51:8	77:3,15	42:7 53:6 74:6	79:18 80:18,19
<b>approval</b> 7:11,16	assure 28:20	basing 6:15	81:9
35:1 48:1 63:10	69:15	<b>bay</b> 31:10 36:17	boundaries 6:14
64:6	asterisks 13:18	44:10	<b>brf</b> 31:10,15
approvals 34:12	<b>attach</b> 66:21	becoming 16:19	<b>briefly</b> 5:1 63:9
<b>approve</b> 7:4 64:6	attached 67:1	beginning 2:11	<b>bring</b> 30:6,8 45:1
approved 7:8,12	attorney 56:12	<b>believe</b> 16:8 30:18	45:7 63:8
7:13 34:8,9 37:1	59:16 60:5	39:15 45:14 46:20	brings 4:13
59:3	attribute 21:15	67:12 73:8 78:8	broad 65:6,8
approximately	audio 82:3	78:10,13,14	<b>brought</b> 71:5
35:11	authority 33:3,5	believed 24:20	<b>buffers</b> 51:19,20
arching 74:13	54:6	believes 22:18	52:1
		36:4	

### [build - connecting]

[	1		1
<b>build</b> 45:20 46:1	changes 66:1	<b>code</b> 20:19	27:9 30:5 31:5
47:18	<b>chapter</b> 50:6,17	<b>collect</b> 36:13	37:21 38:10,15
<b>built</b> 42:10 51:2	51:11 52:11	collected 43:4	40:13
business 81:8	charge 20:3	collection 35:3	completed 32:16
c	<b>checks</b> 64:8 76:3	<b>comar</b> 20:20	completely 72:16
calculate 69:11	76:21	<b>come</b> 53:3,12 54:5	compliance 69:15
calculation 41:8	cheryl 5:12	54:15 57:20 64:15	78:9
41:19	<b>chip</b> 3:1 7:18 26:7	77:11 78:4	component 10:19
calculations 24:19	<b>chip's</b> 18:15	comeback 44:18	components 62:1
25:4 67:5	choptank 44:16	<b>comes</b> 32:21 73:5	comprehensive
<b>call</b> 2:3 17:12	citizens 40:16	comfortable 12:16	18:5 19:10 20:2,6
24:19 30:20 53:14	clarification 60:17	40:6 75:9	21:10 22:19 23:1
53:16 54:8 57:2,9	61:15	<b>coming</b> 2:19 10:9	49:11 50:2,5
57:11,14,16,20	clarify 11:12	14:18 69:6	51:11 52:8 59:21
58:12 59:6 68:20	<b>clarke</b> 2:14 3:15	comment 2:10	63:11,15 65:1
80:17	4:20 5:2 9:4,11	4:17 11:8 12:14	66:17 74:10,15
calls 53:19	10:2,7 13:10,21	12:20 62:12,13	75:19 79:1,5,7,13
capable 28:14	16:8,16 17:1,3,10	74:18 75:14 77:19	computer 82:7
capacity 28:11	17:18,20 20:13	comments 3:9,10	concentration
30:16 35:2,13	23:19 24:4 25:20	3:17,18,21 4:2,6,8	63:16
36:3,5,9 37:3	26:11,13,18 27:5	4:8 7:20 75:7	concern 4:13
41:12 43:13 46:14	27:12,18 29:2	commission 1:1,4	54:19 65:6,8
50:14,15,18	30:1 32:13 38:21	1:13 2:4,12 7:3	concerned 22:10
<b>car</b> 71:9	39:15 40:10 41:18	15:14 18:2,10	37:14 65:5 69:20
care 39:19 77:13	41:21 42:3,12,20	19:5,16,19 48:15	70:5
carried 77:18	43:3,17 44:4,21	49:8 60:1 80:11	concerns 3:5
carries 80:8	45:9,13,18 46:8	82:3,20	36:17 40:15 60:3
case 7:14 64:17	48:12 52:15 53:14	commissioner	74:11 78:11,15
66:15	53:17 54:1 55:4	56:10 58:10,10	concluded 81:10
category 73:21	60:7,21 61:6,11	66:6 71:18 72:20	conclusion 36:21
cause 72:17	61:20 64:2 66:10	73:1 74:16 75:15	conclusions 20:10
center 1:10 35:13	67:17 68:3 70:9	76:14,15,20 77:21	22:4
35:19	<b>clarke's</b> 64:13	78:2,11,12 79:11	conditions 35:5
<b>certain</b> 22:18	classification 6:21	79:11,17,19,21	confidence 77:2
34:12	<b>clause</b> 34:18,18	80:2,15,15,18,20	conflict 73:5
<b>certify</b> 19:6,9 48:7	<b>clear</b> 11:4 12:6	81:1,3	confused 13:1,2
78:8 82:3,5,8,10	17:19 43:16 44:18	commissioners	13:16
<b>chairman</b> 56:14	60:13	7:21	confusion 21:14
75:13 78:19 80:4	clearly 37:16	community 1:10	conjunction 29:3
81:5	64:12	77:9,11,13	connect 23:12
	<b>closed</b> 18:8,12	<b>comp</b> 6:9,18 7:10	29:10,18 57:16
<b>change</b> 29:6 38:5	22:7 55:10	14:6,7,13,14,18	58:4
39:1,7	<b>closer</b> 40:20	15:1 19:20 20:5	connecting 31:12
<b>changed</b> 63:12,14 64:1		20:15 25:13 27:7	53:7

### [connection - discharge]

June 10, 2020

connection 28:16	<b>correct</b> 4:20 10:2	<b>crop</b> 9:3	demand 50:14
35:6 36:1	13:11 15:8 16:17	cropping 8:15	demonstrated
connections 34:20	16:21 17:1,3,9	<b>crops</b> 6:1 9:19,20	49:10 77:10
conscience 28:1	23:19 39:16 45:8	10:13,18,21 11:2	<b>deny</b> 48:10
consent 52:17	57:18	47:1 48:5	department 7:6
54:12,15	<b>councell</b> 1:14 2:3	crosses 73:2	33:3 44:19
consider 41:20	3:2 4:11,21 8:2	current 5:16	depending 71:12
44:10	17:21 19:15 22:6	10:20 29:15 36:1	<b>depth</b> 43:19
considerably 75:2	23:15,20 25:17	36:8	<b>design</b> 14:16
consideration	33:14 40:3 44:2	<b>currently</b> 30:7,14	30:13 68:4,12
42:19	49:4 55:3,5,8,11	50:16 68:13	designate 23:10
considered 6:13	55:16 56:1,9 58:9	d	designated 23:3
19:13	59:1,2,12,15	<b>d</b> 44:10	designed 73:15
<b>consist</b> 10:13	60:12 62:9,14	daily 67:3 68:6,8,8	desire 73:3
consistency 19:6,9	65:17 66:6 71:17	68:15 69:2,8,19	details 66:15
19:13 21:4 28:20	72:19 74:16 75:15	70:14	determine 15:11
50:2 59:21 66:16	76:13 77:20 78:18	<b>data</b> 36:14	44:14 50:1
71:21	79:8,10 80:4,5,8	dated 28:9	<b>develop</b> 73:21
consistent 20:5	80:14 81:5,6		developed 73:4
21:1,9 38:9,14	<b>council</b> 5:11 7:4	<b>day</b> 12:12 30:14 35:14 36:7 37:4	developer 15:4
64:21 74:10,15	66:20 78:20		29:4
75:19 76:4,9 79:1	<b>counsel</b> 82:4,9,10	40:21 41:2,3	developer's 54:21
79:4,6,12	82:11	42:14 43:12,16	development 7:1
consistently 31:16	<b>count</b> 28:3,4	46:13 50:21 67:3	7:17 28:15 31:20
construct 34:3	<b>county</b> 1:1,10 3:16	67:13,20 68:6,9	34:2,11 44:15
62:4	4:27:3,1019:8,9	68:13,15,19,20	53:1 64:7 65:7,9
construction	20:2,6 21:1,4,5,9	69:18 70:16,18,21	73:10
34:15 37:5 53:7	22:19 23:9 30:4	82:13	development's
62:2,3,7	33:4 38:15 50:10	<b>days</b> 6:6,6 7:5	54:19
consult 56:11	51:17 52:5 63:10	41:10 42:15 74:7	<b>diane</b> 1:21 82:2,16
contact 59:10	64:9,14 65:2,12	79:2	different 42:17
contained 82:9	66:20 73:4,7,16	deadline 12:13	63:13
continuation 2:6	73:17 76:3,11	<b>deal</b> 51:14	difficult 15:11
<b>control</b> 63:10 76:8	77:8 78:5,20 82:2	dealing 22:2	72:8 73:1
76:11,20,21	82:3	<b>deals</b> 52:12	diligence 66:11
controlling 33:3,5	<b>county's</b> 22:14,21	<b>december</b> 46:11	direct 28:16 73:5
controls 64:8	23:6,8 65:10	<b>decide</b> 37:10	directly 51:7
conversations 6:8	couple 5:19	38:13 39:21	discharge 13:4,8
26:1	cover 9:7	<b>decided</b> 34:10,17	14:10 15:5 20:9
convinced 32:1	<b>covid</b> 77:12	delegate 54:5	20:17,21 21:8,21
72:3	<b>creek</b> 63:2,3	deletions 66:1	23:4,12 24:12
<b>copy</b> 4:12 13:16	<b>critical</b> 51:19,21	delivered 24:21	37:8 38:2,12 39:8
<b>corey</b> 3:11 44:6	52:2	<b>delve</b> 20:11	48:12 61:4
64:3		delving 18:3	
- ····			

### [discharging - five]

June 10, 2020

[		1	T
discharging 68:14	east 2:8 31:19	especially 6:9 70:1	25:7,15,16 26:20
discomfort 64:4	easton 1:11 82:13	essentially 35:4	31:17 34:3,11,16
76:16,19	edits 72:12	establish 26:4	36:1,5,6,7,15 37:1
disconnect 14:4	<b>effluent</b> 8:9 43:3	42:15	37:6,12,13,14,16
discussed 35:8	<b>eight</b> 15:19 23:18	established 33:12	45:15 46:10,13,19
discussion 10:17	24:2,14,21 26:8	estimates 36:8	47:19 49:14 53:2
37:18 63:5 79:14	28:6 31:1 39:2,3	evaluation 44:12	60:9 70:13
discussions 38:21	39:14,20 70:1	event 68:17 70:17	<b>fact</b> 64:4,15
disposal 28:10	<b>either</b> 2:13 9:2	events 70:15	factor 39:4 68:5,8
51:5	17:12 29:9 34:2	everybody 2:9	69:1,3,18 71:4
distance 56:20	49:5 55:17 57:1	59:19 60:2 75:9	factors 19:12,17
<b>divided</b> 42:13	57:15	evidence 64:17	69:10,12,14,16
document 27:19	else's 4:8	examination 82:5	<b>facts</b> 19:2
27:20	embedded 52:4	example 51:19	<b>family</b> 35:12
documents 6:20	emphasize 75:20	68:11	74:20
13:2 24:7 29:3	employee 82:11	exceeded 71:3	<b>far</b> 22:10 29:2
49:21 66:12	<b>ended</b> 57:6 70:19	exceeding 41:16	37:13 38:4 48:12
doing 11:21 21:18	endorse 44:17	exception 6:16	65:5
28:20 29:1 30:15	enforcement 53:4	<b>excuse</b> 41:18	farmer 11:1
36:15 51:6 53:6	engineering 32:15	<b>executive</b> 56:3,11	<b>fast</b> 31:18
66:11	<b>enr</b> 15:16 23:21	56:17,20 57:5,10	<b>favorite</b> 73:9 78:3
domestic 13:5	24:8 25:7,14,16	57:14,20 58:4,11	february 28:9
<b>door</b> 37:15 55:10	25:19 26:3,20	60:8	46:12
doubts 72:1	27:1,8 32:1,7,17	exercise 34:17	<b>feel</b> 12:16
<b>draft</b> 9:17	33:18 37:16 38:8	existing 17:14	feelings 66:14
drafted 25:16	39:6 49:2,15 60:9	28:16 29:11 32:9	<b>feels</b> 55:11
drafting 29:2	60:14,18 61:1,4	32:16 34:5,11,19	<b>feet</b> 41:5,15 52:3,3
draw 20:10 22:4	61:17,21 62:6	34:20 36:21 49:15	<b>felt</b> 6:17 15:9 75:9
36:20	65:3 75:4	50:19 52:18	<b>field</b> 9:3 10:5 11:9
driving 71:9	<b>enrb</b> 28:14	expansion 63:21	11:19,21 16:20
<b>dry</b> 70:14	entails 18:4	expected 35:11	fields 8:7,12,20
<b>due</b> 3:19 4:6 21:19	entered 82:9	expert 40:4	11:16 46:6
51:9 66:11	entertain 75:11	expires 82:20	figure 20:4
dwellings 35:12	80:10	explanations	<b>files</b> 7:15
<b>dynamic</b> 42:21	<b>entire</b> 22:11	72:13	<b>find</b> 64:21 72:7,8
45:10	environment 19:7	expressed 75:10	79:4
dynamics 69:4	44:19 63:6 77:6	extended 8:8,20	finding 54:7
e	environmentally	extremely 44:20	<b>first</b> 4:18 10:10
<b>earlier</b> 36:17 47:7	40:8 62:21	f	16:10 18:7 20:16
56:7 78:2	envisioned 37:13	<b>facilities</b> 20:18,21	21:6 23:2 30:1
early 30:18	<b>epa</b> 54:6	23:5 33:6 49:9	31:19 32:6 34:10
eased 75:2	equipment 39:12	50:1 52:16 54:2	<b>five</b> 28:6 56:12
easiest 43:20	39:13 60:19 61:1	facility 17:8 21:9	74:1,2
	61:18	23:17 24:8,13	
L			

### [flow - hydrogeological]

June 10, 2020

Page 6

<b>flow</b> 28:15 60:19	_	11:19,21 12:5,11	51:14,15 54:9
68:6,13,18 69:19	g	12:12,17 17:6,14	70:19
flows 70:14,17	<b>gallon</b> 36:7 46:13	21:13 22:3 28:19	hard 21:14
71:14	50:21	28:19,21 32:1,7	hardest 40:12
	gallons 30:14,15	32:10 33:11 38:20	heads 27:4
focusing 63:5	35:14 36:2 37:4	39:1,6,14,18,19	headwaters 63:3
<b>foggy</b> 9:8 <b>fold</b> 62:20	42:14 46:14 67:3		hear 13:13 60:15
	67:11,13,20 68:6	40:4 42:21 43:3,9	74:4
followed 73:12	68:9,13,15,19,19	43:9,14 45:9,13	
<b>following</b> 34:19	70:16,18,21	45:15,19,20 46:4	heard 75:4
<b>forth</b> 62:13 75:10	<b>gate</b> 40:5	49:17,18 55:9	hearing 3:18 4:4
75:21 76:2,7,7	generated 3:17	60:21 69:10 70:9	48:18 79:15
forthcoming	generator 16:7,13	71:14 76:7,7,18	heavy 68:16
64:18	16:20	77:15	held 4:5
forward 2:19 3:6	getting 18:3	<b>good</b> 28:171:21	help 4:17 27:17
7:7 12:17 14:9	<b>ghezzi</b> 1:16 12:20	gotten 21:20	49:10 67:17 72:14
15:4 22:12,13	13:15 27:2,10,13	grant 29:18	77:4
26:20 31:5,18	29:9 31:18 36:16	grass 9:3	helpful 12:19
47:16 48:10 52:21	41:18 42:2,11,16	great 3:2,2 65:2	<b>helps</b> 75:6
59:18 62:1 73:4	43:2,15,21 49:6	greater 64:4	<b>higher</b> 15:15
73:10,13 77:16	52:14 53:10,15,20	green 27:18,19	24:15 71:11
<b>found</b> 13:3 79:6	55:4,8,9,21 56:5	ground 13:4 46:18	highlight 32:20
four 8:14 28:5	56:10 58:10,18,19	47:4	40:20 48:15
59:7 66:12	61:15 66:6,7	<b>group</b> 56:3	highlighted 10:12
fourteen 42:2	69:20 71:16,18,19	growing 47:2	historically 53:10
<b>frame</b> 9:6 32:18	76:15,16 78:12	<b>growth</b> 63:17,18	53:15
73:18	79:21 80:1 81:1,2	72:6	<b>history</b> 21:19 22:1
<b>free</b> 36:3 41:6,14	<b>gist</b> 74:6	<b>guess</b> 4:3 5:10,18	<b>hold</b> 46:14
41:16,17	<b>give</b> 8:13 57:10,11	21:16 23:16 24:19	holdings 2:8
<b>friends</b> 74:20	59:5,8 68:10	26:7 32:21 36:13	homes 29:18
<b>front</b> 4:12 38:1,3	<b>given</b> 2:14 21:21	36:17 54:14	31:19 35:17
78:13	48:17 56:15	guessing 12:10	<b>hook</b> 31:19 47:18
frustrating 6:11	giving 64:5	h	hooking 32:4,8
<b>full</b> 12:1 45:16	<b>go</b> 3:5 6:17 16:9	half 68:19 70:18	hope 31:7 75:3
<b>funding</b> 31:11	17:12 18:17 25:13	hand 82:13	houlihan 1:21
36:18	27:1 29:20 30:10	handed 13:16	82:2,16
<b>funds</b> 31:15	31:10 34:18 38:5	handle 29:16	hour 18:17 71:10
<b>further</b> 20:12	40:13 47:15 55:9	hang 57:4,17 58:3	<b>houses</b> 47:20
29:10 49:4 55:5	56:3,11,17 58:11	59:5	<b>howell</b> 30:2,3 31:7
55:15,17 71:17	59:20 60:1 62:5	happen 31:21	<b>huge</b> 67:18
79:14 82:5,8,10	62:12,15 68:18	45:10,13,19 68:5	hydraulic 30:13
<b>future</b> 39:7 50:14	71:10,11,14 75:4	69:5 76:8	hydrogeologic
	75:21 76:2 77:15	happened 14:15	67:7,11
	<b>going</b> 8:1,6,8,13	happening 14:8	hydrogeological
	8:19 11:15,16,17	0	48:21 67:4
	0.1711.13,10,17	14:11,17,21 15:7	

### [hydrologic - liter]

June 10, 2020

hydrologic 68:12	incorrectly 67:13	26:5 41:10 44:14	1
hydrological	increases 64:18	51:2,7	lack 50:18
44:11	incrementally	<b>ish</b> 10:10	lagoon 45:21
hynes 44:10 48:16	63:17	issuance 62:7	lagoons 42:8
i	<b>indicated</b> 22:17,17	<b>issue</b> 44:19 54:12	43:10 45:16
<b>idea</b> 6:1	26:19 36:4 76:19	63:8 70:11 78:13	laid 9:20
identified 24:8	indicates 31:20	issued 23:5,12	<b>lakeside</b> 7:1,16
27:8 41:2,21	indicating 6:21	61:21	28:13 35:10 51:3
42:13 48:20	7:15	<b>issues</b> 3:13 5:10	land 9:3 13:7
<b>identifies</b> 17:11	<b>infer</b> 21:16	5:17 14:2 15:9	19:11 40:7 63:15
25:14 48:19	infiltration 68:21	20:8 37:7 53:4,12	language 35:16
<b>immediate</b> 23:11	<b>inflow</b> 68:20 69:6	54:12 65:4	37:10,11 50:5
	<b>influx</b> 72:6	issuing 33:8	72:11
<b>impact</b> 52:6 65:7 77:12	information 2:14	item 44:7	larger 51:8
impacts 44:15	4:16 5:15,16 66:3	j	law 19:2 20:14,19
important 44:20	infrastructure	<b>january</b> 3:19 4:7	59:17 82:4
65:4 74:21	65:11	67:6	learned 60:7,13
	<b>initial</b> 28:10,15		61:2
imposed 52:18	34:1 35:6,7,18	<b>job</b> 78:7	leave 39:10 56:18
inability 72:17	47:20,20	<b>john</b> 44:10	57:4
<b>inadequate</b> 4:19 63:1	injected 21:13	<b>june</b> 1:7 2:6 79:3 82:13	left 37:15
<b>inaudible</b> 39:10	<b>inside</b> 51:15		legal 2:13 18:8,9
39:11 44:13 49:1	<b>instance</b> 51:18,21	jurisdiction 53:13 jurisdictions	18:12 19:3 20:12
51:13 52:2 57:7	72:11	53:11	56:8,12
61:13 74:5 75:17	instructions 13:6		legally 25:19
<b>inch</b> 46:5	<b>intend</b> 78:1	k	letter 3:8,11 4:12
inches 41:4,14,15	intended 63:11	<b>kay</b> 49:7	7:7 44:5 64:2,3,13
	<b>intent</b> 63:20	<b>keep</b> 34:1	66:20 67:6
41:16 42:1,2,4,9 42:10,16,18 43:12	interested 82:12	keeper 40:5	letters 4:3 28:5
43:15,19 45:5,6	interpret 27:17	<b>key</b> 39:17	level 15:15,16
70:12	interpretation	<b>kind</b> 9:18 14:4,11	45:7 53:12 74:8
<b>include</b> 35:11	22:18	14:20 15:7 18:19	76:16
included 42:5	interpretations	37:12,13,15 40:17	lewis 5:12
64:14	23:8	68:171:13	life 12:4
including 19:21	interrogated 82:4	<b>know</b> 2:17 8:6,18	line 28:4,7,12
60:18	involved 7:19	15:13 21:15 24:1	39:18,19 73:2
	irrigate 43:6,8	26:9 32:9,18	lines 28:3
incomplete 4:19 incorporated 3:11	45:18 46:17 47:2	40:14 41:13 60:11	lisa 1:16 13:14
39:4 44:11 51:16	47:4	knowledge 8:4	<b>listed</b> 64:16
54:20 60:9	irrigating 45:7	kupersmith 18:7	listening 57:1
incorporating	46:3,11	18:21 22:16 33:20	liter 15:16,19
60:14 61:3,12	irrigation 9:13	56:6 58:6	23:18 24:9,10,14
<b>incorrect</b> 64:9	11:9,10,19,20		24:21 25:8 26:15
76:10	12:10 24:20 26:4		31:1,2 37:9 39:3
/0.10			51.1,2 57.9 59.5

### [liter - natural]

June 10, 2020

Page 8

20.01.70.0	11 14 12 10 17 10	4 40 0	. 16 1 70 4
39:21 70:2	11:14 13:19 15:10	meet 48:9	mindful 72:4
little 4:13 6:10	16:5,15,19 20:8	meeting 1:4 2:4,7	minimum 9:17
26:2 29:21 35:8	32:21 47:1 48:2,4	2:11 10:11,12	minutes 56:12
40:20 45:4 51:4	55:1	19:14 31:16 32:5	<b>missing</b> 10:1,3,6
71:11,12	managing 33:9	32:12 33:12 39:9	11:7
live 57:2,4 74:20	manner 82:7	47:8 54:3 56:17	mistaken 10:10
load 60:20 70:3,7	mary 49:7	57:4 59:16 65:21	46:3
70:8	<b>maryland</b> 1:1,11	74:19 80:11 81:7	modification 38:4
loading 61:9 69:10	7:6 31:9 82:1,2	81:10	modular 28:14
69:11,14,16 71:4	matter 38:19	meetings 32:3	moment 58:1
71:7	39:12,13 66:15	66:13	money 31:10,11
lobby 56:20	82:7	meets 48:8	monitored 55:2
local 32:4 53:12	<b>matters</b> 56:12	members 1:13	monitoring 36:13
located 50:5	59:17	2:12	month 36:14 71:2
long 9:5 21:21	<b>maximum</b> 67:3,12	<b>memo</b> 67:16	71:4 73:11
47:3	67:14,20 69:2	memorandum	months 9:13 10:5
<b>longer</b> 47:14	md 82:13	66:21	11:10 12:9,12
look 20:3 21:3	<b>mde</b> 3:12,19 4:6,7	mentioned 74:19	16:19 46:11 47:16
24:16 25:11 48:7	5:20 6:8,12,20 7:5	met 31:1 35:5	71:5
looked 40:20	7:5,11,12 9:12	54:14 59:16 71:6	<b>morning</b> 55:20
looking 13:11 14:5	10:12 13:17 15:18	79:5	63:5 64:2 75:2
19:13 37:20 38:11	20:9 21:3,6 22:10	<b>method</b> 13:7	motion 55:13 56:4
39:5,5 41:2,4,5	22:18 23:7 24:12	michael 1:18	56:10 58:9 59:3
44:5 49:9,15	24:18 25:5,21	michael's 33:5	75:12 79:8,10
66:16 70:3	26:1,14 31:14	68:11 70:10	80:6,8,10,14
looks 21:7 67:1	33:7,13 34:4,13	<b>miguel</b> 49:7,19,20	mountain 2:16
lose 66:9	34:14 36:11 37:1	58:12 79:15 80:17	<b>move</b> 14:16 15:4
lost 15:21	37:21 38:5,13,17	<b>mike</b> 4:1	16:10 21:17 22:11
lot 12:4 14:17	39:14,18 40:6	miles 63:2 71:10	22:13 26:20 48:10
40:14 50:3,11	41:13 44:9 47:2	milestones 54:14	59:17 73:19
52:11 63:13 66:13	47:15 48:7 53:3,8	milligram 61:13	<b>moved</b> 73:4,10
70:7 75:6	53:10 54:5,10,12	milligrams 15:16	80:12
love 72:10	60:8,13 61:3 62:3	15:19 23:18 24:9	moves 73:20
low 77:12	63:6 64:3,13,14	24:10,14,21 25:8	<b>moving</b> 12:17 14:9
lower 39:21 71:12	64:15 72:10 77:16	26:14 27:1 31:1,2	31:4 47:6,15
m	78:16	32:2 37:9 39:3,14	52:21 62:1 78:16
maintain 76:11	mde's 18:4 25:3	39:20 70:2	<b>multiple</b> 74:7 79:2
major 72:5	mean 9:2,5 10:4	million 46:14 67:3	municipalities
<b>making</b> 56:10	13:1,11 28:1	67:11 68:9,18,19	51:17
manage 11:17	40:10 43:21 61:16	70:18	n
management 5:4	67:18	mind 2:19 3:8	<b>name</b> 63:7
5:6 6:1 8:4,5,12	meaning 73:20	18:19 27:11 73:18	<b>named</b> 82:3
8:14,21 9:7,14,17	means 82:7	74:3 75:2	natural 50:6,12
10:1,13,20 11:1,7			52:11

### [nature - phone]

June 10, 2020

			<i>co t</i>
nature 69:7	<b>nutrient</b> 5:4,6,21	ordinance 52:1,5	<b>pe</b> 68:4
<b>nbr</b> 28:14 37:16	8:3,5,11,14,21 9:7	52:9	peaking 68:8 69:1
48:19,21 49:2	9:14 10:1,12,20	originally 10:9	69:3,18
<b>ndr</b> 61:1	11:1,6,14 13:18	outcome 82:12	pending 7:11
<b>ndrs</b> 62:6	15:10 16:5,15,18	outfall 51:6	people 27:3
<b>near</b> 16:4,7	20:8 46:18,21	outlined 41:1	percent 12:10
necessity 9:1	48:2,3 51:9 60:20	<b>output</b> 36:15	30:16 36:5
<b>need</b> 9:7 11:3,12	<b>nutrients</b> 16:7,14	<b>outside</b> 51:15,16	perennial 52:3
12:6 16:12,18	16:20 17:7	51:20 52:2	<b>period</b> 8:20 42:5
23:3,9 26:9 36:2	0	overall 6:8 18:5	42:11 46:15 48:5
37:10 45:6 55:11	<b>oak</b> 1:10	40:18 74:13	71:15
58:6 66:17 69:6	objections 62:19	overseeing 33:9	<b>periods</b> 68:16
72:18	occur 53:21 69:1	owned 50:9	<b>permit</b> 4:16 9:12
<b>needed</b> 9:9 11:8		owners 31:12	13:5 14:10,18
34:13	<b>offering</b> 72:3 <b>office</b> 6:19 54:16	р	15:5 18:4 20:10
needs 23:1 26:7	offset 15:20		20:17,18,21,21
<b>net</b> 25:2	oliset 15:20 okay 2:3 3:2 4:10	<b>pack</b> 44:6 64:3 67:6	21:21 23:4,5,12
<b>never</b> 6:20	10:15 17:17,20	<b>pack's</b> 3:11	24:12,12,13,16
<b>new</b> 17:13,16 34:2	18:21 21:7 22:8	-	25:15 26:3 38:2
34:15 37:6,14		packet 27:20	38:12 39:1,2,14
53:1,6 78:6	24:1,2 26:8,9	<b>page</b> 13:6 27:18	39:17 44:9 46:4
<b>nice</b> 16:3 43:7	27:13 31:9,18	28:7	48:12 54:4 60:10
46:2	43:16 46:7 47:6	paperwork 65:20	60:14 61:4,5,7,20
<b>night</b> 48:17 66:9	52:14 54:10 55:3	parallel 21:12,15	61:21 62:3,8 63:6
nine 28:6 69:7	59:10 61:14 62:9	21:18 47:8,10,14	69:9,15 71:6 75:4
<b>nitrogen</b> 15:17,20	71:16 75:14	parcels 23:2	permits 33:9,10
15:21 24:9,14	once 31:8 38:10	<b>parrot</b> 78:1	34:4,14 47:15
25:2,9 31:2 38:18	57:5,20 61:20	parse 37:10	permitting 74:12
61:8 70:3,4,7,8	ones 33:8 73:1	part 5:5,7 16:9	personal 73:2,3,9
nodding 27:3	online 13:3	24:5 25:20 40:12	personally 11:13
notary 82:2,17	open 34:1 37:15	44:6,9 54:11,19	82:3
note 9:21 11:6	operate 71:9	54:20 61:6 64:9	perspective 40:10
29:10 52:16	operating 28:15	67:10 68:10 77:10	41:11 61:17 69:9
<b>notes</b> 67:6 82:6	36:10 47:17	particular 12:21	71:7
notice 54:8	operator 45:15	72:12	pertain 34:15
notorial 82:13	46:9 69:13	<b>parties</b> 82:11,11	<b>pertinent</b> 18:16,18
november 3:10	<b>opinion</b> 11:3,12	<b>pass</b> 47:13	phase 17:12 34:2
4:5 44:7	74:9 75:18	passage 6:15	34:10 35:7,10,18
<b>number</b> 27:21	opposed 18:5	<b>passed</b> 38:11	78:16
35:20,20 59:6,11	option 34:1	73:18	<b>phases</b> 14:16
65:9 67:2,8,14,14	order 2:5 23:3	passes 25:18 37:20	16:11 47:20
69:21 78:21	35:6 52:18 53:9	80:6	<b>phillip</b> 1:14
<b>numbers</b> 59:6,7,8	54:12,15	<b>passing</b> 26:10,11	phinip 1.14 phone 2:21 57:9
67:5,9,19 68:2,21	orderly 63:20	paul 1:17 12:2	57:11
07.3,7,19 00.2,21		25:17 74:4	57.11

### [phones - question]

June 10, 2020

		4 0 1 4	
<b>phones</b> 56:15,16	planted 48:5	present 3:14	proposal 65:16
phosphorus 24:11	plants 71:8	presented 2:15	72:8
24:16,17 31:3	play 74:20	9:18 72:9 77:3	proposals 20:4
61:9 70:4	please 57:3 58:12	president 5:11	propose 64:21
<b>pieces</b> 77:4,18	pleasure 56:2	67:6	78:19
<b>place</b> 77:4,9 82:4	<b>plus</b> 35:18	presumably 35:15	proposed 15:12
<b>places</b> 42:17	<b>point</b> 2:18 3:20	<b>pretty</b> 5:20 31:21	20:4 25:7 27:20
<b>plan</b> 5:4,6 6:1,10	14:3 22:14 26:18	67:18 77:12	28:8 35:10 44:12
6:18 7:10 8:4,12	26:21 30:2,3 31:4	previously 21:20	44:14,15 50:21
8:14,15,21 9:7,10	31:7,14 33:14,19	printed 82:6	64:7
9:14,18 10:1,4,13	48:11 50:8 51:6	prior 11:10 12:9	proposes 72:10
10:20 11:1,7,9,14	52:17 55:2 60:17	16:19	proposing 10:16
11:15 13:19 14:6	80:10	priority 23:11	10:18 21:8
14:7,13,14,19	pointed 20:13	<b>probably</b> 6:5 12:4	<b>protect</b> 49:10 65:1
15:2,10 16:5,15	25:10 36:16 67:15	25:11 43:20 54:1	72:17 77:5
16:19 18:6 19:10	71:20	54:19 69:17 75:8	protected 39:6
19:20 20:2,5,6,8	pointing 21:11	75:11 78:3	protecting 40:8
20:16 21:2,5,6,10	50:13	procedure 59:17	protection 52:1,7
22:20 23:1,10	<b>policies</b> 50:3 52:7	proceedings 2:1	52:8 63:1
25:14,20 27:8,9	63:15	<b>process</b> 6:9,18,19	protections 72:4
30:6 31:6 38:1,10	<b>pond</b> 43:4,4	11:5 12:15 16:1	<b>provide</b> 18:9 76:3
38:16 40:14 47:1	<b>popped</b> 15:13	17:5 21:14 40:14	provided 25:5
48:2,4 49:11 50:2	portion 28:7 74:1	60:14 65:10	28:14 67:21
50:5 51:11 52:8	posed 29:7	program 75:21	<b>public</b> 2:10 3:18
59:21 63:12,15	position 6:12	76:2,7	4:4 6:19 11:7 33:4
65:1 66:17 73:21	15:18 22:21 76:5	progress 17:16	48:17 50:8 51:8
74:10,15 75:19	77:14	prohibited 46:10	64:11 66:19 76:4
76:9 78:9 79:1,5,7	<b>possible</b> 21:18,19	53:6	82:2,17
79:13	64:16	prohibition 52:21	<b>pulled</b> 15:21
<b>planning</b> 1:1,4 2:4	possibly 16:11	project 12:17	pursuant 34:3
7:3,7 15:14 18:2	24:15 31:2 76:18	21:20 28:13 35:7	pursuing 32:14
19:5,8 48:15 49:8	potential 69:21	35:11 51:3 73:8,9	<b>push</b> 70:6
80:11 82:3	potentially 15:18	73:9,15 76:7 78:3	pushing 26:14
plans 8:5	<b>poured</b> 66:11	projected 35:17	<b>put</b> 9:9 38:19
<b>plant</b> 16:1,10,17	pouring 13:2	35:20 50:20	39:13 42:8 46:4
17:13,15,16 25:1	precedent 26:5	projection 36:2	61:18
29:16 30:12,17,19	preceding 35:8	projections 50:15	q
31:16,20 32:4,9	<b>prefer</b> 41:15	projects 35:13	qualify 31:15
32:10,17 37:17,18	preference 73:3	properly 63:13	<b>quality</b> 51:15 <b>question</b> 3:7,13
47:17 49:16 50:20	preliminary 32:15	properties 23:13	5:9 8:3 10:15
51:1 52:13 53:8	preparation 66:12	23:13 30:3,6,8	17:21 18:15 22:6
54:3 62:4 68:12	prepared 49:20	31:6	
70:10 71:14	presence 82:9	property 22:11	23:2 27:2 29:5,7
	-	31:12	32:19 37:19 38:13
			40:21 49:6,19

### [question - says]

June 10, 2020

52:15 56:7 65:19	reasons 64:16	<b>remind</b> 2:9 59:19	restoration 31:11
	71:21	report 32:15	36:18
questioned 4:15 questions 2:13,14	<b>recall</b> 62:18	48:16,21 67:4,7	return 59:13
2:17 3:5 5:19 6:4	receive 62:3	67:11,21 69:14	<b>review</b> 7:6 44:9
6:4 7:20,21 15:13	received 3:16,20		54:21
18:11 44:2 49:4	4:2 6:20 67:2	reported 1:20	reviewed 7:12
	4.2 0.20 07.2 receives 7:10	reports 36:13	
55:5,14,17 60:4 62:9 72:16 75:1	receives 7:10 recess 59:14	representative 67:8	reviewing 24:6 revision 72:10
77:17	recommendation		
	66:2 76:1 78:20	request 57:8 require 12:7 26:17	<b>right</b> 9:2,4,11 14:5 17:2,10 34:15
<b>quick</b> 41:7 65:19 <b>quickly</b> 21:17	reconvene 59:15	38:18 46:4	36:11 39:15 40:12
<b>401CKTy</b> 21.17 32:20	record 60:12		43:2 45:17 47:13
	70:11 73:14	<b>required</b> 5:4 12:9 13:8 16:14 25:19	43.2 43.17 47.13 58:1 73:16
quote 44:8	recorded 70:12	35:14 62:5 73:6	
r	82:6	requirement 12:3	<b>ringer</b> 8:17 <b>road</b> 30:3 31:7
rainfall 41:5,20		13:18 19:6 26:3	roll 58:12 79:15
42:4,9,16 43:5,19	<b>recycled</b> 5:14 <b>refer</b> 27:19 40:3,4	41:17 48:6	rom 1:10 56:16
45:5,6 67:8	reference 50:17		56:18
raised 5:20 6:4	referred 33:2	<b>requirements</b> 6:5 8:11 31:17 33:12	root 25:2
37:7 40:15			
rate 60:19 68:13	<b>referring</b> 50:4 65:20	38:20 39:8 48:8,9 73:13	roughly 69:2
rates 28:15 60:20			<b>running</b> 11:20 12:1 14:2
68:18	regard 64:5 65:8	<b>requires</b> 20:9 26:12 61:21	runoff 44:13
rauch 39:4 41:1	<b>regarding</b> 67:2 77:17		53:20
<b>ray</b> 2:14,18 3:4,7		requiring 9:12 12:18	
4:10,11 13:16	regards 40:7	residential 23:13	<b>ryan</b> 61:3
18:12,17 22:9,17	<b>regulations</b> 52:9 79:4	35:12	S
23:16 36:4 38:7		resolution 7:2	<b>s</b> 6:14,21 7:16 17:9
38:17 40:3 44:3	regulator 33:7 rehash 62:16	24:6 25:11 27:21	22:11 23:3,11
45:3 49:5,7,19	reiterate 71:19	28:8 74:14,14	73:20,20
55:17,20 60:6	related 5:9 26:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sales 35:13,18
62:11 64:2,13	36:17 50:12 82:11	78:21 resolved 3:14	<b>salinas</b> 50:3 56:14
ray's 75:1	relates 16:16		57:13,19 58:3,14
<b>read</b> 64:13	44:13 54:17	<b>resources</b> 50:6,12 52:12	58:16,18,20 59:1
reading 28:18	44:13 54:17 relevant 20:19	respectively 52:4	59:3 66:4 79:17
51:10	reluctant 26:2	- ·	79:19,21 80:2,4,6
<b>ready</b> 75:11		respects 14:5	80:18,20 81:1,3,5
<b>real</b> 41:7	<b>remain</b> 39:2,2 61:9	respond 56:6	salisbury 67:9
really 7:11 13:1,2		responded 4:7	<b>saw</b> 70:13
13:15 19:18 47:14	<b>remaining</b> 30:17 36:5	response 3:16,21	saying 7:8 8:18
51:12,14 72:17	remains 63:21	responsibilities 65:14	22:13 35:5,17,19
77:18	remarkably 77:7	responsibility	43:15 76:6
<b>reason</b> 46:15	remarkably 77.7 remember 42:21	65:13	says 11:6,8 13:6
65:15	1 cmember 42:21	05.15	17:6 19:4,8 21:7
			33:17 35:9 63:16

### [scenario - switch]

June 10, 2020

	1		
scenario 14:20	23:1,10,14 25:14	18:15 25:18 26:7	stenographic 82:6
43:1 45:11	27:7,9 30:5,8 31:6	26:12,16 38:17	stenographically
scenarios 24:18	38:1,10,16 40:13	39:12 55:15 57:7	82:6
33:10	<b>shed</b> 72:1	58:20,21 60:17	<b>step</b> 38:12
<b>seal</b> 82:13	<b>short</b> 11:15 16:13	61:5,8,14 72:20	stipulations 82:8
<b>second</b> 32:8 58:7,8	17:4	72:21 74:6,17	<b>stood</b> 62:17,18
58:10 67:10 79:9	shortly 59:13	78:2,19 79:11	<b>storage</b> 6:5 40:21
79:11 80:13,15	<b>show</b> 9:18	80:2,3,12,15 81:3	41:3,4,10,11,12
section 19:7 35:10	<b>shown</b> 74:9	81:4	42:8
50:7,8,12	<b>shows</b> 74:13	spray 8:7,19 9:13	<b>storm</b> 44:13 54:17
sections 20:19	signature 82:15	10:5 11:9,10,18	54:17,21
<b>see</b> 2:12 11:13,13	significant 54:8	11:20 12:10 24:20	strannahan 1:18
12:2 28:1 41:14	<b>similar</b> 66:14	26:3,5 41:9 43:6,7	18:14 45:1,12,17
68:17 70:17 72:10	<b>site</b> 44:12	43:14 44:12,14	46:7 47:6 49:3
seeing 27:15	<b>situation</b> 8:13,16	45:7,18,21 46:2,5	55:6,7 58:8,11,16
seeking 34:4	8:18 69:12 71:12	46:10,16 47:2,4	58:17 65:18,19
sending 8:9 16:5	six 9:13 10:5 11:9	51:1,7	66:5 77:21 78:1
17:7	12:9 16:19 28:6	<b>st</b> 33:5 68:11	79:9,12,19,20
<b>sense</b> 21:3,5 35:16	40:7 44:7 47:16	70:10	80:13,16,20,21
sensitive 40:8	50:6,17 51:11	staff 60:4 65:20	strategies 55:1
49:11,13 63:2	52:11	71:20 72:13	<b>stream</b> 51:7,18,20
65:7 72:5 77:5	<b>sleep</b> 66:9	<b>stage</b> 34:13	52:1,7,8 57:2,4
sentence 33:21	<b>social</b> 56:20	stand 75:8	streams 44:16
34:19	<b>soils</b> 70:8	standard 40:7	52:3
sentences 35:9	somebody 57:9	standards 32:5,12	<b>strict</b> 13:7
separate 57:14	somewhat 47:10	36:11 59:20 77:16	strong 37:11 43:8
september 82:20	sorry 4:1 13:15	79:6	subject 34:21
serving 34:1	26:3 28:11 40:16	start 2:20 3:3	<b>submit</b> 7:2,4 9:1
session 18:8,12	44:1 74:5	11:18 45:7 47:17	submitted 5:5,6
20:12 22:8 55:10	sort 19:3 36:14	47:19	9:14 13:8,19
55:10 56:4,11,18	38:5 64:3	started 28:11	15:10 27:6
56:21 57:5,10,14	<b>source</b> 51:6	47:11	sufficient 37:3
57:21 58:5,11	<b>space</b> 56:19 64:20	starting 12:9 28:4	suggest 56:14
60:8	speak 74:5	startup 28:12	suggestion 37:2
set 39:18 57:13	<b>special</b> 77:7,9	state 13:4 20:14	<b>sum</b> 52:10
73:7,13 77:16	<b>specific</b> 52:6 76:1	20:19 25:13 26:6	support 51:3
82:4	78:8,15	31:9 39:7 48:4	63:16,20
seven 12:12 27:18	specificity 50:11	63:16 73:8 82:1,2	<b>suppose</b> 36:20
28:6,7 59:20 79:3	52:11	stated 4:18 22:10	supposed 30:21
sewer 6:10,18	<b>speed</b> 45:2	statement 75:18	<b>sure</b> 24:4 45:12
7:10 14:6,7,13,14	<b>spies</b> 1:17 7:18 8:3	status 23:11	58:14 60:2 62:14
14:19 15:2 18:6	9:5,21 10:3 11:3	statute 19:4	75:15 76:13
19:20 20:5,16	12:2 16:4,12,18	stay 59:12	switch 29:13
21:1,4,6,10 22:19	17:2,4,17,19		32:10

### [synopsis - treatment]

June 10, 2020

	1	1	
synopsis 57:11	<b>term</b> 11:16 16:4,7	71:3,20 74:9 75:7	25:8 31:1,3 42:10
<b>system</b> 15:6 23:14	16:13 17:4	75:20 76:10,10,11	42:12
23:21 25:19 28:17	terms 37:8 49:8	76:17 77:10	town 2:7 5:11 6:13
29:12 30:9,21	63:6	<b>thirds</b> 64:6	7:14 8:9 15:3 25:7
31:13 33:1,18	test 49:21	thought 62:21	29:4,7,11 30:4,7
34:5,19,21 35:3	testified 23:20	<b>three</b> 8:14 11:14	30:11,12 31:12
36:10 38:8,19	testimony 74:8,13	15:16 23:21 24:2	32:14,19 33:1,11
48:20 49:2 50:16	79:2	24:9 25:8 26:9,12	33:21 34:5,20
51:2 52:13 68:4	testing 49:13	26:14,17,21 28:5	35:1,21 39:5
70:6	<b>text</b> 50:4	32:2,3 41:5,15	45:14 46:20 48:18
<b>systems</b> 50:9 51:8	thank 4:10 23:15	61:12 69:2,19	50:10,13,19 52:6
t	49:3 55:4,19,21	70:1 80:6,8	52:12,19 53:1
take 7:19 20:7	56:1 60:16 61:14	<b>throw</b> 8:17	54:7,13 63:17,18
43:21 64:17 66:13	62:11 65:17 66:5	throws 68:1,2	63:21
77:4	71:16 72:19 74:16	<b>tilt</b> 12:1	town's 54:21
<b>taken</b> 2:16 42:19	76:13 77:20 78:18	<b>time</b> 3:15,20 4:4,5	track 21:12,15,18
43:18,18 59:14	80:9 81:7,9	4:9 5:8,12 6:3,7	44:1
takes 53:18	thanks 3:1	6:15 8:8,20 9:6	tracks 47:8,14
talbot 1:1,10 7:9	thing 4:18 21:13	14:8,12 15:1,3,13	transcribed 82:6
33:4 50:9 51:17	24:4 40:17 47:11	25:6 26:19 31:4	transcript 2:1
52:5 66:20 77:8	71:13	31:14 32:18 42:5	transcription 82:7
82:3	things 5:3 10:8	45:5 47:5 48:3,6	trappe 2:8,8 7:14
talk 20:20 37:16	12:4 14:3,11,21	52:17,20 55:18	8:10 16:6,10 17:7
51:13	15:6 16:2 17:15	56:2,4 59:19 60:2	17:14,15 25:7
talked 47:7	19:1 21:18 27:5	62:5,7,10,15,17	28:17 29:4,8,11
talking 6:11 35:9	40:19 41:1 47:11	62:18 66:3 70:19	29:19 30:5,7,13
45:2	48:14 63:14 69:5	71:1,15 73:17	30:18 31:19 32:19
talks 19:12 34:19	74:12 76:18 78:17	81:8 82:4	33:11 34:5,11,21
51:4,12,18,20	think 3:18 5:8,18	times 69:3,8,19	35:1,4,21 45:14
tasked 73:11	5:20 6:3,3,7 9:15	70:2	46:20 47:19 48:19
technical 2:13	10:7,19 12:15	<b>timing</b> 61:17	50:11,13,16,19
18:11	14:1 15:6,8 16:2	<b>tmdls</b> 44:10	51:15,16 52:19
technically 41:7	17:10,19 18:14,15	today 10:21 11:2	53:1 54:8 63:3
technologies	18:19 21:10 22:7	45:3 46:2 73:12	74:21
32:18 49:16	22:9,12 23:17	78:8,14	trappe's 33:1 52:6
technology 30:21	25:10,21 26:18	tony 2:13 3:4 18:1	52:12
32:2 49:2 61:4	30:2 36:6 37:6,9	18:2 25:10 33:14	treating 15:15
75:5,5	38:7,21 39:4,5,17	45:3 49:5 55:17	treatment 16:1,17
<b>teeth</b> 33:19 37:8	40:11,17,19 41:14	63:12 64:16	17:8,13,15,16
telephone 1:15	42:3,20 43:11	top 5:18 41:9	23:17 25:1 28:10
tell 18:18	44:20 46:8 48:14	53:11	28:13 29:15 30:12
temperature 43:6	50:20 56:19 61:20	topic 12:21	30:17,19 32:17
ten 5:15 28:6	62:18 63:12 64:1	total 15:17 24:9	37:17 40:1 48:19
56:13 69:8	64:9 65:3,12,14	24:10,15,17 25:2	49:2,16 50:19
			1

### [treatment - zero]

June 10, 2020

51:1 52:13,19	unfortunately	wait 45:15 57:3	<b>webex</b> 57:2
53:8 54:3 62:4,6	68:3	want 3:3 11:11	weeds 65:5 66:8
68:12 70:10,13	<b>unique</b> 8:16,18	18:8,11 20:11	<b>week</b> 46:5
71:8,14	14:20 15:7 40:18	24:19 40:19 44:8	weeks 73:12
trees 10:14,18	unsettled 72:15	44:17 47:17 56:3	weigh 33:15
<b>tried</b> 72:2	updated 48:7	57:3 59:6 62:12	welcome 55:12
true 82:7	upgrade 32:16	62:16,18 63:4,8	went 19:15,16
try 18:21 19:4	51:1	75:19 77:14	70:1 79:3
trying 8:17 9:16	upgraded 30:19	<b>wanted</b> 60:10	wet 68:17 70:11
15:2,4 19:2 21:17	<b>uptake</b> 46:18 51:9	wants 33:21	70:17 71:1
40:13	<b>uptick</b> 70:13	wastewater 13:5,7	<b>whatnot</b> 14:16
turn 12:11 31:8	<b>use</b> 10:4 11:9	15:5 16:1,6,17	<b>william</b> 1:15
turned 29:19	19:11 32:7 40:8	17:7,11,13,15	willing 26:20 29:5
32:11	61:18 63:15	25:1 28:12,17	<b>window</b> 68:2
<b>two</b> 8:7 13:6 14:11	<b>uses</b> 67:12	29:11,15 30:12,17	<b>winds</b> 43:8
14:21 20:3 28:5	usually 7:2	30:19 31:13 32:17	witness 82:13
31:2 59:5,8 62:20	utilize 26:2 53:2	33:1 34:5,21 35:2	wonderful 77:8,9
64:6 67:5 68:18	utilized 26:6	37:17 49:16 50:9	words 4:15 22:12
73:11 80:7,9	<b>utilizing</b> 49:1,21	50:15,19 51:1,5	44:6
<b>type</b> 37:18	67:7	52:13,19 54:2	<b>work</b> 74:20
<b>typically</b> 7:9 14:14	v	62:4 68:3,11	worked 30:4
20:14,15 33:2	vegetation 46:17	70:10,12 71:13	working 45:4
45:9,19 71:8	47:3	water 6:9,18 7:10	works 33:4 64:11
u	verdery 59:4,10	13:4 14:6,7,13,14	66:19 76:4
ultimately 5:14	versus 5:16 6:6	14:19 15:1 18:5	<b>write</b> 24:13
6:19 9:19 14:17	49:15 65:3	19:20 20:5,16	writing 27:4
33:8 68:7 69:9	view 23:6,8	21:1,4,5,10 22:19	<b>written</b> 3:18 4:6
uncertainties 65:2	views 75:10	23:1,10 25:14	wrote 3:9
72:9	violations 54:9	27:7,9 30:5,7 31:6	<b>wwtp</b> 28:14
uncertainty 36:19	visitor 35:12	33:1 37:21 38:10	<b>wye</b> 1:10
64:5,19,20	<b>voice</b> 79:16	38:15 40:13 44:13	У
uncomfort 74:8	<b>volume</b> 41:8 42:6	54:17,18 55:1	yeah 30:1 56:5
underlined 28:7	42:9,10 43:18,18	70:14	72:21 75:17
underlying 72:11	volumes 42:12	waters 51:13	<b>year</b> 8:14 11:14
understand 9:9	45:20 46:1	watershed 44:16	47:5 65:10 69:16
29:17 56:5 61:2	<b>vote</b> 58:7,13 73:6	way 17:10 27:1	70:21
66:18 67:18 72:2	79:16 80:17	31:21 64:8 75:8	years 5:16 8:7 9:6
72:14	voted 7:4	77:1 82:11	47:11 74:1,2 78:5
understanding	voting 17:5,9	we've 2:15,15	youtube 57:2
9:11 30:10,11	73:11,14,17,19	14:21 32:3 42:17	yup 8:2
32:13 33:20 34:6	W	45:2 49:15 66:11	Z
49:12 56:9	<b>w</b> 6:14 7:1,16 17:9	73:7,13 74:9	<b>zero</b> 25:2
understood 76:13	<b>w</b> 0.14 7.1,10 17.9 23:3 73:20,20	weather 43:7 46:2	
	23.3 73.20,20	68:17 70:17	

**zone** 25:2 **zoning** 52:1,4,9