

**COUNTY COUNCIL  
OF  
TALBOT COUNTY**

2013 Legislative Session, Legislative Day No.: December 17, 2013

Resolution No.: 209

Introduced by: Mr. Bartlett, Mr. Duncan, Mr. Hollis, Mr. Pack, Ms Price

**A RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE TALBOT COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE WATER AND SEWER PLAN TO PROVIDE PERMANENT ALLOCATION OF WASTEWATER CAPACITY WITHIN THE TALBOT COUNTY REGION II WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM (“REGION II SYSTEM”) TO THE MARTINGHAM SEWER SERVICE AREA (“SSA”) AND TO INCREASE EXISTING FLOWS WITHIN THE REGION II SYSTEM FROM MARTINGHAM SSA BY 40,500 GALLONS PER DAY**

By the Council: December 17, 2013

Introduced, read the first time, and ordered posted, with Public Hearing scheduled on Tuesday, January 28, 2014 at 6:30 p.m. in the Bradley Meeting Room, South Wing, Talbot County Courthouse, Council Meeting Room, 11 North Washington Street, Easton, Maryland.

By order:



Susan W. Moran, Secretary

**A RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE TALBOT COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE WATER AND SEWER PLAN TO PROVIDE PERMANENT ALLOCATION OF WASTEWATER CAPACITY WITHIN THE TALBOT COUNTY REGION II WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM (“REGION II SYSTEM”) TO THE MARTINGHAM SEWER SERVICE AREA (“SSA”) AND TO INCREASE EXISTING FLOWS WITHIN THE REGION II SYSTEM FROM MARTINGHAM SSA BY 40,500 GALLONS PER DAY**

**WHEREAS**, the County Council of Talbot County, State of Maryland, by Resolution Number 100 has adopted the October 2002 Report of the Review of the Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan (the “Plan”); and

**WHEREAS**, Chapter Two of the Plan contains the *Talbot County Region II – Sanitary District Allocation Program*, pages 47-50F, which presents a detailed analysis of allocation of wastewater treatment capacity within the Region II (St. Michaels) Wastewater Treatment System (“Region II System”), including classifying allocation to the Martingham Sewer Service Area (“SSA”) as “temporary”; and

**WHEREAS**, the County desires to change the allocation of wastewater capacity within the Region II System to the Martingham SSA from “temporary” to “permanent,” which would increase the existing flows within the Region II System by 40,500 gallons per day (“gpd”).

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF TALBOT COUNTY**, that

1. In accordance with the requirements of Environment Article § 9-506(a)(1), Md. Ann. Code, the proposed amendment will be submitted to the Talbot County Planning Commission and the Talbot County Public Works Advisory Board for review and comment, within a 30 day period, for consistency with planning programs for the County. Pursuant to the requirements set forth in the above State statute, before the County Council may adopt the proposed amendment the Talbot County Planning Commission must first certify that the amendment is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan prepared under Article 25A, § 5 (X), Md. Ann. Code. Upon conclusion of the public hearing(s), closing of the public record, receipt and consideration of certifications and recommendations from the Planning Commission and Public Works Advisory Board, the County Council will consider and act upon the proposed amendment and approve Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
2. Adoption of this resolution shall authorize the amendment of Chapter Two of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan, *Talbot County Region II – Sanitary District Allocation Program*, pages 47-50F, for permanent allocation of wastewater capacity within the Region II (St. Michaels) Wastewater Treatment Plant to the Martingham SSA. Chapter Two shall reflect an increase in existing flows within the Region II System from Martingham SSA in the amount of 40,500 gpd pursuant to calculations by the County Engineer. To offset this increase, Chapter Two shall include new provisions reducing future Region II System flows from St. Michaels SSA by 40,500 gpd.

3. The text of this Amendment to Chapter Two of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan is attached to this resolution as Exhibit "A" and incorporated by reference herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its date of passage.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

Having been posted and Notice, Time and Place of Hearing, and Title of Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_ having been published, a public hearing was held on \_\_\_\_\_, 2014 in the Bradley Meeting Room, South Wing, Talbot County Courthouse, 11 North Washington Street, Easton, Maryland.

**BY THE COUNCIL**

Read the second time:

Enacted: \_\_\_\_\_

By Order: \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

Pack -  
Hollis -  
Bartlett -  
Price -  
Duncan -

**TALBOT COUNTY REGION II - SANITARY DISTRICT ALLOCATION PROGRAM****Background**

The 2002 Report of the Review for the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan incorporated a detailed analysis to support the planning, design and construction of a 1.0 million gallon per day (MGD) wastewater treatment plant to replace the existing Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant with a design capacity of 500,000 gallon per day. Through negotiations with the Maryland Departments of the Environment (MDE) and Planning (MDP), the County and the - State agreed that the 1.0 million gallon per day (MGD) facility should be constructed, but the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit would only allow the discharge of 800,000 gallons per day (gpd). The proposed wastewater treatment plant would be capable of **biologicalenhanced** nutrient removal, and would be capable of discharging concentrations of 3.0 mg/l of total nitrogen and 0.3 mg/l of total phosphorus at temperature of 10°C or greater to the receiving stream, Miles River.

After seeking approval from MDE and MDP as to the design capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, Talbot County sought engineering proposals for design services for the new 1.0 MGD **BiologicalEnhanced** Nutrient Removal (**BNRENR**) Upgrade and Expansion of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. The County selected Rummel, Klepper and Kahl (RK&K) through a competitive bidding process to design the new **BNRENR** Wastewater Treatment Plant.

During the design process, the County and RK&K sought ways to maximize the operation of the new 1.0 MGD **BNRENR** Upgrade and Expansion of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. To provide temporary storage of extraneous flows experienced during periods of wet weather, the Bardneph process would be constructed with two trains, each train capable of treating 500,000 gpd. In addition to the two train process, a flow equalization basin was incorporated into the new wastewater treatment plant with a capacity of 100,000 gallons. The flow equalization basin would be connected to one of the treatment trains providing the County with 600,000 gallons of storage of extraneous flow in addition to the 1.7 million gallon pond used for emergency storage and volume for shellfish protection as required within the NPDES Permit.

During the initial operation of the new Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, flows would be treated through one train while efforts during this period would be made to improve the sewer collection system. As improvements were being made to the sewer collection system, thus creating capacity at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, new opportunities to extend sewer into areas served by onsite sewage disposal systems would be created. Based on the information provided in the 1992 Update of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan, the soils west of Route 50 are poor draining, clay laden soils, thus requiring groundwater penetration for effluent disposal for onsite sewage disposal systems. Utilizing the restricted, denied access sewer service policy that was adopted for the villages of Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville, the County could utilize the capacity reserved for inflow and infiltration for addressing water quality problems associated with septic systems thus establishing a program for protecting the tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay and promoting improvements of water quality of the tributaries in Talbot County.

47  
48 As the design of the 1.0 MGD facility was being completed, MDE requested that the County  
49 execute the ENR agreement to proceed with the funding of the project using ~~BNREN~~ grant  
50 funds and State Revolving Funds for low-interest loans. The ENR agreement was forwarded to  
51 the County Council President for execution, however, due to public concern as to the design and  
52 construction of a 1.0 MGD wastewater treatment facility to be permitted for 0.8 MGD, the  
53 County Council sought information from the Department of Public Works and input from the  
54 general public. During this period, the Region II Wastewater Treatment System experienced  
55 problems with extraneous flows associated with groundwater and stormwater entering the sewer  
56 collection system.

57  
58 These extraneous flows are defined as inflow and infiltration (I&I) flows. As the County was  
59 attempting to complete the design of the ~~BNREN~~ upgrade and expansion of the Region II  
60 Wastewater Treatment Plant, the County was also completing in-pipe flow monitoring and  
61 smoke testing of the gravity sewer collection system in the Town of St. Michaels and the  
62 communities of Rio Vista and Bentley Hay. Through analysis by the Department of Public  
63 Works, the amount of I&I flows entering the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant was fairly  
64 consistent with the difference in the daily average flows recorded for calendar year 2002 and  
65 2003. In 2002, the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States experienced a serious drought and  
66 flows at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant averaged 298,000 gpd. In 2003, the Eastern  
67 Shore and the State of Maryland experienced record snowfalls and rainfalls that resulted in a  
68 yearly daily average flow of 486,000 gpd being recorded at the Region II Wastewater Treatment  
69 Plant. Using the difference in the yearly daily average flows for calendar year 2002 and 2003,  
70 the estimated amount of I&I entering the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant is 170,000 gpd.

71  
72 **Revised Design Capacity from 1.0 MGD to 0.660 MGD**

73 As concerns from the general public mounted concerning the 1.0 MGD plant, permitted for 0.8  
74 MGD and on-going problems with the sewer collection system, the County Council introduced  
75 and adopted Resolution 106 to authorize the design and construction of a ~~BNREN~~ wastewater  
76 treatment plant with a design capacity of 0.660 MGD.

77  
78 With the adoption of Resolution 106, a conflict resulted within the Talbot County  
79 Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan associated with the analysis in Chapter 2 supporting  
80 the design and construction of a 1.0 MGD facility to be permitted for 0.8 MGD and the decision  
81 of the County Council to design and construct a 0.660 MGD ~~BNREN~~ Wastewater Treatment  
82 Plant. Because of this conflict, MDE and MDP requested that Talbot County complete a new  
83 analysis to determine the allocation of capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant to  
84 the various areas served by the treatment facility. ~~The analysis~~In 2004, DPW completed ~~herein~~  
85 ~~utilized existing data~~ the analysis and ~~various assumptions~~ worked with the County Council to  
86 ~~determine existing and future flows from the various~~ adopt the revised sewer ~~service areas~~  
87 ~~to~~ allocations for the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant having a designed hydraulic capacity  
88 of 660,000 gpd.

89  
90 **Determination of Wastewater Flow from the Villages, Communities, and Other Areas**

91

In the 2002 Report of the Review, the analysis used principles ~~off~~from the MDE guidelines for determining water generation from a residential structure with the assumption that the wastewater generation equaled the water generation. Typically wastewater generation is a percentage of water generation due to water being used for lawns, to wash cars or fill pools thus resulting in water being used but is not being discharged into the sewer system. In accordance with the MDE guidelines, flow projections for wastewater less than 80 gallons per day (gpd) per person must be justified. Metering the wastewater flow for over 17 months and reviewing the data, the metered data is consistent and provides justification for using a flow projection less than 80 ~~gallons per day per person or 184 gallons per equivalent dwelling per day~~ gpd per person or 184 gallons per equivalent dwelling per day. For areas within the Town of St. Michaels that are served by a gravity sewer system, the allocation of wastewater flow per dwelling shall be 250 gpd per dwelling. This flow rate uses a population equivalent of 2.3 people per dwelling with an estimated wastewater generation of 80 gpd per person which totals 184 gpd per dwelling. To help account for inflow and infiltration 66 gpd is added to the flow rate calculated for the dwelling to establish a flow rate of 250 gpd per dwelling (184 gpd/dwelling + 66 gpd of I&I/dwelling).

In an attempt to accurately assess the wastewater generation for the villages of Unionville, Tunis Mills, Copperville, Royal Oak, Newcomb, and Bellevue, the wastewater flows were metered at the Unionville Pump Station serving the villages of Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville and Royal Oak Pump Station. The Royal Oak Pump station receives wastewater flows from the villages of Bellevue, Newcomb and Royal Oak as well as the flows from the Unionville Pump Station. The recorded flows from January, 2003 through May, 2004 are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1 - Pump Station Flow Data**

MONTH	Royal Oak Pump Station No. 1 (gallons per day)	Unionville Pump Station Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville Flows (gallons per day)	Royal Oak, Newcomb, and Bellevue Flows (gallons per day)
January, 2003	64,000	22,000	42,000
February, 2003	80,000	28,000	52,000
March, 2003	77,000	25,000	52,000
April, 2003	65,000	20,000	45,000
May, 2003	68,000	20,000	48,000
June, 2003	74,000	22,000	52,000
July, 2003	66,000	19,000	47,000
August, 2003	67,000	20,000	47,000
September, 2003	74,000	20,000	54,000
October, 2003	68,000	19,000	49,000
November, 2003	71,000	23,000	48,000
December, 2003	68,000	22,000	46,000
January, 2004	61,000	21,000	40,000
February, 2004	65,000	20,000	45,000
March, 2004	64,000	17,000	47,000
April, 2004	68,000	20,000	48,000

May, 2004	56,000	20,000	36,000
-----------	--------	--------	--------

117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161

Using the data provided in Table 1, the daily average flows were calculated as follows:

Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville

Calendar Year 2003 Daily Average Flow: 21,700 gallons per day  
 Calendar Year 2004 Daily Average Flow: 19,600 gallons per day  
 2003/2004 (Jan – May) Daily Average Flow: 21,100 gallons per day

Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue

Calendar Year 2003 Daily Average Flow: 48,400 gallons per day  
 Calendar Year 2004 Daily Average Flow: 43,800 gallons per day  
 2003/2004 (Jan – May) Daily Average Flow: 47,100 gallons per day

Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville

Within the Region I - Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville Sewer Service Area, 175 residential structures, as reported in 2004, are connected to the sewer system with a daily average flow of 21,100 gpd, reported ~~for~~ from January 2003 to May 2004. Based on Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, 170 residential structures were being billed for sewer service, but the past 17 months 2004 analysis will be used since the five (5) residential structures still exist but are not occupied. Approximately 48 lots can be connected in the future. To assess the future flows from the proposed 48 lots, the daily average flow of 21,100 gpd was divided by the existing number of residential structures connected to the sewer system to establish a flow per connection. The flow per connections was calculated to be 121 gpd per connection. To calculate the future flow rate of 48 lots, or future connections, 48 lots were multiplied by 121 gpd per connection, with the future flow being estimated to be 5,900 gpd. Because of various unknowns such as missing and/or broken cleanout caps, broken laterals, leaking tank seals and/or leaking tank lids, a safety factor was used. Consistent with EPA and MDE guidelines, a safety factor of 20% was used to estimate the flow allocation for this region, and this safety factor addresses the variability of wastewater flows for small systems. The following outlines how the flow allocation for Region I Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville Sewer Service Area, of 32,400 gpd, was determined.

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
 \text{Estimated Total Flow (Q)} & = & \text{Existing Flow (Q}_{\text{existing}}) & + \text{Future Flows (Q}_{\text{future}}) & + \text{20\% Safety Factor} \\
 32,400 \text{ gpd} & = & 21,100 \text{ gpd} & + & 5,900 \text{ gpd} & + & 5,400 \text{ gpd}
 \end{array}$$

Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue

Within the Region II - Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue Sewer Service Area, 376 residential structures, as reported in 2004, are connected to the sewer system with a daily average flow of 47,100 gpd as recorded for the past 17 months. Approximately 460 lots can be connected in the future. To assess the future flows from the proposed 460 lots, the daily average flow of 47,100 gpd was divided by the existing number of residential structures connected to the sewer system to establish a flow per connection. The flow per connection was calculated to be 126 gpd per connection. To calculate the future flow rate of 460 lots, or future connections, 460 lots were multiplied by 126 gpd per connection, with the future flow being estimated to be 58,000 gpd.

162 Because of various unknowns such as missing and/or broken cleanout caps, broken laterals,  
 163 leaking tank seals and/or leaking tank lids, a safety factor was used. Consistent with EPA and  
 164 MDE guidelines, a safety factor of 20% was used to estimate the flow allocation for this region,  
 165 and this safety factor addresses the variability of wastewater flows for small systems. The  
 166 following outlines how the flow allocation for the Region II Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue  
 167 Sewer Service Area, of 126,200 gpd, was determined.

168  
 169 Estimated Total Flow (Q) = Existing Flow (Q<sub>existing</sub>) + Future Flows (Q<sub>future</sub>) + 20% Safety Factor  
 170 126,200 gpd = 47,100 gpd + 58,000 gpd + 22,100 gpd

171  
 172 Community of Bentley Hay

173  
 174 In completing the 2002 Report of the Review of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and  
 175 Sewerage Plan, the Department of Public Works learned that the subdivision of Bentley Hay was  
 176 excluded from the County and State Priority Funding Area maps. The subdivision of Bentley  
 177 Hay is outside the municipal boundary of the Town of St. Michaels, yet receives water service  
 178 from the Town of St. Michaels, and receives sewer service from Talbot County via the Region II  
 179 Wastewater Treatment Plant.

180  
 181 The Subdivision of Bentley Hay dates back to 1947 and has been assumed to be built out as of  
 182 this date. The projected sanitary sewer flow from this subdivision was estimated to be 29,800  
 183 gallons per day that was reported in the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant Allocation  
 184 Program in the 2002 Report of the Review.

185  
 186 The allocation as presented in the 2002 Report of the Review shall be the same within the  
 187 revised allocation. The Bentley Hay community allocation shall be 29,800 gpd.

188  
 189 Other County Areas

190  
 191 As presented in the 2002 Report of the Review, flows from various areas in the County were  
 192 incorporated into the allocation program. These areas included Chester Park, areas outside the  
 193 priority funding areas and properties within the priority funding areas identified by [MDP:the](#)  
 194 [Maryland Department of Planning \(MDP\)](#). In addition to the areas in the County, estimated  
 195 flows from the Perry Cabin Inn were incorporated into the flow calculations.

196  
 197 With the completion of the expansion of the Inn at Perry Cabin, the estimated flow of 8,000 gpd  
 198 has been assumed to be part of the existing flows for the Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista.  
 199 With the reduced capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, estimated wastewater  
 200 flows from the Community of Back Creek and Chester Park have been incorporated into the  
 201 category of Other County Areas with an estimated existing flow of 3,000 gpd and 12,000 gpd for  
 202 future flows. The areas allocated wastewater flow in the 2002 Report of the Review,  
 203 Unincorporated Areas being identified by MDP as being priority funding areas shall be  
 204 incorporated into the future flows and made part of the reserved capacity for I&I flows for the  
 205 Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista as presented in this analysis. Both the County and the Town  
 206 of St. Michaels will have to determine how future areas will be served with the capacity  
 207 identified for future flows and the flows associated with the reserved capacity to I&I flows.

208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253

Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista

~~As presented in the 2002 Report of the Review, the estimated existing and future flows from the incorporated limits of the Town and the Rio Vista Subdivision was 544,000 gpd. These wastewater flows were estimated on the statutory criteria for a priority funding areas (PFAs), minimum density of 3.5 lots per acre. In calculating this estimated flow rate, the County used data collected by Hyder Consulting that was presented in their August 2000 Region II Wastewater Collection System Study. The estimated flow rate for growth and high priority areas within the corporate limits of the Town was reported as 176,000 gpd that was incorporated into the total estimated sewerage flow of 544,000 gpd.~~

~~The original design of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant was to serve primarily the Town of St. Michaels. The estimated flow associated with the unincorporated areas around St. Michaels and within the MDP defined priority funding area was found to be approximately 52,500 gpd. This includes Chester Park and the proposed expansion at the Inn at Perry Cabin. The estimated flows of 42,000 gpd, excluding Chester Park and the Inn at Perry Cabin, will need to established at the wastewater treatment plant by expanding the plant capacity or by making corrections within the St. Michaels sewer collection system to reduce the amount of flow being associated with extraneous, I&I flows.~~

Within this analysis, the existing flows for St. Michaels and Rio Vista were determined by deducting the recorded and estimated flows for other areas and the extraneous flows associated with I&I flows. The estimated existing flow of 197,000 gallons per day was determined for St. Michaels and Rio Vista. To estimate the future flows from the Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista, I&I flows were held constant establishing a reserved capacity for existing and future extraneous flows. The safety factors for Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville and Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue Sewer Service Areas were incorporated as part of extraneous flows held constant as reserved capacity.

In 2004, the estimated future flows for the Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista was estimated to be 116,100 gpd, or 109,700 gpd for the Town of St. Michaels and 6,400 gpd for Rio Vista. In about the past five years, two large developments which were included in the future flows for the Town of St. Michaels have been reduced from 400 lots to one with the other being reduced from 250 lots to 16 proposed lots. Based on this reduction of future lots, the future flows for the Town of St. Michaels has been reduced from 109,700 gpd to 69,200 gpd. The Department of Public Works will continue to work with the Town of St. Michaels in adjusting the future flow allocation to maintain consistency of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan with the Town of St. Michaels Comprehensive Plan.

Community of Rio Vista

After completing the analysis to determine the existing and future flows for St. Michaels and Rio Vista, an estimate of the wastewater flows being generated by Rio Vista was completed. The Rio Vista Community has 264 residential lots with a church owning 16 of this these lots. Incorporated into the Rio Vista flows are the lots along Maryland Route 33 with approximately

254 65.25 equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) with a future total 97.25 EDUs. Using 200 gallons per  
 255 day per residential lot, the estimated wastewater flow for Rio Vista area was determined to be  
 256 63,050 gallons per day with 49,600 gpd associated with 248 residential lots in the Rio Vista  
 257 Community, 400 gallons per day of wastewater flow being estimated for the church, and 13,050  
 258 gpd being allocated for the businesses on Maryland Route 33. The future flows for this area  
 259 were estimated to be 6,400 gpd with the assumption that Rio Vista was built-out, thus  
 260 establishing a total allocation of 67,450 gpd for this area.

261

262 Inflow and Infiltration Flows and Reserved Capacity

263

264 Using calendar year 2003 flow data for the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant and the design  
 265 capacity of 500,000 gpd, the allocations for the various sewer service areas are provided in Table  
 266 2. Based on the amount of extraneous, I&I flows experienced in calendar year 2003 being  
 267 170,000 gpd, this value has been shown in Table 2 as I&I flows. Because of the extraneous  
 268 flows currently being recorded at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, measures need to  
 269 be taken to reserve this capacity to avoid over allocating the existing and future capacity of the  
 270 plant.

271

272 The estimated flow being reserved for I&I is 170,000 gpd. The 170,000 gpd is primarily  
 273 associated with the extraneous flows experienced in the gravity sewer collection system within  
 274 Town of St. Michaels and the communities of Bentley Hay and Rio Vista but impacts the overall  
 275 capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. By reserving capacity for the extraneous,  
 276 I&I flows, this capacity will buffer peak flows associated with future extraneous, I&I flows  
 277 allowing the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant to be operated within the permit limitations  
 278 for capacity. As improvements to the gravity sewer system are completed, the flows recorded at  
 279 the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, recorded through in-pipe flow monitoring and  
 280 computer analysis can be used to determine how much capacity can be removed from the  
 281 reserved capacity set aside for I&I flows.

282

283 The 170,000 gpd allocated for I&I flows (or the wastewater treatment plant safety factor) equates  
 284 to approximately 26% of the design capacity. The use of a 20% safety factor for the village  
 285 flows is associated with variability of small flows and was not intended to reflect additional  
 286 reserve capacity within the wastewater treatment plant. As future efforts are made to reduce  
 287 extraneous flows entering the St. Michaels Gravity Sewer Collection System, capacity within the  
 288 Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant can be reallocated from the reserve and used to address  
 289 sewer needs and water quality improvements.

290

291  
292

Table 2-- Existing Wastewater Flows to the Region II Treatment Plant

SEWER SERVICE AREA	EXISTING FLOWS
Existing Design Capacity	500000
Recorded Flows for Calendar Year 2003	-468000
<b>Remaining Capacity</b>	<b>32000</b>
REVIEW OF EXISTING FLOWS	
Total for the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant	468000
Bentley Hay	-29800
Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville	-21100
Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue	-47100
Other County Areas	-3000
Inflow and Infiltration Flow	-170000
<b>St. Michaels And Rio Vista</b>	<b>197000</b>

293  
294  
295  
296  
297

As presented in Table 2, the existing flows for the Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista equates to 197,000 gpd with 133,950 gpd being estimated for the Town of St. Michaels and 63,050 gpd being estimated for the Rio Vista area.

298

Community of Martingham and Harbourtowne Golf Resort and Conference Center

299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307

In April, 2004, representatives from Martingham Utilities Cooperative met with the Talbot County Department of Public Works to discuss the possibility of connecting to the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. The current ~~operation of the~~ wastewater treatment system serving the residents of the Martingham Community and the Harbourtowne Golf Resort and Conference Center is consists of a two-stage stabilization lagoon followed by disinfection and an effluent holding pond. Under proper weather conditions, the treated effluent is spray irrigated on various fairways ~~with one spray irrigation site being on private land where Martingham Utilities paid for an easement to spray irrigate on the land.~~

308  
309  
310  
311  
312

The wastewater treatment facility for the Martingham Community has a treatment plant capacity of 75,000 ~~gallons per day~~gpd but the spray disposal areas have had a permit limit of 66,800 ~~gallons per day~~gpd. The Martingham Community serves ~~320~~330 residents and a commercial establishment, the Harbourtowne Golf Resort and Conference Center.

313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321

Based on information received back in 2004 from Martingham Utilities Cooperative, MDE, and Maryland Environmental Service, the contract-operator for the ~~Region II and~~ Martingham Wastewater Treatment ~~Plants~~Plant at the time, the spray irrigation site on private land has had been reduce by nearly 65% of the usable land area due to MDE requiring a 200 foot setback. ~~Based on a meeting with MDE,~~ It was concluded that the only option available to Martingham Utilities is was to send flow to the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, especially during periods of dry weather in the late fall and winter months to establish enough pond capacity to retain water in the ponds when Martingham ~~Utilities~~ cannot spray irrigate.

322  
323  
324  
325

Based on the discussions with Martingham Utilities Cooperative back in 2004, up to 8,000,000 gallons of either raw wastewater or treated effluent would need to be sent to the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. During years with normal precipitation amounts, an estimated

326 2,000,000 to 4,000,000 gallons of flow would be sent to the Region II Wastewater Treatment. In  
 327 the event of an extremely wet year, 8,000,000 gallons would be sent to the Region II Wastewater  
 328 Treatment Plant over a period of 300 days (26,667 gpd).

329  
 330 For the County to participate with Martingham Utilities Cooperative and MDE in resolving this  
 331 issue, corrective measures that involve the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant and Sewer  
 332 Collection System ~~will need~~needed to be completed in two phases. First, a short-term strategy  
 333 would employ a temporary force main laid within the road drainage ditches or other approved  
 334 temporary strategy conveying treated effluent to the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. The  
 335 standard operating procedure, in the short-term, would need to limit the amount of treated  
 336 effluent to 19,000 gpd or less on a calendar year daily average flow with no flow being conveyed  
 337 during periods of rainfall. During this short-term, temporary period, improvements to the sewer  
 338 collection system ~~must would~~ occur, and the County ~~believes~~believed that ~~these~~ improvements  
 339 ~~would need to be made~~the sewer lines in areas around Mill and Carpenter Streets, and the north  
 340 end of Town ~~to would~~ reduce enough I&I flows from the system to allow Martingham to connect  
 341 to the Region II Sewer Collection System on a permanent basis.

342  
 343 Assuming~~In 2008, the Talbot County Sanitary District assumed ownership of the Martingham~~  
 344 Wastewater Treatment System. After assuming ownership of this wastewater system, the  
 345 County pursued a long-term strategy ~~is approved withof~~ constructing a new force main ~~being~~  
 346 ~~constructed~~ from the Martingham Wastewater Treatment Plant to the sewer collection system of  
 347 the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, ~~ownership of. To complete this long-term strategy,~~  
 348 ~~the Martingham plant would need to be considered by~~ Talbot County Sanitary District. ~~This~~  
 349 ~~would allow~~ applied for low-interest loans and a grant through the CountyUSDA Rural Utility  
 350 Service program in 2010. Due to limited grant funds being offered to ~~control the means of~~  
 351 ~~treating the wastewater and operating the spray irrigation system to comply with the two~~  
 352 ~~discharge permits.~~extend the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant NPDES andsewer to the  
 353 Martingham NPDES permitsCommunity. Talbot County submitted a grant request to the  
 354 Maryland Water Quality Infrastructure Financing Program administered by MDE in 2012  
 355 seeking up to \$2.0 million in grant funds or loan forgiveness.

356  
 357 Because of the low-strength of the raw wastewater being treated at the Region II Wastewater  
 358 Treatment, raw sewage from the Martingham Wastewater Treatment Plant should be pumped for  
 359 treatment at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Facility ~~using the same flow restriction~~  
 360 ~~outlined earlier for total, average gallons treated in a calendar year. The Region II Wastewater~~  
 361 ~~Treatment Facility would handle up to 26,700 gpd of raw sewage during wet years such as 2003.~~  
 362 In reviewing the metered flows at the Martingham Wastewater System, an average flow per  
 363 EDU was determined to be 122.5 gpd/EDU. As previously mentioned, the Martingham  
 364 Community consists of 330 EDUs which consists of residential structures and the Harbourtowne  
 365 Golf Resort and Conference Center, thus 40,500 gpd will be allocated from the future flows for  
 366 the Town of St. Michaels.

367  
 368 ~~In developing capacity at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, improvements with the~~  
 369 ~~sewer collection system must be implemented and completed. These improvements would take~~  
 370 ~~place in the various sections of the sewer collection system found to have the highest priority for~~  
 371 ~~being repaired. The allocation for Martingham has been allocated from the flows designated~~

within the reserved capacity for I&I flows. The revised flow allocation for the existing Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant with a design capacity of 500,000 has been presented in Table 4. Table 5 lists the flows allocations associated with the new treatment facility with a design capacity of 660,000 gallons per day after making improvements in the collection system and establishing a permanent solution to the problem. The Board for Martingham Utilities Cooperative and the Talbot County Department of Public Works shall continue to review and explore options to establish a permanent solution to addressing the discharge permit and report to the County Council the various solutions prior to committing capacity of the 660,000 gallon per day Wastewater Treatment Plant.

Table 4. Revised Allocation The revised flow allocation for the existing flows to the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant with a design capacity of 660,000 gpd has been presented in Table 4. In developing the existing flows, the reported flows are estimates which will fluctuate due to various factors with the most influential factor being wet weather. To avoid an over-allocation of wastewater treatment capacity, 170,000 gpd, as reported in the 2002 Report of the Review and the revised Talbot County – Region II Sanitary District Allocation Program dated August 11, 2004, has been used to reserve capacity during wet weather events. The Department of Public Works continues to complete improvements within the sewer collection system, but there is very little data to accurate estimate a reduction in estimated I&I allocated flows.

Table 3. Review of Allocation of Capacity for Existing Flows with 170,000 gallons per day gpd of I&I

SEWER SERVICE AREA	EXISTING FLOWS
St. Michaels <del>And</del> Rio Vista	197000
Bentley Hay	29800
Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville	21100
Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue	47100
Other County Areas	3000
<b>Martingham Community</b>	<del>19,000</del> 40500
<u>Subtotal Existing Flows – Estimated</u>	<u>338500</u>
<i>Inflow and Infiltration</i>	170000
Totals	<del>487000</del> 508500

397 | Table 54. Revised Allocation of Capacity for 660,000 gallons per day

SEWER SERVICE AREA	EXISTING FLOWS	FUTURE FLOWS	TOTAL FLOWS
St. Michaels <del>And Rio Vista</del>	<del>197000</del> 133950	<del>109700</del> 69200	<del>429900</del> 203150
Rio Vista	63050	6400	69450
Bentley Hay	29800	0	29800
Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville	21100	5900	<del>32400</del> 27000
Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue	47100	58000	105100
Other County Areas	3000	12000	15000
Martingham Community	<del>26700</del> 40500	0	<del>0</del> 40500
Subtotals	338500		490000
I&I Flow Allocation – Estimated	170000		170000
<del>Totals</del> Subtotals	<del>324700</del> 508500	<del>192000</del> 151500	660000

398

DRAFT