

**COUNTY COUNCIL  
OF  
TALBOT COUNTY**

2014 Legislative Session, Legislative Day No.: February 11, 2014


Resolution No.: 210

Introduced by: Mr. Bartlett, Mr. Duncan, Mr. Hollis, Mr. Pack

**A RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE TALBOT COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE WATER AND SEWER PLAN TO PROVIDE PERMANENT ALLOCATION OF WASTEWATER CAPACITY WITHIN THE TALBOT COUNTY REGION II WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM (“REGION II SYSTEM”) TO THE MARTINGHAM SEWER SERVICE AREA (“SSA”) AND TO INCREASE EXISTING FLOWS WITHIN THE REGION II SYSTEM FROM MARTINGHAM SSA BY 40,500 GALLONS PER DAY**

By the Council: February 11, 2014

Introduced, read the first time, and ordered posted, with Public Hearing scheduled on Tuesday, March 11, 2014 at 2:00 p.m. in the Bradley Meeting Room, South Wing, Talbot County Courthouse, Council Meeting Room, 11 North Washington Street, Easton, Maryland.

By order:   
Susan W. Moran, Secretary



**A RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE TALBOT COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE WATER AND SEWER PLAN TO PROVIDE PERMANENT ALLOCATION OF WASTEWATER CAPACITY WITHIN THE TALBOT COUNTY REGION II WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM (“REGION II SYSTEM”) TO THE MARTINGHAM SEWER SERVICE AREA (“SSA”) AND TO INCREASE EXISTING FLOWS WITHIN THE REGION II SYSTEM FROM MARTINGHAM SSA BY 40,500 GALLONS PER DAY**

**WHEREAS**, the County Council of Talbot County, State of Maryland, by Resolution Number 100 has adopted the October 2002 Report of the Review of the Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan (the “Plan”); and

**WHEREAS**, Chapter Two of the Plan contains the *Talbot County Region II – Sanitary District Allocation Program*, pages 47-57, which presents a detailed analysis of allocation of wastewater treatment capacity within the Region II (St. Michaels) Wastewater Treatment System (“Region II System”), including classifying allocation to the Martingham Sewer Service Area (“SSA”) as “temporary”; and

**WHEREAS**, the County desires to change the allocation of wastewater capacity within the Region II System to the Martingham SSA from “temporary” to “permanent,” which would increase the existing flows within the Region II System by 40,500 gallons per day (“gpd”).

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF TALBOT COUNTY**, that

1. In accordance with the requirements of Environment Article § 9-506(a)(1), Md. Ann. Code, the proposed amendment will be submitted to the Talbot County Planning Commission and the Talbot County Public Works Advisory Board for review and comment, within a 30-day period, for consistency with planning programs for the County. Pursuant to the requirements set forth in the above State statute, before the County Council may adopt the proposed amendment the Talbot County Planning Commission must first certify that the amendment is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan prepared under Article 25A, §5 (X), Md. Ann. Code. Upon conclusion of the public hearing(s), closing of the public record, receipt and consideration of certifications and recommendations from the Planning Commission and Public Works Advisory Board, the County Council will consider and act upon the proposed amendment and approve Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
2. Adoption of this resolution shall authorize the amendment of Chapter Two of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan, *Talbot County Region II – Sanitary District Allocation Program*, pages 47-50F, for permanent allocation of wastewater capacity within the Region II (St. Michaels) Wastewater Treatment Plant to the Martingham SSA. Chapter Two shall reflect an increase in existing flows within the Region II System from Martingham SSA in the amount of 40,500 gpd pursuant to calculations by the County Engineer. To offset this increase, Chapter Two shall include new provisions reducing future Region II System flows from the Royal Oak, Newcomb, and Bellevue SSA by 40,500 gpd.

3. The text of this Amendment to Chapter Two of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan is attached to this resolution as Exhibit "A" and incorporated by reference herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its date of passage.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

Having been posted and Notice, Time and Place of Hearing, and Title of Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_ having been published, a public hearing was held on \_\_\_\_\_, 2014 in the Bradley Meeting Room, South Wing, Talbot County Courthouse, 11 North Washington Street, Easton, Maryland.

**BY THE COUNCIL**

Read the second time:

Enacted: \_\_\_\_\_

By Order: \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

- Pack -
- Hollis -
- Bartlett -
- Price -
- Duncan -

KEY FOR COMPREHENSIVE WATER AND SEWER PLAN AMENDMENTS

This KEY explains the proposed amendments to Resolution 209, to amend the Resolution according to the recommendations of the Planning Commission. The green text indicates the Planning Commission’s proposed amendments.

<b>KEY</b>	
<b>Boldface</b> .....	Heading or defined term.
<u>Underlining</u> .....	Added to existing law by original bill.
<del>Strikethrough</del> .....	Deleted from existing law by original bill.
<u>Double underlining</u> .....	Added to bill by amendment.
<del>Double strikethrough</del> .....	Deleted from bill by amendment.
* * * .....	Existing law unaffected.

In re-comparing the text of these amendments with the original text of the Comprehensive Water and Sewer Plan (CWSP), staff became aware that the former draft amendments had deleted text or charts from the original CWSP text and that those deletions had not been identified by strikethrough in the amendments.

Although those deletions were/are not germane to the allocation of 40,500 GPD to Martingham, they have been included in this draft and shown in strikethrough for purposes of accuracy and completeness.

Staff is available to answer any questions or provide any additional information you might require regarding this or any other matter.

There are three (3) proposals:

- (1) Resolution 209 as originally proposed which takes the 40,500 GPD allocation from the Town of St. Michaels;
- (2) Resolution 209 as proposed for amendment by the Planning Commission, Which Takes the 40,500 GPD allocation from the Town of St. Michaels and separately establishes a reserve account by taking the 40,500 GPD from Royal Oak, Newcomb & Bellevue Sewer Service Area; and,
- (3) Resolution 210, to take the 40,500 GPD allocation from Royal Oak, Newcomb & Bellevue Sewer Service Area.

## TALBOT COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE WATER AND SEWER PLAN – October 2002

**TALBOT COUNTY REGION II - SANITARY DISTRICT ALLOCATION PROGRAM****Background**

1 The 2002 Report of the Review for the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan  
2 incorporated a detailed analysis to support the planning, design and construction of a 1.0 million  
3 | gallon per day (MGD) wastewater treatment plant to replace the existing Region II Wastewater  
4 Treatment Plant with a design capacity of 500,000 gallon per day. Through negotiations with the  
5 | Maryland Departments of the Environment (MDE) and Planning (MDP), the County and State  
6 agreed that the 1.0 million gallon per day (MGD) facility should be constructed but the National  
7 Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit would only allow the discharge of  
8 800,000 gallons per day (gpd). The proposed wastewater treatment plant would be capable of  
9 | ~~biological enhanced~~ nutrient removal, and would be capable of discharging concentrations of 3.0  
10 mg/l of total nitrogen and 0.3 mg/l of total phosphorus at temperature of 10°C or greater to the  
11 receiving stream, Miles River.

12  
13 After seeking approval from MDE and MDP as to the design capacity of the Region II  
14 Wastewater Treatment Plant, Talbot County sought engineering proposals for design services for  
15 | the new 1.0 MGD ~~Biological Enhanced~~ Nutrient Removal (~~BNR~~ENR) Upgrade and Expansion  
16 of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. The County selected Rummel, Klepper and Kahl  
17 | (RK&K) through a competitive bidding process to design the new ~~BNR~~ENR Wastewater  
18 Treatment Plant.

19  
20 During the design process, the County and RK&K sought ways to maximize the operation of the  
21 | new 1.0 MGD ~~BNR~~ENR Upgrade and Expansion of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant.  
22 To provide temporary storage of extraneous flows experienced during periods of wet weather,  
23 the Bardneph process would be constructed with two trains, each train capable of treating  
24 500,000 gpd. In addition to the two train process, a flow equalization basin was incorporated  
25 into the new wastewater treatment plant with a capacity of 100,000 gallons. The flow  
26 equalization basin would be connected to one of the treatment trains providing the County with  
27 600,000 gallons of storage of extraneous flow in addition to the 1.7 million gallon pond used for  
28 emergency storage and volume for shellfish protection as required within the NPDES Permit.

29  
30 During the initial operation of the new Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, flows would be  
31 treated through one train while efforts during this period would be made to improve the sewer  
32 collection system. As improvements were being made to the sewer collection system, thus  
33 creating capacity at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, new opportunities to extend  
34 sewer into areas served by onsite sewage disposal systems would be created. Based on the  
35 information provided in the 1992 Update of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and  
36 Sewerage Plan, the soils west of Route 50 are poor draining, clay laden soils, thus requiring  
37 groundwater penetration for effluent disposal for onsite sewage disposal systems. Utilizing the  
38 restricted, denied access sewer service policy that was adopted for the villages of Unionville,  
39 Tunis Mills and Copperville, the County could utilize the capacity reserved for inflow and  
40 infiltration for addressing water quality problems associated with septic systems thus  
41 establishing a program for protecting the tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay and promoting  
42 improvements of water quality of the tributaries in Talbot County.

43  
 44 As the design of the 1.0 MGD facility was being completed, MDE requested that the County  
 45 execute the ENR agreement to proceed with the funding of the project using ~~BNR-ENR~~ grant  
 46 funds and State Revolving Funds for low-interest loans. The ENR agreement was forwarded to  
 47 the County Council President for execution, however, due to public concern as to the design and  
 48 construction of a 1.0 MGD wastewater treatment facility to be permitted for 0.8 MGD, the  
 49 County Council sought information from the Department of Public Works and input from the  
 50 general public. During this period, the Region II Wastewater Treatment System experienced  
 51 problems with extraneous flows associated with groundwater and stormwater entering the sewer  
 52 collection system.

53  
 54 These extraneous flows are defined as inflow and infiltration (I&I) flows. As the County was  
 55 attempting to complete the design of the ~~BNR-ENR~~ upgrade and expansion of the Region II  
 56 Wastewater Treatment Plant, the County was also completing in-pipe flow monitoring and  
 57 smoke testing of the gravity sewer collection system in the Town of St. Michaels and the  
 58 communities of Rio Vista and Bentley Hay. Through analysis by the Department of Public  
 59 Works, the amount of I&I flows entering the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant was fairly  
 60 consistent with the difference in the daily average flows recorded for calendar year 2002 and  
 61 2003. In 2002, the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States experienced a serious drought and  
 62 flows at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant averaged 298,000 gpd. In 2003, the Eastern  
 63 Shore and the State of Maryland experienced record snowfalls and rainfalls that resulted in a  
 64 yearly daily average flow of 486,000 gpd being recorded at the Region II Wastewater Treatment  
 65 Plant. Using the difference in the yearly daily average flows for calendar year 2002 and 2003,  
 66 the estimated amount of I&I entering the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant is 170,000 gpd.

67  
 68 **Revised Design Capacity from 1.0 MGD to 0.66 MGD**

69  
 70 As concerns from the general public mounted concerning the 1.0 MGD plant, permitted for 0.8  
 71 MGD and on-going problems with the sewer collection system, the County Council introduced  
 72 and adopted Resolution 106 to authorize the design and construction of a ~~BNR-ENR~~ wastewater  
 73 treatment plant with a design capacity of 0.660 MGD.

74  
 75 With the adoption of Resolution 106, a conflict resulted within the Talbot County  
 76 Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan associated with the analysis in Chapter 2 supporting  
 77 the design and construction of a 1.0 MGD facility to be permitted for 0.8 MGD and the decision  
 78 of the County Council to design and construct a 0.660 MGD ~~BNR-ENR~~ Wastewater Treatment  
 79 Plant. Because of this conflict, MDE and MDP requested that Talbot County complete a new  
 80 analysis to determine the allocation of capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant to  
 81 the various areas served by the treatment facility. ~~The analysis~~ In 2004, DPW completed ~~herein~~  
 82 ~~utilized existing data~~ the analysis and ~~various assumptions~~ worked with the County Council to  
 83 ~~determine existing and future flows from the various~~ adopt the revised sewer service areas to  
 84 allocations for the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant having a designed hydraulic capacity  
 85 of 660,000 gpd.

86  
 87 **Determination of Wastewater Flow from the Villages, Communities, and Other Areas**

89 In the 2002 Report of the Review, the analysis used principles of MDE guidelines for  
 90 determining water generation from a residential structure with the assumption that the  
 91 wastewater generation equaled the water generation. Typically wastewater generation is a  
 92 percentage of water generation due to water being used for lawns, to wash cars or fill pools thus  
 93 resulting in water being used but is not being discharged into the sewer system. In accordance  
 94 with the MDE guidelines, flow projections for wastewater less than 80 gallons per day per  
 95 person must be justified. Metering the wastewater flow for over 17 months and reviewing the  
 96 data, the metered data is consistent and provides justification for using a flow projection less than  
 97 80 gallons per day per person or 184 gallons per equivalent dwelling per day. For areas within  
 98 the Town of St. Michaels that are served by a gravity sewer system, the allocation of wastewater  
 99 flow per dwelling shall be 250 gpd per dwelling. This flow rate uses a population equivalent of  
 100 2.3 people per dwelling with an estimated wastewater generation of 80 gpd per person which  
 101 totals 184 gpd per dwelling. To help account for inflow and infiltration 66 gpd is added to the  
 102 flow rate calculated for the dwelling to establish a flow rate of 250 gpd per dwelling (184  
 103 gpd/dwelling + 66 gpd of I & I/dwelling).

104  
 105 In an attempt to accurately assess the wastewater generation for the villages of Unionville, Tunis  
 106 Mills, Copperville, Royal Oak, Newcomb, and Bellevue, the wastewater flows were metered at  
 107 the Unionville Pump Station serving the villages of Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville and  
 108 Royal Oak Pump Station. The Royal Oak Pump station receives wastewater flows from the  
 109 villages of Bellevue, Newcomb and Royal Oak as well as the flows from the Unionville Pump  
 110 Station. The recorded flows from January, 2003 through May, 2004 are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1 - Pump Station Flow Data**

MONTH	Royal Oak Pump Station No. 1 (gallons per day)	Unionville Pump Station Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville Flows (gallons per day)	Royal Oak, Newcomb, and Bellevue Flows (gallons per day)
January, 2003	64,000	22,000	42,000
February, 2003	80,000	28,000	52,000
March, 2003	77,000	25,000	52,000
April, 2003	65,000	20,000	45,000
May, 2003	68,000	20,000	48,000
June, 2003	74,000	22,000	52,000
July, 2003	66,000	19,000	47,000
August, 2003	67,000	20,000	47,000
September, 2003	74,000	20,000	54,000
October, 2003	68,000	19,000	49,000
November, 2003	71,000	23,000	48,000
December, 2003	68,000	22,000	46,000
January, 2004	61,000	21,000	40,000
February, 2004	65,000	20,000	45,000
March, 2004	64,000	17,000	47,000
April, 2004	68,000	20,000	48,000
May, 2004	56,000	20,000	36,000

111 Using the data provided in Table 1, the daily average flows were calculated as follows:

112

113 Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville

114 Calendar Year 2003 Daily Average Flow: 21,700 gallons per day

115 Calendar Year 2004 Daily Average Flow: 19,600 gallons per day

116 2003/2004 (Jan – May) Daily Average Flow: 21,100 gallons per day

117

118 Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue

119 Calendar Year 2003 Daily Average Flow: 48,400 gallons per day

120 Calendar Year 2004 Daily Average Flow: 43,800 gallons per day

121 2003/2004 (Jan – May) Daily Average Flow: 47,100 gallons per day

122

123 Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville

124

125 Within the Region I - Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville Sewer Service Area, 175  
 126 residential structures, as reported in 2004, are connected to the sewer system with a daily average  
 127 flow of 21,100 gpd, reported ~~for the past 17 months~~ from January 2003 to May 2004. Based on  
 128 Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, 170 residential structures were being billed for sewer service, but the  
 129 2004 analysis will be used since the five (5) residential structure still would exist but are not  
 130 occupied. Approximately 48 lots can be connected in the future. To assess the future flows from  
 131 the proposed 48 lots, the daily average flow of 21,100 gpd was divided by the existing number of  
 132 residential structures connected to the sewer system to establish a flow per connection. The flow  
 133 per connections was calculated to be 121 gpd per connection. To calculate the future flow rate of  
 134 48 lots, or future connections, 48 lots were multiplied by 121 gpd per connection, with the future  
 135 flow being estimated to be 5,900 gpd. Because of various unknowns such as missing and/or  
 136 broken cleanout caps, broken laterals, leaking tank seals and/or leaking tank lids, a safety factor  
 137 was used. Consistent with EPA and MDE guidelines, a safety factor of 20% was used to  
 138 estimate the flow allocation for this region, and this safety factor addresses the variability of  
 139 wastewater flows for small systems. The following outlines how the flow allocation for Region I  
 140 Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville Sewer Service Area, of 32,400 gpd, was determined.

141

142 Estimated Total Flow (Q) = Existing Flow (Q<sub>existing</sub>) + Future Flows (Q<sub>future</sub>) + 20% Safety Factor  
 143 32,400 gpd = 21,100 gpd + 5,900 gpd + 5,400 gpd

144

145 Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue

146

147 Within the Region II - Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue Sewer Service Area, 376 residential  
 148 structures are connected to the sewer system with a daily average flow of 47,100 gpd as recorded  
 149 ~~for the past 17 months~~ from January 2003 to May 2004. ~~Approximately 460 lots can be~~  
 150 ~~connected in the future~~. To assess the future flows ~~from the proposed 460 lots~~, the daily average  
 151 flow of 47,100 gpd was divided by the existing number of residential structures connected to the  
 152 sewer system to establish a flow per connection. The flow per connection was calculated to be  
 153 126 gpd per connection. ~~To calculate the future flow rate of 460 lots, or future connections, 460~~  
 154 ~~lots were multiplied by 126 gpd per connection, with the future flow being estimated to be~~  
 155 ~~58,000 gpd~~. Because of various unknowns such as missing and/or broken cleanout caps, broken

156 laterals, leaking tank seals and/or leaking tank lids, a safety factor was used. Consistent with  
 157 EPA and MDE guidelines, a safety factor of 20% was used to estimate the flow allocation for  
 158 this region, and this safety factor addresses the variability of wastewater flows for small systems.

159  
 160 Formerly, 58,000 gpd of additional capacity had been allocated to Royal Oak, Newcomb, and  
 161 Bellevue. This capacity was sufficient to provide 460 additional connections (58,000 divided by  
 162 126 equals 460), which would have allowed the number of existing connections, 376, to increase  
 163 to a total of 836 connections, representing an increase of approximately 122% (460 divided by  
 164 376 equals 122%). This amount of additional capacity is inconsistent with the County  
 165 Comprehensive Plan.

166  
 167 Additionally, 40,500 gpd of existing capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant must  
 168 be allocated to the Martingham sewer service area to permanently connect Martingham to the  
 169 Plant to resolve an existing public health problem. Accordingly, the 58,000 gpd formerly  
 170 reserved to Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue shall be reduced by 40,500 gpd, which shall be  
 171 transferred to the Martingham sewer service area, leaving 17,500 gpd for Royal Oak, Newcomb,  
 172 and Bellevue. This remaining capacity will be sufficient to permit an additional 139 connections  
 173 in the Royal Oak, Newcomb, and Bellevue sewer service area. (17,500 gpd divided by 126 gpd  
 174 equals 139 new connections). These new connections still permit an increase of 37% in new  
 175 connections in the Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue sewer service area.

176  
 177 Finally, extension of sewer service to resolve water quality problems is being planned for the  
 178 villages of Bozman, Neavitt, Claiborne, Whitman, and McDaniel. Extension of sewer service to  
 179 those villages will require review and re-allocation of capacity from the Region II plant to  
 180 address existing water quality issues. This will require another comprehensive review of sewer  
 181 service capacity and allocation at that time.

182  
 183 The following outlines how the flow allocation for the Region II Royal Oak, Newcomb and  
 184 Bellevue Sewer Service Area, of ~~126,200~~ 77,600 gpd, was determined.

185  
 186 Estimated Total Flow (Q) = Existing Flow (Q<sub>existing</sub>) + Future Flows (Q<sub>future</sub>) + 20% Safety Factor  
 187 ~~126,200~~ 77,600 gpd = 47,100 gpd + ~~58,000~~ 17,500 gpd + ~~22,100~~ 13,000 gpd

188  
 189 Community of Bentley Hay

190  
 191 In completing the 2002 Report of the Review of the Talbot County Comprehensive Water and  
 192 Sewerage Plan, the Department of Public Works learned that the subdivision of Bentley Hay was  
 193 excluded from the County and State Priority Funding Area maps. The subdivision of Bentley  
 194 Hay is outside the municipal boundary of the Town of St. Michaels, yet receives water service  
 195 from the Town of St. Michaels, and receives sewer service from Talbot County via the Region II  
 196 Wastewater Treatment Plant.

197  
 198 The Subdivision of Bentley Hay dates back to 1947 and has been assumed to be built out as of  
 199 this date. The projected sanitary sewer flow from this subdivision was estimated to be 29,800  
 200 gallons per day that was reported in the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant Allocation  
 201 Program in the 2002 Report of the Review.

202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247

The allocation as presented in the 2002 Report of the Review shall be the same within the revised allocation. The Bentley Hay community allocation shall be 29,800 gpd.

Other County Areas

As presented in the 2002 Report of the Review, flows from various areas in the County were incorporated into the allocation program. These areas included Chester Park, areas outside the priority funding areas and properties within the priority funding areas identified by Maryland Department of Planning (MDP). In addition to the areas in the County, estimated flows from the Perry Cabin Inn were incorporated into the flow calculations.

With the completion of the expansion of the Inn at Perry Cabin, the estimated flow of 8,000 gpd has been assumed to be part of the existing flows for the Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista. With the reduced capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, estimated wastewater flows from the Community of Back Creek and Chester Park have been incorporated into the category of Other County Areas with an estimated existing flow of 3,000 gpd and 12,000 gpd for future flows. The areas allocated wastewater flow in the 2002 Report of the Review, Unincorporated Areas being identified by MDP as being priority funding areas shall be incorporated into the future flows and made part of the reserved capacity for I&I flows for the Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista as presented in this analysis. Both the County and the Town of St. Michaels will have to determine how future areas will be served with the capacity identified for future flows and the flows associated with the reserved capacity to I&I flows.

Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista

As presented in the 2002 Report of the Review, the estimated existing and future flows from the incorporated limits of the Town and the Rio Vista Subdivision was 544,000 gpd. These wastewater flows were estimated on the statutory criteria for a priority funding areas (PFAs), minimum density of 3.5 lots per acre. In calculating this estimated flow rate, the County used data collected by Hyder Consulting that was presented in their August 2000 Region II Wastewater Collection System Study. The estimated flow rate for growth and high priority areas within the corporate limits of the Town was reported as 176,000 gpd that was incorporated into the total estimated sewerage flow of 544,000 gpd.

The original design of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant was to serve primarily the Town of St. Michaels. The estimated flow associated with the unincorporated areas around St. Michaels and within the MDP defined priority funding area was found to be approximately 52,500 gpd. This includes Chester Park and the proposed expansion at the Inn at Perry Cabin. The estimated flows of 42,000 gpd, excluding Chester Park and the Inn at Perry Cabin, will need to be established at the wastewater treatment plant by expanding the plant capacity or by making corrections within the St. Michaels sewer collection system to reduce the amount of flow being associated with extraneous, I&I flows.

Within this analysis, the existing flows for St. Michaels and Rio Vista were determined by deducting the recorded and estimated flows for other areas and the extraneous flows associated

248 with I&I flows. The estimated existing flow of 197,000 gallons per day was determined for St.  
249 Michaels and Rio Vista. To estimate the future flows from the Town of St. Michaels and Rio  
250 Vista, I&I flows were held constant establishing a reserved capacity for existing and future  
251 extraneous flows. The safety factors for Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville and Royal Oak,  
252 Newcomb and Bellevue Sewer Service Areas were incorporated as part of extraneous flows held  
253 constant as reserved capacity. In 2004, the estimated future flows for the Town of St. Michaels  
254 and Rio Vista was estimated to be 116,100 gpd, or 109,700 gpd for the Town of St. Michaels and  
255 6,400 gpd for Rio Vista.

256

257 Community of Rio Vista

258

259 After completing the analysis to determine the existing and future flows for St. Michaels and Rio  
260 Vista, an estimate of the wastewater flows being generated by Rio Vista was completed. The  
261 Rio Vista Community has 264 residential lots with a church owning 16 of these lots.  
262 Incorporated into the Rio Vista flows are the lots along Maryland Route 33 with approximately  
263 65.25 equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) with a future total 97.25 EDUs. Using 200 gallons per  
264 day per residential lot, the estimated wastewater flow for Rio Vista area was determined to be  
265 63,050 gallons per day with 49,600 gpd associated with 248 residential lots in the Rio Vista  
266 Community, 400 gallons per day of wastewater flow being estimated for the church, and 13,050  
267 gpd being allocated for the businesses on Maryland Route 33. The future flows for this area  
268 were estimated to be 6,400 gpd with the assumption that Rio Vista was built-out, thus  
269 establishing a total allocation of ~~67,69~~450 gpd for this area.

270

271 Inflow and Infiltration Flows and Reserved Capacity

272

273 Using calendar year 2003 flow data for the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant and the design  
274 capacity of 500,000 gpd, the allocations for the various sewer service areas are provided in Table  
275 2. Based on the amount of extraneous, I&I flows experienced in calendar year 2003 being  
276 170,000 gpd, this value has been shown in Table 2 as I&I flows. Because of the extraneous  
277 flows currently being recorded at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, measures need to  
278 be taken to reserve this capacity to avoid over allocating the existing and future capacity of the  
279 plant.

280

281 The estimated flow being reserved for I&I is 170,000 gpd. The 170,000 gpd is primarily  
282 associated with the extraneous flows experienced in the gravity sewer collection system within  
283 Town of St. Michaels and the communities of Bentley Hay and Rio Vista but impacts the overall  
284 capacity of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. By reserving capacity for the extraneous,  
285 I&I flows, this capacity will buffer peak flows associated with future extraneous, I&I flows  
286 allowing the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant to be operated within the permit limitations  
287 for capacity. As improvements to the gravity sewer system are completed, the flows recorded at  
288 the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, recorded through in-pipe flow monitoring and  
289 computer analysis can be used to determine how much capacity can be removed from the  
290 reserved capacity set aside for I&I flows.

291

292 The 170,000 gpd allocated for I&I flows (or the wastewater treatment plant safety factor) equates  
293 to approximately 26% of the design capacity. The use of a 20% safety factor for the village

294 flows is associated with variability of small flows and was not intended to reflect additional  
 295 reserve capacity within the wastewater treatment plant. As future efforts are made to reduce  
 296 extraneous flows entering the St. Michaels Gravity Sewer Collection System, capacity within the  
 297 Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant can be reallocated from the reserve and used to address  
 298 sewer needs and water quality improvements.

Table 2. Existing Wastewater Flows to the Region II Treatment Plant

SEWER SERVICE AREA	EXISTING FLOWS
Existing Design Capacity	500000
Recorded Flows for Calendar Year 2003	-468000
<b>Remaining Capacity</b>	<b>32000</b>
REVIEW OF EXISTING FLOWS	
Total for the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant	468000
Bentley Hay	-29800
Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville	-21100
Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue	-47100
Other County Areas	-3000
Inflow and Infiltration Flow	-170000
<b>St. Michaels And Rio Vista</b>	<b>197000</b>

299 As presented in Table 2, the existing flows for the Town of St. Michaels and Rio Vista equates to  
 300 197,000 gpd with 133,950 gpd being estimated for the Town of St. Michaels and 63,050 gpd  
 301 being estimated for the Rio Vista area. Using the flows presented earlier in the analysis, the  
 302 projected flows for new wastewater treatment plant with a capacity of 660,000 gpd has been  
 303 presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Allocation of Capacity at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant

SEWER SERVICE AREA	EXISTING FLOWS	FUTURE FLOWS	RESERVED CAPACITY FOR I&I	TOTAL FLOWS
St. Michaels	133950	109700	143500	387150
Rio Vista Area	63050	6400	0	69450
Bentley Hay	29800	0	0	29800
Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville	21100	5900	5400	32400
Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue	47100	58000	21100	126200
Other County Areas	3000	12000	0	15000
<i>Inflow and Infiltration Reserved Capacity</i>	170000	0	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>468000</b>	<b>192000</b>	<b>170000</b>	<b>660000</b>

304 In comparison with the 2002 Report of the Review, the existing and future flows for the Town of  
 305 St. Michaels and the Rio Vista were reduced from 544,000 gpd to 313,100 gpd. The wastewater  
 306 flow allocation for the Town of St. Michaels can be increased as sewer collection system  
 307 improvements are made and confirmed by the Department of Public Works to have actually  
 308 reduced the amount of I&I flow into the sewer collection system.

309  
 310 Community of Martingham and Harbourtowne Golf Resort and Conference Center  
 311

312 | In April, 2004, representatives from Martingham Utilities Cooperative met with the Talbot  
 313 | County Department of Public Works to discuss the possibility of connecting to the Region II  
 314 | Wastewater Treatment Plant. The current operation of the wastewater treatment system serving  
 315 | the residents of the Martingham Community and the Harbourtowne Golf Resort and Conference  
 316 | Center ~~is consists of~~ a two stage stabilization lagoon followed by disinfection and an effluent  
 317 | holding pond. Under proper weather conditions, the treated effluent is spray irrigated on various  
 318 | fairways ~~with one spray irrigation site being on private land where Martingham Utilities paid for~~  
 319 | ~~an easement to spray irrigate on the land.~~

320

321 | The wastewater treatment facility for the Martingham Community has a treatment plant capacity  
 322 | of 75,000 ~~gallons per day~~ gpd but the spray disposal areas ~~have had~~ a permit limit of 66,800  
 323 | ~~gallons per day~~ gpd. The Martingham Community serves ~~320~~ 330 residents and a commercial  
 324 | establishment, the Harbourtowne Golf Resort and Conference Center.

325

326 | Based on information received in 2004 from Martingham Utilities, MDE, and Maryland  
 327 | Environmental Service, the contract-operator for the ~~Region II and~~ Martingham Wastewater  
 328 | Treatment Plants at the time, the spray irrigation site on private land ~~has had~~ been reduced by  
 329 | nearly 65% of the usable land area due to MDE requiring a 200 foot setback. ~~Based on a~~  
 330 | ~~meeting with MDE, it was concluded that~~ the only option available to Martingham Utilities ~~is~~  
 331 | was to send flow to the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, especially during periods of dry  
 332 | weather in the late fall and winter months to establish enough pond capacity to retain water in the  
 333 | ponds when Martingham ~~Utilities~~ cannot spray irrigate.

334

335 | Based on the discussions with Martingham Utilities Cooperative in 2004, up to 8,000,000 gallons  
 336 | of either raw wastewater or treated effluent would need to be sent to the Region II Wastewater  
 337 | Treatment Plant. During years with normal precipitation amounts, an estimated 2,000,000 to  
 338 | 4,000,000 gallons of flow would be sent to the Region II Wastewater Treatment. In the event of  
 339 | an extremely wet year, 8,000,000 gallons would be sent to the Region II Wastewater Treatment  
 340 | Plant over a period of 300 days (26,667 gpd).

341

342 | For the County to participate with Martingham Utilities Cooperative and MDE in resolving this  
 343 | issue, corrective measures that involve the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant and Sewer  
 344 | Collection System ~~will need~~ needed to be completed in two phases. First, a short-term strategy  
 345 | would employ a temporary force main laid within the road drainage ditches or other approved  
 346 | temporary strategy conveying treated effluent to the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. The  
 347 | standard operating procedure, in the short-term, would need to limit the amount of treated  
 348 | effluent to 19,000 gpd or less on a calendar year daily average flow with no flow being conveyed  
 349 | during periods of rainfall. During this short-term, temporary period, improvements to the sewer  
 350 | collection system ~~must would~~ occur, and the County ~~believes~~ believed that ~~these~~ improvements  
 351 | ~~would need to be made~~ the sewer lines in areas around Mill and Carpenter Streets, and the north  
 352 | end of Town ~~to~~ would reduce enough I&I flows from the system to allow Martingham to  
 353 | connect to the Region II Sewer Collection System on a permanent basis.

354

355 | ~~Assuming a long term strategy is approved with a new force main being constructed from the~~  
 356 | ~~Martingham Wastewater Treatment Plant to the sewer collection system of the Region II~~  
 357 | ~~Wastewater Treatment Plant, ownership of tthe Martingham plant would need to be considered~~

358 ~~by Talbot County Sanitary District. This would allow the County to control the means of~~  
 359 ~~treating the wastewater and operating the spray irrigation system to comply with the two~~  
 360 ~~discharge permits, the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant NPDES and Martingham NPDES~~  
 361 ~~permits.~~

362  
 363 In 2008, the Talbot County Sanitary District assumed ownership of the Martingham Wastewater  
 364 Treatment System. After assuming ownership of this wastewater system, the County pursued a  
 365 long-term strategy of constructing a new force main from the Martingham Wastewater Treatment  
 366 Plant to the sewer collection system of the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant. To complete  
 367 this long-term strategy, the Talbot County Sanitary District applied for low-interest loans and  
 368 grant through USDA Rural Utility Service program and 2010. Due to limited grant funds being  
 369 offered to extend the sewer to the Martingham Community, Talbot County submitted a grant  
 370 request to the Maryland Water Quality Infrastructure Financing Program administered by MDE  
 371 in 2010 seeking up to \$2.0 million in grant funds or loan forgiveness.

372  
 373 Because of the low-strength of the raw wastewater being treated at the Region II Wastewater  
 374 Treatment, raw sewage from the Martingham Wastewater Treatment Plant ~~should~~ will be  
 375 pumped for treatment at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Facility ~~using the same flow~~  
 376 ~~restriction outlined earlier for total, average gallons treated in a calendar year. The Region II~~  
 377 ~~Wastewater Treatment Facility would handle up to 26,700 gpd of raw sewage during wet years~~  
 378 ~~such as 2003.~~ In reviewing the metered flows at the Martingham Wastewater System, an  
 379 average flow per EDU was determined to be 122.5 gpd/EDU. The Martingham Community  
 380 requires 330 EDUs including residential structures and the Harbourtowne Golf Resort and  
 381 Conference Center; 122.5 gpd x 330 EDU's = 40,500 gpd. Thus 40,500 GPD of capacity must  
 382 be allocated permanently to connect the Martingham Community to the Region II Wastewater  
 383 Treatment Plant. That capacity will be allocated from the future flows formerly allocated to the  
 384 Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue sewer service area.

385  
 386 To establish a temporary connection for Martingham, 26,700 gpd had been allocated to  
 387 Martingham from the 143,500 gpd of I&I previously allocated to the Town of St. Michaels. With  
 388 the permanent connection of Martingham to the Region II Plant, that temporary allocation will  
 389 be returned to the I & I allocated to the Town of St. Michaels.

390  
 391 ~~In developing capacity at the Region II Wastewater Treatment Plant, improvements with the~~  
 392 ~~sewer collection system must be implemented and completed. These improvements would take~~  
 393 ~~place in the various sections of the sewer collection system found to have the highest priority for~~  
 394 ~~being repaired. The allocation for Martingham has been allocated from the flows designated~~  
 395 ~~within the reserved capacity for I&I flows. The revised flow allocation for the existing Region II~~  
 396 ~~Wastewater Treatment Plant with a design capacity of 500,000 has been presented in Table 4.~~  
 397 ~~Table 5 lists the flows allocations associated with the new treatment facility with a design~~  
 398 ~~capacity of 660,000 gallons per day after making improvements in the collection system and~~  
 399 ~~establishing a permanent solution to the problem. The Board for Martingham Utilities~~  
 400 ~~Cooperative and the Talbot County Department of Public Works shall continue to review and~~  
 401 ~~explore options to establish a permanent solution to addressing the discharge permit and report to~~  
 402 ~~the County Council the various solutions prior to committing capacity of the 660,000 gallon per~~  
 403 ~~day Wastewater Treatment Plant.~~

Table 4. ~~Revised Allocation of Capacity for 500,000 gallons per day~~  
Review of Existing Flows Including I & I

SEWER SERVICE AREA	EXISTING FLOWS
St. Michaels And Rio Vista	197000
Bentley Hay	29800
Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville	21100
Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue	47100
Other County Areas	3000
<b>Martingham Community</b>	<del>19,000</del> 40,500
<i>Inflow and Infiltration</i>	170000
Totals	<del>487000</del> 508,500

Table 5. Revised Allocation of Capacity for 660,000 gallons per day

SEWER SERVICE AREA	EXISTING FLOWS	FUTURE FLOWS	RESERVED CAPACITY FOR I&I	TOTAL FLOWS
St. Michaels <del>And Rio Vista</del>	<del>197000</del> 133,950	<del>116100</del> 109700	<del>116800</del> 151600	<del>429900</del> 395250
<u>Rio Vista</u>	63,050	6400	0	69,450
Bentley Hay	29800	0	0	29800
Unionville, Tunis Mills and Copperville	21100	5900	5400	32400
Royal Oak, Newcomb and Bellevue	47100	<del>58000</del> 17,500	<del>21100</del> 13,000	<del>126200</del> 77,600
Other County Areas	3000	12000	0	15000
<b>Martingham Community</b>	<del>26700</del> 40,500	0	<del>(26700)</del>	<del>0</del> 40,500
Totals	<del>324700</del> 338,500	<del>192000</del> 151,500	<del>143300</del> 170,000	660000