

Deposition of: **Talbot County Council Work Session**

May 30, 2019

In the Matter of:

Work Session: Ferry Point Marina

Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958 | calendar-dmv@veritext.com |

		Tailost County Country Work Session May 30, 2017
		Page 1
1	•	
2	1	COUNTY COUNCIL OF TALBOT COUNTY, MARYLAND
3	2	
4	3	
5	4	Work Session
6	5	Ferry Point Preliminary Engineering Report
7	6	
8	7	May 30, 2019; 2:30 p.m.
9	8	
10	9	
11	10	Council Chambers, Easton, Maryland
12	11	
13	12	
14	13	
15	14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
16	15	Corey W. Pack
17	16	Frank Divilio
18	17	Pete Lesher
19	18	Laura E. Price
20	19	
21	20	Reported by
	21	Diane Houlihan

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. PACK: This is the County Council's work session with Ray Clarke, Public Works

Advisory Board. We're going to be discussing the feasibility of wastewater treatment down in the Ferry Point area.

The Council had heard earlier from

Mr. Clarke regarding this project and wanted
additional information some time ago back in

2016. The Council had had a report done by

Rauch, Incorporated which gave the Council some
different numbers than were presented to the

Council from BayLand Consultants. So we asked

Mr. Clarke to go back and look at both of these
different proposals side by side and bring the

Council back a report so that we can see where
the discrepancies may have been from the 2016
report so that we could get a better idea of
how to proceed with this project.

The Council was asked some time ago to

Page 3

look at the possibility of either a standing system serving the commercial and residential areas in that Ferry Point area or the possibility of connecting with the Town of Trappe as one option.

Another option was possibly going underneath the Choptank into the City of Cambridge, although that wasn't as pleasing to some members of Council, if I remember correctly.

So we're back here today to follow up on those two reports and hear from Mr. Clarke as to his findings.

Ray, I will turn it over to you.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Mr. Pack. Just real quickly give a little history here.

I guess as you've indicated, Rauch,

Incorporated prepared a preliminary engineering
report for Ferry Point, which I think was
specifically kind of looking at I guess the LS
Futures or the marine area. At that same time,

Page 4

they were also looking at the potential of expanding to provide a potential what we call a community-based wastewater system for that area.

Then I think looking at that they came to the county asking if there was a way to move forward with that project. We began the process of looking at that.

However, I think at the time one of the things, as we started to look at the possibility for funding, we would have to have a preliminary engineering report that would need to be prepared within Rural Development's requirements.

So we then I guess worked with Council.

We then contracted with BayLand to go ahead and perform that report for Rural Development. And then ultimately BayLand I think started that report probably about a year and a half ago.

Maybe it was a year ago or so.

With that, I think as we proceeded with

Page 5

this, we had I guess the Council was looking at some numbers or costs. And we had I think from Rauch, we had some costs I think for the treatments options. They had I think originally estimated some different options in the neighborhood of 751,000 versus 568,000 on different treatment plant options with different systems.

I think subsequent discussions I had with Mr. Rauch was basically they had done a system over in Caroline County for about one and a half million dollars. So we were looking at that number.

In addition to that, we then I guess having BayLand move forward with the preliminary engineering report, they began the process of developing their cost estimates.

And Duane Wilding, who is here from BayLand, can talk about what their estimate is there.

But at the same time, I think one of the things that we needed to do as part of the

Page 6

preliminary engineering report for Rural

Development is we needed to look at all the options. And one of the options that we were looking at and I think we discussed with BayLand was the potential option of pumping the wastewater to a municipal facility. So we looked at that option.

And then ultimately we had two scenarios. We had, one, to pump that either to Cambridge by going underneath the Choptank River. There was some discussions about that. I think BayLand looked at that and then also looked at potentially hanging the force main on the bridge, the old bridge.

So we looked at those. It just didn't seem feasible to go --

MS. PRICE: -- part of the bridge doesn't exist.

MR. CLARKE: Yeah. Then we would have to directional drill underneath. So it was some unique challenges for us.

MS. PRICE: Yeah.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. CLARKE: So then we began looking at the discussions of possibly going to the Town of Trappe.

The other thing as part of this is that we were -- as part of what BayLand was working on as far as the treatment plant options, there really were three kind of different treatment plant disposal options I should say. You'd have one community-based wastewater system, but then you'd have the potential of the disposal of the effluent. Dispose of it either via the stream, through a point source discharge, you can dispose of it through spray irrigation, or you could do like a drain field. The drain field would probably be very, very costly. those are the things that I think BayLand can talk to you a little bit about, is what they looked at when they were looking at the disposal options.

At the same time as BayLand was going

Page 8

through the development of the report, we kind of put them also on hold at this point. So we've not finalized the report. So we need to work with them to finalize that.

Ultimately I think maybe about six months ago I had some conversations with the Town of Trappe about basically receiving the wastewater. At that point, there was a little bit of hesitancy on the town of not taking it. Now they seem to be willing to take it. So that changes the picture.

And just so the Council is aware and the citizens are aware, the Maryland Department of the Environment I think was also looking at this. There's been discussions I think even with MDE of trying to figure out what to do. They're trying to move forward with some strategies.

So ultimately in my discussions with Dr. Tien of MDE, ultimately we discussed if we were to do a treatment plant, there's going to

202-803-8830 -- 410-494-8300

Page 9

be a timeline and a timeline for getting the necessary permits. Whereas if you do a pump station, it will actually be quicker to do that.

The other advantages with a pump station,

I hate to say this, is if we find ourselves in
a position that, okay, the commercial
properties want to move forward with the
project but we're getting hesitancy from the
residential properties, we can move forward
with the pump station. But then we can come
back to the residents at a later date and work
with them on something, on a strategy there.

With that, we did not give that direction to BayLand. We've told BayLand to serve the entire area. So it was basically both to serve the -- I think there's 19 residential lots.

There are four vacant lots of those 19 residential lots. And I think BayLand did an estimate on the number of EDUs predicated upon what the commercial uses are. So they estimate

I think 45 equivalent dwelling units. So one house would be one equivalent dwelling unit.

So there would be a potential I think now of the businesses, probably a greater than 50 percent of the treatment plant or the pump station costs would be borne by those commercial property owners, more so than the residential property owners. Just from the standpoint we're looking at a difference of 26 versus 19 EDUs.

With that, at this point I'll turn it over to Duane to discuss a little bit about the treatment and the costs that they've prepared.

And then we can go from there. If there's any questions the Council may have at that point.

MR. PACK: Before we do that, Ray, the one question I did have, and I'm trying to find it in the Rauch report from 2016. If we look at option three here, which is to discharge into the Choptank, if I remember, the membrane system that Rauch Engineering was speaking

Page	11

about was being at that time used over at the Prettyman.

MR. CLARKE: Correct.

MR. PACK: Location over in Caroline

County. Required less than I think an acre of property to construct it and you would fence it in. And that it was meeting or exceeding the discharge permit from MDE. And the cost for that, if I recall, was somewhere in the neighborhood of 1.5, \$1.7 million.

MR. CLARKE: I think that was based upon the overall construction of that system at that facility, yes.

The problem is from our perspective, we did not have a breakdown of that \$1.5 million.

MR. PACK: Do we have that now to compare it with?

If I look at option three here, it's giving me a total construction, I'm on the back page here. On the back page.

MS. PRICE: Oh, awesome.

MR. PACK: But if you look at your total project costs here, you're still looking at \$4.1 million to discharge into the Choptank, as opposed to I think where Rauch was coming in significantly less.

So I'm going to go back to my question I had several months ago. Why are we looking at almost two and a half times the cost to construct an on-site disposal system?

MR. CLARKE: Duane.

MS. PRICE: Let me ask this. If I look at this page right here, there's a whole bunch of things that BayLand included that Rauch did not.

Is that part of the difference? Because this page three is in our computers.

MR. CLARKE: Right.

MS. PRICE: In the yellow, there's only four line items that Rauch included and BayLand has 12.

MR. WILDING: I would argue that, yeah,

1 that's certainly part of the problem.

MS. PRICE: So Rauch's was incomplete?

MR. WILDING: Well, I don't know if it's incomplete or if his 1.5 did include some of these and they're less than we're costing or estimating or if those were done separately and not included in the one and a half. I can't speak to that.

Ours, I mean they could be high. Some of these you have to make assumptions because you don't know exactly where it's going to be constructed. So we feel pretty good about our cost.

Has anyone seen the one that he talks of?

MR. CLARKE: The only thing that I've got is what they prepared within the preliminary engineering report that was forwarded us.

MS. PRICE: So how did you turn that into the yellow section on the page right there?

The one I'm looking at --

MR. CLARKE: I think the only thing I can

say is that there was just estimates that were maybe taken off of these estimates here.

MS. PRICE: Right. Because this is only 668,000. And yet you're talking about Rauch's estimate was 1.5 million.

MR. CLARKE: Correct.

MS. PRICE: So I don't even know where the other \$850,000 is.

MR. CLARKE: Right. And that's the issue we run into, is that we don't have an apples to apples cost estimate scenario. So it's difficult for us.

I think one of the things I tried to highlight, I don't know if the estimate that's prepared by Rauch, the 1.5 is going to be prior to contingencies or is after contingencies. So there's a difference there. If you added the contingencies, there may not be as much of a difference. There's still going to be a difference, but it may not be as much.

At the same time, I think the other thing,

Page 15

too, is looking at the Rauch, I guess Rauch had estimated, again, service connections of about 20. Yet when we're looking at the number of residential properties and then the commercial properties, we potentially have service connections of possibility 22 when you're looking at just those businesses.

And then at the same time, they were estimating I guess that was on the grinder pumps. And then they were only estimating ten grinder pumps. Yet we have 22 different properties.

MS. PRICE: This says 29. And then you don't know if Rauch's -- how many EDUs Rauch based his on because EDUs was 45 on this one?

MR. CLARKE: Well, and again, I'm going off of this report here. These are the only numbers we had. And ultimately, Rauch I think was looking at ten grinder pumps.

MR. PACK: Did we go back, and I think the last instruction that we gave to your office

Talbot County Council Work Session Page 16 1 was to go back and sit down with Rauch and the BayLand report and kind of do an apples to 2 apples comparison so that the Council could make a decision which option to go with. 5 And it sounds like we still haven't done 6 that. 7 MR. CLARKE: No. I did that, sir. I did. I prepared a memo to the Council that outlined 8 9 that it was very difficult to make. And at the 10 same time, highlighted that we're looking at, 11 again, grinder pumps. 12 In this report, which is all I've got, 13 they've got ten grinder pumps. 14 Did we invite anyone from Rauch MR. PACK: 15 to come here to speak with us today? 16 MR. CLARKE: Yeah. Mr. Rauch, Bob is 17 here. He can talk. 18 But at the same time, we're talking 19 19

EDUs for the residential properties. Do you mind? MR. PACK:

20

21

MS. PRICE: Give him maybe a copy of that.

Page 17

MR. PACK: We're trying to make a decision which way to go here with this particular plan. We can't do it unless we can answer the questions regarding the discrepancy in the reports.

MS. PRICE: So you're in yellow there. So there's a lot of items that aren't filled in.

And so we're not working from the same spreadsheet, so to speak. So I don't know if that helps.

MR. RAUCH: As I sat here and I heard the speculations, I can resolve the speculations.

And I don't know what was in the BayLand report. I haven't seen it.

I will tell you what was behind our valuation. We initiated this at the request and client to Ferry Point. The primary objective was to find a solution to provide wastewater treatment and disposal for the Ferry Point project.

The cost alone could not be supported just

Page 18

by Ferry Point. So we looked at the opportunity that this actually -- I think the possibilities of incorporating and eliminating existing septic systems within the area because, as you know, a septic system is an issue in the receiving waters. Not because it's failing, but because that's the major septic. It moves laterally once it goes in.

So the proposal was based on a service area of existing -- of the Ferry Point Marina, the existing residential area. I forget what the area is called.

MR. WILDING: (Inaudible) Brook.

MR. RAUCH: (Inaudible) Brook. And then there was one on the other side of the road.

And those were the practical choices to incorporate into a regional wastewater treatment plant as opposed to a community type based system, which might be private. This was proposed as a county owned regional wastewater solution.

Page 19

MS. PRICE: So is it just Ferry Point for commercial and those residential and no other commercial --

MR. RAUCH: I think we probably put an estimate in for the undeveloped commercial, but the idea was not to provide a system that allowed for future growth but to address the existing conditions. So other than the hotel that was there, I think we put some numbers for that, but we didn't -- it's always been the emphasis in this county and the residents that if we do solve a problem, we're not solving a problem and creating opportunities for additional growth that may or may not be planned.

So this was to strictly address the needs of Ferry Point and to resolve and correct the existing septic systems.

The wastewater treatment plant was sized based on those numbers. There was no speculation there. I just finished building

this treatment plant. So it wasn't a guess. We had actual construction numbers. The treatment plant itself was about \$750,000.

The million and a half you're tossing around or talking about included a lot of infrastructure costs and not related to the building of the treatment plant. The treatment plant is not an acre footprint. It just happened to be that was the area that we had there. It would fit in this room.

It's a Smith & Loveless membrane bioreactor plant. They come in multiple sizes. This size, we did 35,000-gallon plant at Prettyman. I've done a 10,000-gallon one, I've done a 20,000-gallon. So there's no mystery on our numbers. They're real numbers.

The options that we looked at were the methods of collecting the wastewater from the septic areas, and you had several different choices. You could do a conventional gravity sewer that we looked at, and you bring that

Page 21

gravity sewer to a lift station, put it in the treatment plant, and discharge to the river.

The alternative was was using grinder pumps, which involved a small diameter collection system and has proven to be a very affordable alternative, especially for the areas that we're dealing with that are separated enough that you can manage it that way.

So we looked at those collection system alternatives, but the treatment plant was the same for all the alternatives.

We did look at the point discharge. The point discharge is not a prohibitive action.

As a matter of fact, if you meet the ENR standards, the point discharge process is an alternative. It's far superior than land application.

So there had been some early discussions when we first presented this. And oh, my gosh, you're putting sewage in the river. Well,

Page 22

you're not. The treated wastewater is cleaner than the water that's going in.

The wastewater treatment plant is what everybody is striving to achieve to get ENR quality wastewater. The fact that this is a package manageable plant, meets all of the State requirements. We've already been through permitting and approval on these plants.

So I didn't have any mystery numbers in there. They were all -- the grinder pumps, we had just finished putting in a similar grinder pump system. So I had actual construction numbers.

And everything was going to be contained on the Ferry Point property. We had to draw a particular spot, but we were satisfied that there was sufficient area that I could put that treatment plant there.

So I don't know what went into the other report, but I do know that I built this, we knew what the costs were, and that I feel

- - - 0 2

Page 23 comfortable that we can build an ENR wastewater 1 treatment plant and serve the Ferry Point 2 3 restaurant area plus the surrounding --MR. WILDING: Some of our costs, can I go 4 5 down them? 6 MR. PACK: Just one second. How many EDUs 7 are at Prettyman? MR. RAUCH: There's 80. 8 9 MR. PACK: Eighty. So almost twice what 10 we're looking at here. 35,000 gallons? 11 That's 35 because the State MR. RAUCH: 12 wants to see one and a half times capacity. Ιt 13 was actually supposed to be a 20,000-gallon 14 plant, but because of flow equalization and 15 protections, they had us upsize it by one and a half times. 16 17 MR. PACK: And Prettyman is up and running 18 now? 19

MR. RAUCH: Yes. To the extent that anybody investigates, they did have a problem that had nothing to do with the plant. It had

20

21

	Talbot County Council Work Session May 30, 201
	Page 24
1	to do with INI problems that were not managed,
2	but it's all back. And under the proper
3	operating condition, it more than consistently
4	(inaudible) discharge requirements.
5	MR. PACK: So the Prettyman plant came in
6	construction at 750,000
7	MR. RAUCH: The plant was right around
8	750,000.
9	MR. PACK: Do you recall what the monthly
10	sewer costs per resident is?
11	MR. RAUCH: Off the top of my head, I
12	don't. But we have those numbers. I can share
13	that with you.
14	MR. PACK: Thank you.
15	MS. PRICE: So in trying to get to apples
16	and apples, so it looks like you both did
17	different assumptions maybe?
18	MR. WILDING: Not necessarily. I mean for
19	our base wastewater plant, we have 835. He's
20	at 750.

So what I was going to maybe do is just go

21

	Page 25
1	down some of our list to see if that one and a
2	half includes that or if it doesn't.
3	MR. RAUCH: Because this report was very
4	specific to that.
5	MR. PACK: What page are you on?
6	MR. WILDING: This table here.
7	MR. PACK: That one there. Okay. So you
8	want to go down this, okay.
9	MR. WILDING: Sure.
10	MS. PRICE: 835 for the plant itself.
11	MR. WILDING: Right. Well, we have
12	150,000 for mobe and demobe. And that could be
13	much, much less than that again
14	MR. RAUCH: That was actually in the
15	750,000. So that's delivered and installed.
16	MR. WILDING: Next one, erosion and
17	sediment control at 50,000.
18	You did the grinders as part of this,
19	right?
20	MR. RAUCH: I was doing grinders on
21	another job in a similar.

MR. WILDING: But the 750 did not include the grinders, just the plant?

MR. RAUCH: Just the plant, the plant and the lift station going in.

MR. WILDING: And the million and a half included the grinders?

MR. RAUCH: No. Actually in that

particular case, we put in a central gravity

collection system. But I was doing another job

with grinders. So I had the pricing for the

grinders that we could use, but we looked at

both alternatives. One was gravity sewer and

one was grinders. We have firm prices from

(inaudible) and Associates (inaudible).

MS. PRICE: So where do we put the 50,000? It's not included, it is included?

MR. RAUCH: The sediment erosion control would be a very big number. We're talking about a relatively minor site disturbance area and we have a silt fence.

We've already done a project right on the

Page 27

water there for Ferry Point. And the sediment erosion control was substantially less. So it's basically super silt fence around the construction site and then temporary and permanent (inaudible) alternatives.

MR. PACK: So what you're saying,
Mr. Rauch, is that the erosion and sediment
control was far less than the 50,000 that's
being quoted from BayLand?

MR. RAUCH: I'll never sit and debate and argue with my colleagues because we all look at it. But I would say that if I was estimating the sediment and erosion control, it wouldn't be that much.

MR. PACK: Okay.

MR. WILDING: Okay. So this gets into the grinders here, and quantity of 29. Estimated about 13,000. That's probably pretty close to what you have.

MS. PRICE: So the grinder pumps, is that in your seven -- of course --

1 MR. LESHER: -- separate line for it, which makes the 120,000 number look low. 2 3 MS. PRICE: So do we put 120 for both 4 people? 5 No, no, no. They're 13,000 MR. LESHER: apiece and there's 29 of them, they're 6 7 multiplied out there; aren't they? MR. WILDING: 8 Yup. 9 MR. RAUCH: I guess. I haven't seen these numbers in this format, but we have the full 10 11 report. 12 But the grinder pumps, which is a duplex, typically a duplex grinder, we -- one -- I know 13 14 what we did. We didn't have a grinder for each 15 We clustered the grinders. That's why house. 16 we had fewer grinders. 17 And Ray, I think somewhere in our report 18 there was a site plan that showed how we were 19 proposing to cluster grinders. 20 Yeah. And just so the MR. CLARKE: 21 Council is aware, too, from our perspective, we

Page 29

don't do that. I mean if you wanted to put like a grinder to serve two houses, we do require two pumps because ultimately they can flow into one station. But each pump was for each house. That's kind of how we do that.

MS. PRICE: So we would have to do one per house?

MR. CLARKE: Usually that's how we figure it out, is one per house.

MR. RAUCH: Simplex or duplex?

MR. CLARKE: You could do a duplex. You could put in one station, a larger tank, but it would have to have two pumps.

MR. RAUCH: I mean all of my stations were duplexes and the basin was sized for the number of houses that we were proposing.

MR. CLARKE: I would say we're pretty much talking I think the package itself is about 15,000 for the duplex that we've gotten from --

MR. RAUCH: Yeah. I just had fewer of them because I clustered them, the tanks.

Page 30

And again, we laid this out, and I priced it through the supplier. So these were actually quoted prices that we had, and it was based on the layout.

So we've laid is out differently. So that's not really an apples to apples. Not that one is right or one is wrong. It's just two ways to do it.

MR. WILDING: Well, as part of that, the next item there, line item four, that's collector low pressure sewers. So that's the grinders pumping into a central sewer system, which we had designated to be in the roadways. So you'll see further down in our cost estimates we also have repaving all the roads.

MR. RAUCH: We didn't do that. We had them all trenched, trenched adjacent to the roads.

MR. WILDING: But did you have a collector sewer like this?

MR. RAUCH: It was a combination of

Page 31

one-and-a-quarter-inch, one-and-a-half-inch, and two-inch lines. And we just bid the job on that. So I had the unit prices for that.

And all I can say is that we had a layout that our costs were associated with. So I would say our costs are appropriate for the design that we had. Whether the design is appropriate for what the county wants or not is up for grabs.

So if we had ten engineers, they'll lay it out ten different ways.

So to some degree, the unit prices should be comparable. But how it was laid out and looked at, you got to look at the proposed design layouts to really compare them.

But we didn't put any of our utilities in the roads. We did have one -- it's been a while since I did this. We did have a directional drill. It was actually a jack and bore to go across 50, pick up the lots on the other side of 50.

Page 32

But other than that, all of our collection system was trenched in adjacent to the road.

MS. PRICE: So the next one, we had compared 750 for the construction compared to 835. But in the yellow, Ray, it says 500,000 under Rauch's.

MR. RAUCH: I may have used 500 for that base cost.

MR. CLARKE: I think that was what was in the report.

MR. RAUCH: I may have because we also had a lift station in there and cost. So the 750 was our treatment plant, pumping station, lift station. It was all a combined. So when I said 750, that's about where we were for that combined.

MR. WILDING: The next one --

MR. RAUCH: I'm sorry. I have to think back what we did way back.

With the grinder pump system, they pump directly to the treatment plant. So we don't

have a lift station going in.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And the location of the treatment plant would be a gravity discharge to the river. So we didn't have an extra pumping station in there.

MR. PACK: So the pumps are pumping directly into the system?

MR. RAUCH: The grinder pumps will pump directly into the head waters of the treatment plant.

If it was a gravity system, which is what I had at Prettyman, the gravity system comes into a lift station and it just simply lifts the wastewater (inaudible).

MR. PACK: And shoots it back out.

MS. PRICE: Okay. So items six through 11, you guys have a number and Rauch does not.

Why is that?

MR. WILDING: Well, item six there is site work and foundations. So your 750 include foundations and all that?

	Page 34
1	MR. RAUCH: A slab. It's a treatment
2	plant on a slab with a building.
3	MR. WILDING: With a building.
4	MS. PRICE: That would be in the other
5	750, right?
6	MR. RAUCH: And again, I don't have my
7	proposal here in front of me. I'm looking at
8	these numbers. I'm speaking just from almost
9	two years back of memory.
10	MR. WILDING: Line seven is a shellfish
11	protection tank. Did you add one of those?
12	MR. RAUCH: We had the extra storage
13	that's built into that one and a half. Since
14	we didn't have a separate pump station, the one
15	and a half (inaudible) was meeting our
16	shellfish requirement.
17	MR. WILDING: Okay.
18	MR. RAUCH: At least in our preliminary
19	design. We didn't send it to MDE. But we
20	didn't have anything separate shellfish.
21	And what the shellfish is it's an extended

Page 35

storage basin, and that's typically required if you put a pumping station in. Since we're grinder pumping, we didn't have that.

MR. WILDING: Emergency generator, was that cost included?

MR. RAUCH: I don't know whether -- it's not in the 500. And again, without my full breakdown. The emergency generator, whether it's a dedicated emergency generator to the treatment plant or associated with the adjacent operations, I may or may not have had an emergency generator in there.

MR. WILDING: Okay. Well, one of our big ones --

MR. RAUCH: And it should have it, by the way.

MR. WILDING: Okay. We also cost out a well because you got to have water supply for wash down at the treatment plant. That's a minor thing.

The next one is the big one, outfall line.

Page 36

And again, we didn't know exactly where the plant might go, nor how far it's going to have to go out in the Choptank River. So we assigned 2,500 linear feet at a pretty high cost of \$240 per foot.

So did you have an outfall line?

MR. RAUCH: I have an outfall line, but it was a similar to the outfall that we used at Prettyman. And it was a gravity discharge line.

MS. PRICE: So it's included in the other half?

MR. RAUCH: It's in there somewhere. I don't have my proposal.

MS. PRICE: We're just trying to figure out if there's additional costs.

MR. RAUCH: I think that's a big number, and I don't think based on what we did, if for some reason on this size -- if you got a really large plant and you got a high flow, it's not uncommon that they want to see that discharge

Page	37	

line go to a much deeper section. And you do get into substantial cost. At the relatively small flows that are coming from this plant, I mean, we haven't gone to design. There may be another number in there for that.

Again, we're both at a disadvantage because --

MR. CLARKE: The regulators usually make that decision, too.

MR. RAUCH: We --

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. CLARKE: -- MDE.

MR. PACK: I mean --

MR. RAUCH: -- by the way side.

MR. WILDING: Right.

MR. RAUCH: So the outfall line, that's a big number. I think it's bigger than it needs to be, but there may be some costs in there that we didn't have.

MR. WILDING: Well, I think it's bigger than it needs to be. But again, it's a big river. And other projects we've been on, like

Page 38

you said, they make you take it out to deep water. So that could be less, that could be a lot less.

Power, we put a figure of \$50,000 for running power to the wastewater plant.

MR. RAUCH: They had power at the Ferry

Point that was going to be suitable to extend

to it. There is a cost built into the

treatment plant cost for the power connections

associated with that. But again, we're not

going to have to bring in separate three-phase

power. There's already power.

MR. PACK: When you did your plan, was there property already designated for the plant that you all had in mind? Was someone willing to either lease property or donate property?

MR. RAUCH: It was still premature. We knew that we had a relatively small footprint.

And depending on whether the project went forward to support some of the other properties, we were going to look at actually a

Page 39

treatment plant site on the State's property across the street. We had land at the marina that was potentially useable --

MS. PRICE: But you had said when you first stepped up, all contained on the Ferry Point property.

MR. RAUCH: That was our failsafe final decision that if we didn't have any other alternatives, we had sufficient land on Ferry Point property.

But because if it was going to be a regional-type facility owned by the county, we were going to explore other alternatives of land that would be available.

One of the options was to talk to the State because we were looking at providing wastewater service to the park.

One of the options, there is some reserve lands that are undevelopable. There's some other property adjacent to it. So there's property up adjacent to the or that is

	Page 40
1	currently under control by the boat. What is
2	it called?
3	MR. DIVILIO: Composite Yachts?
4	MR. RAUCH: Huh?
5	MR. DIVILIO: Composite Yachts?
6	MR. RAUCH: Yeah. Composite Yachts that
7	they're using or will be using for on-site
8	disposal.
9	No decisions were made.
10	MS. PRICE: So if it was going to serve as
11	other than Ferry Point, so including those
12	residentials, it wouldn't be appropriate, then,
13	for the county to own the wastewater treatment
14	plant on Ferry Point's property.
15	MR. PACK: We would own it.
16	MR. RAUCH: You would own it. It would be
17	leased. It would be deeded to or leased to.
18	MR. PACK: The county will own the plant.
19	MR. RAUCH: It doesn't matter. I mean
20	somebody has got to make that land available.
21	MS. PRICE: So it would have to be

	Page 41
1	willing, then, to allow us to construct it and
2	have it service more than just them.
3	MR. RAUCH: Which is what they wanted.
4	They couldn't afford to do it themselves. They
5	needed
6	MS. PRICE: Right. So then why would we
7	have to look at other property if it's
8	sufficient?
9	MR. RAUCH: We don't have to.
10	MS. PRICE: And there would be no cost,
11	then why would we be looking unless the
12	State wanted to give it to us.
13	MR. RAUCH: You don't have to. You don't
14	make decisions that early in the process. You
15	just consider that those are options when you
16	get into the design phase to consider it.
17	This was the work we did was so early
18	in the process, and it was to put a concept and
19	a feasible discussion on the table.
20	When the county decided to do something
21	different with the PER, we were kind of halfway

through a PER and just set it on the side. So I haven't really thought about it since then until I heard you were talking about it today.

But we've got quite a bit of data and I've got a report. And it sounds like a good idea that we could get together and share that information because somewhere between the two is the right number.

MR. PACK: I'm really interested in seeing your completed data from your PER report so that Council can make a reasonable decision.

We have citizens here who are coming here today who have been waiting for some direction from the Council regarding wastewater for their area.

And I don't feel today we still don't have enough information to make a decision. We still don't, and it's somewhat frustrating to me.

So if I can ask of you today what we would need to do as far as arrangements wise, but

Page 43

these numbers are so far apart that I would need and I think this body needs to see where the differences lie and so that we can find an appropriate number to get to so that we can make a decision on whether or not we do an on-site system.

This Council as a body hasn't even approached the Town of Trappe. I know that was one of the options that was laid out, and not to say that option is off the table. But before we even move anything off the table, we at least have to know what we're comparing to make sure that we're comparing things appropriately sized and appropriately designed and appropriately priced before we can go to any option B or C.

MR. RAUCH: We can get together pretty quickly.

MR. CLARKE: Very quickly, Mr. Pack. One of the things, and I think I presented that to the Council in a memo or at least to the county

Page 44

manager's office, and that is that one of the things to also remember here is that you have a treatment plant strategy, but then the best strategy is actually to build a pump station and pump it to Trappe. Trappe has indicated that there's a willingness to do that.

MR. PACK: Well, I think before your office or any other office to go to that option A, option B, this Council needs to remove the on-site system off the table completely.

I think there's been a move to go to that option before the Council has made a decision.

We need to make sure that we are comparing these two plants and that we have enough information to say we're not going to do the on-site system. And right now, at least I don't have it. I still don't have the numbers to say that this option needs to be completely removed from the table.

MS. PRICE: Right. I mean we need to know what's the least expensive cost, whether it's

on site or pumping down the road.

For me, I don't care which one it is. I want to go with the least expensive that's best for the environment.

MR. CLARKE: I would pretty much tell you that pumping to an existing wastewater treatment facility is going to be the cheapest option.

MS. PRICE: Right. But that estimate right now is at \$1.2 million. And if your number is close, that was one and a half.

That's still a half million dollars less.

MR. RAUCH: Let me give you (inaudible) two examples.

We just finished the design for Suicide
Bridge. Suicide Bridge is in exactly the same
problem. They've got a restaurant that is
four miles from the closest wastewater
treatment facility.

We've done exactly the same thing for them. We evaluated an on-site wastewater

treatment plant and a point discharge. We looked at a variety of alternatives for discharge. And the most cost effective option is we're putting in a conventional pumping station and running a four-inch force main to the Town of Secretary.

We've got a directional bore across it.

And we have -- well, within about a week we'll have the final firm numbers. But we're talking about a million, one was where we were.

And that's about the same length force main. It's a single pumping station. And there was no -- it wasn't even a horse race, by the time we looked at the cost of putting in a treatment plant.

But we're at the same junction now we were two years ago where we didn't know about the town, if they had any interest. Typically the town will not extend utilities outside of their town boundaries. So we didn't really give that a consideration.

If the town -- there's a lot of things going on in the town that that may be something desirable.

MS. PRICE: Gee, you know a little something about the Town of Trappe.

MR. PACK: We don't even know --

MR. RAUCH: If they're willing, which in the case with Ferry Point and Secretary, they had the same issue. And the thing that made it different or allowed it to happen, it couldn't be a private system. It needed to be owned by the sanitary district. So the Dorchester County Sanitary District agreed to accept responsibility for that main. So that line could be constructed in the public right of way as a sanitary district force main, which in your case you could do. It's permitable.

The lengths are about the same. Probably be a little cheaper because it's pretty much running down just off the shoulder of Route 50, and you've got very few serious environmental

crossings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So if the town is willing, I wouldn't even move it to option B. I'd exhaust that and see if that's a possibility because I guaranty you it's going to be a cheaper alternative. And we've just done it.

MR. PACK: We certainly appreciate that, Bob.

MR. RAUCH: That's a personal editorial.

MR. PACK: I know, and I appreciate that from your professional opinion on it.

But we need to explore all options and exhaust all options. And if my recollection is correct, that there was some question whether or not the town's wastewater treatment plant is an ENR plant.

We were trying to connect 11 homes from Howell Point. Was it 11 homes?

MR. CLARKE: Right.

MR. PACK: And we couldn't even do that because the State wouldn't let us because of

the plant itself.

So even if that is the best option, the plant may not even be appropriately sized or at the capacity to even accept these 45 additional lots that we're trying to bring in. So we have to consider that as well.

So I think we just need to make sure we have checked all the boxes before we decide on an option to go. And I don't think we've completely done that. I don't think we completely exhausted the on-site system yet. And until we can do that, let's not move to other options.

Again, the question is whether or not their plant can even handle the additional effluent at this time. That's another question we got to look at.

MR. RAUCH: Ray, I need to make sure that you've got the full breakdown of what we did.

MR. CLARKE: That would be good. The only --

	Page 50
1	MR. RAUCH: Because, again, remember
2	that you're even in those reports apples and
3	oranges.
4	We were not at the preliminary engineering
5	level. We were simply a concept scale. So we
6	want to make sure that if there were additional
7	preliminary engineering considerations under
8	USDA standards, that we incorporate them.
9	MR. PACK: How much time would you all
10	need to get together and go through these
11	reports and then come back to Council with a
12	final
13	MS. PRICE: With a spreadsheet that goes
14	across.
15	MR. PACK: Final report. A month?
16	MR. RAUCH: I need a day or two.
17	MS. PRICE: Maybe BayLand has some numbers
18	that they want to adjust.
19	If you think \$600,000 is high for
20	MR. WILDING: I think
21	MS. PRICE: outfall, then adjust it.

MR. WILDING: I think what you need is for he and I to agree on the same assumptions.

MS. PRICE: Yes.

MR. WILDING: Where it's going to be located, how it's going to be -- the wastewater is going to get to the plant and what kind of plant and all that.

I think if we do that, I think it's going to be apples to apples.

MS. PRICE: So should it be Ray that gives those assumptions so that you're both working under the same guidelines?

MR. CLARKE: I can, I can.

But the other thing I just want to highlight so everybody is clear of this, too. When it comes to a treatment plant option, if you're going to direct discharge, there's going to be a requirement that if you do not have all the number of houses that will offset the total nitrogen load, you're going to have to get a load of total nitrogen from someplace. That

	Page 52
1	may be the region two wastewater treatment
2	plant or it may be Easton's plant.
3	MR. RAUCH: You're going to need
4	phosphorus.
5	MR. CLARKE: And we're going to need
6	phosphorus as well.
7	MR. WILDING: TSS, from what I understand,
8	too.
9	MR. CLARKE: Okay.
10	MR. PACK: Well, we got enough credits at
11	region two to cover that.
12	MR. CLARKE: But there's a cost. You got
13	to be fair to the citizens or the users of
14	region two that they should get something from
15	that.
16	MR. RAUCH: We'll look at the numbers.
17	MR. PACK: We'll look at that.
18	MR. RAUCH: And you do have the nitrogen?
19	MR. CLARKE: The other thing is the timing
20	component. I just want to be very clear about
21	that, too.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 53

MR. PACK: I understand that. I know it may be more expedient to go down 50 and connect into Trappe.

But as you know, Ray, Trappe has an issue of its own. We don't even know if they're going to receive -- they couldn't even receive the 11 homes we're trying to connect now.

MR. CLARKE: They can, they can. They are --

MR. PACK: They can or cannot?

MR. CLARKE: They can. They can receive the flows from those 11 houses. They are not qualified to receive BRF funding. They have to be at BNR treatment levels.

MR. PACK: Which they're not at BNR?

MR. CLARKE: They're not at BNR treatment levels.

MR. PACK: So if they're not at BNR treatment levels to accept 11 homes, then how can they --

MS. PRICE: They'd have to pay for it.

Page 54 1 MR. CLARKE: They would have to pay for 2 that. 3 MR. PACK: They have to upgrade. They 4 have to upgrade the plant. 5 The other thing, the other MR. CLARKE: option that is available to the Town of Trappe, 6 7 they can go and present a request through the State revolving fund for loans. And they could 8 9 go that route and potentially receive loan forgiveness if they went through the SRF 10 11 through the Maryland Department of the 12 Environment. They also could go after Rural Development 13 14 funding. But again, that is Trappe. We're 15 not --16 MR. PACK: I know. 17 So they would have to make MR. CLARKE: 18

those applications, not our office.

19

20

21

But when you talk about the MR. PACK: timing issue, I mean listen to all the things you just listed out; to go ask for loan

Page 55

forgiveness, to go ask for Rural Development money, to go ask for this. And that's time as well.

MR. CLARKE: Well, one of the things, just so everybody is clear, too. The PER, once the PER is done, that is the first element that we submit to the funding agencies. And they look at the PERs, and then they begin the process of saying okay, potentially this is your application using the PER for costs.

MS. PRICE: Aren't they going to want to see I'm going to say both options, the on-site wastewater treatment plant and the pumping, with the understanding of what Trappe's capacity and the type of plant that that is before they're going to disburse funds and look at those?

MR. CLARKE: They're going to want to see the most feasible option.

MS. PRICE: Right.

MR. CLARKE: To your point.

Page 56

Now, if come Trappe comes back and says no, we're not taking the wastewater, then treatment is the most feasible option. Now you have to look at the method of disposal.

So those are the things that would have to come back.

But if Trappe is now saying yes, we will receive the wastewater, it will probably be cheaper to go that route. And then ultimately it will be also more expedient to do that as well.

MR. PACK: Well, let's --

MR. CLARKE: I'll work to try and set up the parameters.

MR. PACK: Let's exhaust this first. And I think if Council can get apples to apples, line by line and get a number, whether that number is \$1.5 million or whether the number is \$4.5 million or somewhere in between, we just need to know what that is.

And then once we have that, we can now say

Page 57

whether or not we're going to do that and then move to an option B, which may be the connection into Trappe.

But again, there's a lot of questions
there that I'm kind of concerned about with
their plant and whether or not they can accept
the additional effluent.

So we'll talk about that at another time.

MR. CLARKE: The only thing, because we have the citizens here as well, I mean if we do come up with a strategy and options, one of the things that I've had a discussion with one or two property owners that live in the Ferry Point area and that would also be a potential to look at as well, is doing a road construction district. So as the sewer lines are being put in, these are private roads, the potential would be to take over those roads, upgrade the road to county standards with the county then taking over that road.

MR. PACK: And that's another --

Page 58

MR. CLARKE: But we've had that discussion. I wanted to make sure the Council was aware of that as well.

MR. LESHER: Mr. Pack, we've got three engineers in the room. They're all telling us that connection to Trappe is in the end going to be cheapest.

While we're pursuing nailing down the costs on the more expensive option, is there any harm is at least simultaneously approaching Trappe and sort of paving the way so that we can move this ball down the road if that is in all likelihood going to be the ultimate solution here and the affordable solution?

MR. PACK: He's already made an overture to Trappe. So that has already been done.

MR. CLARKE: We probably need something in writing from them.

MR. PACK: We as a body have not met with them because we haven't gotten this particular question answered yet.

Page 59

MR. LESHER: Well, let's take that next step simultaneously while we're nailing down the costs of what is almost certainly going to be the more expensive option.

MS. PRICE: Right. Which means you have to figure out if it's not ENR, BNR, whatever all those things are, what the additional cost is to get the credits from another sanitary district.

MR. CLARKE: We'll have to look at that.

MR. PACK: You said a couple of days. Why don't we push --

MR. RAUCH: I just said all I need is a couple of days to look at it. When those couple of days fall into the calendar.

MR. PACK: Mr. Hollis is (inaudible). We don't have our calendar in front of us, but why don't we say within two weeks, see if we can get back together possibly within two weeks.

MR. RAUCH: I can't -- I have no authority to speak for the Town of Trappe obviously.

MR. PACK: No, no, no, no.

MR. RAUCH: But I have a lot of experience with the Town of Trappe. And right now, based on the last evaluation, there was about 300 EDUs of available capacity at the plant.

They were very anxious and interested in adding users for the capital fees and user fees.

MR. PACK: Right.

MR. RAUCH: So from an economic standpoint, at least, unless things have changed in the last nine weeks, there was at least a desire to add users in because that improves their operating system.

The big deal, and it's a deal that maybe the attorneys talk even first, is the restrictions within their ordinances of extending utilities outside of town limits and if there's the ability to do that.

We've approached them about that and other towns in that similar situation, and they've

Page 61

been pretty steadfast about no, we can't do it.

But you know you can do whatever you want to do

if they want to do it.

MS. PRICE: I mean if they need users, then they'll change their ordinance.

MR. RAUCH: Exactly. But I'm just saying right now, that's part of your conversation, is not just the physical restriction.

We're also in the process of designing a 540,000-gallon ENR plant for the adjacent development, for the Lakeside development.

MR. CLARKE: Some of the preliminary discussions on that --

MR. RAUCH: Timing there is the bigger problem because we're probably two and a half years out before we're ready to flush toilets.

MR. CLARKE: In talking to Trappe, we did discuss the idea of potentially setting up a county sanitary district. The county would then buy capacity from the town. So that was one of the options.

Page 62

MR. PACK: So we're going to wrap this up. Council has another issue we have to step into.

The instruction is, Ray, if you would, get BayLand and Rauch together, look over these sheets together, and bring us back a report on a standalone system. Get together within the next two weeks or so, depending on your schedule. I know holiday season, vacation season is coming up.

And then we'll simultaneously reach out to the Town of Trappe for a meeting and kind of feel them out. I need to sit down with Mr. Kupersmith before I do that.

So if you all can do that and bring us back a final report, that would be great.

MR. RAUCH: I think the thing we'll do is we'll simply make our information available so that you can consider it because he's the author of the final PER. So whatever information I can offer, I will. And to the extent that they're comfortable with it, they

,	,

	Page 63
1	can incorporate it into their final PER.
2	MR. PACK: I saw a hand go up in the back.
3	MR. WILLEY: Yeah. There's several people
4	here that received letters. Like I'm from the
5	Ferry Point subdivision as such.
6	Can we speak before you adjourn the
7	meeting? Can we sort of express our opinion?
8	MR. PACK: Yes. Stand up, sir, please.
9	MR. WILLEY: Like I said, I'm from the
10	Ferry Point subdivision.
11	In reference to the road, I've been there
12	35 years. Actually we sued Burton Hastings,
13	who was the original developer
14	MR. PACK: Can I get your name for the
15	record?
16	MR. WILLEY: Oh, I'm sorry. Jim Willey,
17	and I live at 1679 Ferry Point Court in Trappe,
18	Maryland.
19	MR. PACK: Thank you, Mr. Willey.
20	MR. WILLEY: So we had trouble with the
0.1	

road because it was dirt originally. We had it

21

Page 64

tarred and chipped. We repaved it one time and patched it six or seven.

I approached the county about taking over the road. I can tell you the county's response to me was there's about \$150,000 worth of landscaping work that needs to be done. The road has to be raised three foot in some places, widened, the ditches dug out. And then there's going to be another 200-plus-thousand dollars' worth of paving expense to meet county specs, so in reference to the roadway.

The second thing is there's 14 houses back there. All but three people are retired. So we're on a fixed income. We all spent 17 to \$20,000 to put in our original septic system that we currently have, which most of us have two holding tanks and it goes to a pumping station, then it pumps to a lift pump into a drain feed, is the typical system down there.

I don't know what we'd be required to do with our septic system. Probably dig it up or

	Page 65
1	fill it with concrete, which would incur a lot
2	of expense for us if there was a septic hookup
3	or whatever because we would have to do
4	something with that existing system. I don't
5	think the county
6	MS. PRICE: Don't they usually just flow
7	through, Ray?
8	MR. PACK: disconnect them and connect
9	it into your
10	MS. PRICE: Yeah.
11	MR. PACK: Yeah. We just disconnect it.
12	MS. PRICE: Yeah. You don't have to dig
13	them up.
14	MR. WILLEY: So the tanks, they still go
15	through the two separate tanks into the lift
16	station. Then they just tie in from that.
17	MR. PACK: That's correct.
18	MR. WILLEY: So the drain field would be
19	the only thing.
20	MR. PACK: Yeah. You would just leave it
21	in the ground, yeah.

Page 66

MR. WILLEY: Okay. But anyways, we have put a lot of expense in our current system. I just redid mine five years ago, as a matter of fact. I put an addition on. So I had two tanks. I had to move the first tank. Anyway, I went through a large expense with that just five years ago.

Already mentioned about our road. It's all private property and it's a private road. The county doesn't have any ownership of anything back there. You have to do whatever you guys do when you take crap from people, whatever that -- eminent domain. Yeah, you take it.

But anyway, so there is no -- I mean the county stops before it enters our development.

It's a private development, period. The county has never taken over the road. It's all our road.

From the property owners, there's 14 of us. I spoke to ten of them. Four of us are

Page 67

here today. The consensus basically is we don't have problems with our current system, we have spent money to have it done, we maintain it, we pump it out as an expense as we do or whatever.

It looks like that they're trying to put the expense of the commercial property out front on the 14 homeowners, the private properties back behind it. We don't need a system. They need the system. So part of the (inaudible) was to include it or not include it. We respectfully request to not include us in that decision.

MS. PRICE: If it went through the Chesapeake Bay Foundation, the Bay Restoration Funds where they're covering the cost, is that an issue?

MR. WILLEY: Well, what are they going to do about the road? Are they going to cover all the costs to connect to the thing? What would be the cost we would have to be paying?

See, there's a cost involved. Right now we don't pay anything other than electric to lift the stuff into the drain field.

MR. PACK: And those --

MR. WILLEY: So our cost is very minimal as we talk about it today. I guarantee you it's much lower than what you'll ever be able to tell me you got.

MR. PACK: And we do try to find as much grand funding so to offset whatever connection fee charges to the homeowners. The same way we're doing with Bozman and Neavitt. We got grant money to cover that.

So when we get to that stage of the discussion, of course, we will consider the homeowners equally as well.

Regarding the road, we'll sit down with Warren Edwards, our Roads Department, see what we can do about the road.

MR. WILLEY: Yeah. You'll be enlightened when you meet with them, believe me.

MR. PACK: But those are the discussions we need to have a bit later down the road.

MR. WILLEY: But that's why we showed up today. Because in the letter it says we're trying to decide whether to do commercial only or commercial and the residential. Of course, it says Ferry Point Marina. There is no residential at Ferry Point Marina. It's Ferry Point.

And I'm assuming Mr. Rauch, on his comments about Ferry Point maintaining that current or that self-supporting system for the marina, that's at Ferry Point Marina, not Ferry Point.

MR. RAUCH: That's correct, yes.

MR. PACK: Thank you, Mr. Willey.

Sir in the front row, take you real quickly before we have to run out.

MR. LECHARD: Yes, sir. I'm Robert Lechard. I represent the owners of the ten-acre parcel down there.

I guess I'm a little bit confused because
I infer from what I heard that that ten-acre
parcel was going to be disregarded in whatever
planning you have for wastewater disposal.

MR. PACK: Is that on --

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. LECHARD: I heard the term incorrectly, if I'm wrong.

MS. PRICE: Which ten-acre parcel?

MR. PACK: Right inside before you get to the hotel?

MR. LECHARD: We didn't want to encourage any more development down there.

This parcel is between Composite Yacht and Fine Marina on the other side, and it's -- I run the Economic Development Commission for Baltimore County. And those kind of things are real important to me, especially for my clients.

That parcel of land with a marine-based operation, be it winter boat storage, whatever, expansion of Composite or expansion of the

marina, it's just perfectly located.

And that's not what I had heard today. I inferred that you're taking over the existing commercial usages. And we don't want to encourage any more development.

MS. PRICE: But the zoning wouldn't allow for any additional homes or anything to be built, and we're very clear about that.

MR. LECHARD: No homes, no. But we were talking about maybe winter storage and they said whatever you do, your zoning department or whoever I talked to, wait a minute, said we had to have bathroom there even if we didn't have a building there. We had to have, if we were going to run an operation, maybe a little office, a small office, we had to have wastewater disposal, which mean basically you're saying that 10.5 acres can never be used again.

It's the same 10.5 acres that you're paying taxes on to the county for the past,

	Talbot County Council Work Session May 30, 201
	Page 72
1	what, 40 year or more.
2	MR. CLARKE: The sewer system area
3	included you all or that line I think included
4	their parcel.
5	MR. PACK: Is that on the right-hand side?
6	MR. CLARKE: It's this parcel right here,
7	if I'm not mistaken.
8	MR. PACK: Yeah, you're included.
9	MR. RAUCH: The concern, if you're
10	referring to what I said, is that the proposed,
11	any of the proposed system was not being
12	designed to include areas that were unplanned
13	for development. You're zoned for development.
14	MR. PACK: You're already zoned.
15	MR. LECHARD: Yeah, we are zoned.
16	MR. RAUCH: We always had that at least in
17	our analysis.
18	MR. PACK: So you're covered.
19	MR. LECHARD: I forget (inaudible).
20	MR. WILDING: When we projected flows, at
21	least for our ground disposal system, we looked

Page 73

at the size of your lot, went to MDE guidelines. And then if you were to improve that, I think made some assumptions as to what you have. And I'm looking at we assigned 1,200 gallons a day projected flow.

MR. PACK: Okay. We do have another meeting, but I will take one or two more questions since you guys did come out. And I appreciate you coming out and going through this with us.

Did anyone have any other questions or concerns?

SPEAKER: Real quick.

MR. PACK: Yeah. Stand up.

SPEAKER: Is there a sign-up sheet so when we leave, we can leave our e-mail addresses or whatever so --

MR. PACK: Ms. Morris, we can do that. If you see Ms. Morris, she'll put something up front for you.

Yes, ma'am.

202-803-8830 -- 410-494-8300

Talbot County Council Work Session Page 74 1 I'm at Talbot Landing Motel. 2 Can you stand up and give us MR. PACK: 3 your name? She needs to record. You can stand 4 up. 5 SPEAKER: Okay. 6 MR. PACK: Stand up so she can hear. 7 SPEAKER: I'm sorry. MR. PACK: You're fine. 8 9 SPEAKER: I'm at Talbot Landing Motel, 10 which is right up the hill from the Ferry 11 Point. 12 And I've been so excited about this 13 happening because it would be so beneficial to 14 the property itself. Maybe in time we could 15 expand. 16 Right now we are at a standstill. We 17 cannot do anything. And if the property ever 18 sells, it would be beneficial to anybody that 19 wants to come in and put whatever they need to

And I'm just excited about this project.

do for the existing community.

20

21

Page 75

So I'm pro. I know we have other concerns, but I'm really excited about this.

MR. WILLEY: I'm pro as long as the commercial properties are willing to pay whatever they want to pay for whatever they -- the residential properties shouldn't have to front the commercial properties' expenses. And that's part of their commercial property expenses.

MR. PACK: I understand.

SPEAKER: I understand where you're coming from. I understand perfectly.

MR. WILLEY: Same way for you. You're commercial. That's fine. Let it stay up front.

MR. PACK: Okay. Anybody else? Did I miss anybody else?

Well, we'll be having another work session. You all will be getting another letter about the second work session.

Hopefully we'll have more information back from

	Page 76
1	these two gentlemen. And at that time, the
2	Council hopefully can make a decision on which
3	way we want to go. Okay.
4	Thank you all very much. Appreciate it.
5	(Work session concluded at: 3:42 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

	Page //
1	STATE OF MARYLAND
2	I, Diane Houlihan, a Notary Public in and
	for the State of Maryland, County of Anne Arundel,
3	do hereby certify that the within named, Talbot
	County Council Audio, personally appeared before me
4	at the time and place herein set according to law,
	was interrogated by counsel.
5	
	I further certify that the examination was
6	recorded stenographically by me and then transcribed
	from my stenographic notes to the within printed
7	matter by means of computer-assisted transcription
	in a true and accurate manner.
8	
	I further certify that the stipulations
9	contained herein were entered into by counsel in my
	presence.
10	
	I further certify that I am not of counsel
11	to any of the parties, not an employee of counsel,
	nor related to any of the parties, nor in any way
12	interested in the outcome of this action.
13	AS WITNESS my hand Notorial Seal this 4th
	of June, 2019, at Easton, MD.
14	
15	
	Viane Heulina
16	
	Diane Houlihan
17	Notary Public
18	
19	
20	My commission expires September 16, 2021

20 21

[& - appropriate]

&	2019 1:8 77:13	34:5	affordable 21:6
& 20:11	2021 77:20	750,000 20:3 24:6	58:14
	21 1:21	24:8 25:15	agencies 55:7
1	22 15:6,11	751,000 5:6	ago 2:10,21 4:19
1 1:2	240 36:5	8	4:20 8:6 12:7
1,200 73:5	26 10:9	8 1:9	46:17 66:3,7
1.2 45:10	29 15:13 27:17	80 23:8	agree 51:2
1.5 11:10,15 13:4	28:6	835 24:19 25:10	agreed 47:13
14:5,15 56:18	2:30 1:8	32:5	ahead 4:16
1.7 11:10	3	850,000 14:8	allow 41:1 71:6
10 1:11	3 1:4	-	allowed 19:7
10,000 20:14	30 1:8	9	47:10
10.5 71:18,20	300 60:4	9 1:10	alternative 21:3,6
11 1:12 33:17	35 23:11 63:12	a	21:17 48:5
48:17,18 53:7,12	35,000 20:13	ability 60:19	alternatives 21:11
53:19	23:10	able 68:7	21:12 26:12 27:5
12 1:13 12:20	3:42 76:5	accept 47:13 49:4	39:9,13 46:2
120 28:3	4	53:19 57:6	analysis 72:17
120,000 28:2	_	accurate 77:7	anne 77:2
13 1:14	4 1:5	achieve 22:4	answer 17:3
13,000 27:18 28:5	4.1 12:3	acre 11:5 20:8	answered 58:21
1362 77:15	4.5 56:19	69:21 70:2,8	anxious 60:6
14 1:15 64:12	40 72:1	acres 71:18,20	anybody 23:20
66:20 67:8 15 1:16	45 10:1 15:15 49:4	action 21:14 77:12	74:18 75:16,17
15 1:16 15,000 29:19	4th 77:13	actual 20:2 22:12	anyway 66:5,15
150,000 29.19 150,000 25:12	5	add 34:11 60:13	anyways 66:1
64:5	5 1:6	added 14:17	apart 43:1
16 1:17 77:20	50 10:5 31:20,21	adding 60:7	apiece 28:6
1679 63:17	47:20 53:2	addition 5:14 66:4	appeared 77:3
17 1:18 64:14	50,000 25:17	additional 2:10	apples 14:10,11
18 1:19	26:15 27:8 38:4	19:14 36:16 49:4	16:2,3 24:15,16
19 1:20 9:17,18	500 32:7 35:7	49:15 50:6 57:7	30:6,6 50:2 51:9,9 56:16,16
10:10 16:18	500,000 32:5	59:7 71:7	application 21:18
2	540,000 61:10	address 19:7,16	55:10
	568,000 5:6	addresses 73:16	applications 54:18
2 1:3	6	adjacent 30:17	appreciate 48:7
2,500 36:4	6 1:7	32:2 35:10 39:20	48:10 73:9 76:4
20 1:21 15:3	600,000 50:19	39:21 61:10	approached 43:8
20,000 20:15	668,000 14:4	adjourn 63:6	60:20 64:3
23:13 64:15 200 64:9	7	adjust 50:18,21	approaching
	7 1:8	advantages 9:5	58:10
2016 2:11,18	750 24:20 26:1	advisory 2:5	appropriate 31:6
10:18	32:4,12,15 33:20	afford 41:4	31:8 40:12 43:4
	32.4,12,13 33.20		21.0 10.12 10.1

[appropriately - clustered]

appropriately	59:19 62:5,15	body 43:2,7 58:19	chambers 1:11
43:14,14,15 49:3	63:2 64:12 66:11	bore 31:20 46:7	change 61:5
approval 22:8	67:9 75:21	borne 10:6	changed 60:12
area 2:7 3:3,21 4:4	ball 58:12	boundaries 46:20	changes 8:11
9:16 18:4,10,11	baltimore 70:16	boxes 49:8	charges 68:11
18:12 20:9 22:17	base 24:19 32:8	bozman 68:12	cheaper 47:19
23:3 26:19 42:15	based 4:3 7:10	breakdown 11:15	48:5 56:9
57:14 72:2	11:11 15:15 18:9	35:8 49:19	cheapest 45:7 58:7
areas 3:3 20:19	18:19 19:20 30:4	brf 53:13	checked 49:8
21:7 72:12	36:18 60:3 70:19	bridge 6:14,14,17	chesapeake 67:15
argue 12:21 27:11	basically 5:10 8:7	45:16,16	chipped 64:1
arrangements	9:16 27:3 67:1	bring 2:16 20:21	choices 18:16
42:21	71:17	38:11 49:5 62:5	20:20
arundel 77:2	basin 29:15 35:1	62:14	choptank 3:7 6:10
asked 2:14,21	bathroom 71:13	brook 18:13,14	10:20 12:3 36:3
asking 4:6	bay 67:15,15	build 23:1 44:4	citizens 8:13 42:12
assigned 36:4 73:4	bayland 2:14 4:16	building 19:21	52:13 57:10
assisted 77:7	4:18 5:15,18 6:5	20:7 34:2,3 71:14	city 3:7
associated 31:5	6:12 7:6,17,21	built 22:20 34:13	clarke 2:4,9,15
35:10 38:10	9:15,15,19 12:13	38:8 71:8	3:12,15 6:19 7:2
associates 26:14	12:19 16:2 17:13	bunch 12:12	11:3,11 12:10,17
assuming 69:10	27:9 50:17 62:4	burton 63:12	13:15,21 14:6,9
assumptions	began 4:7 5:16 7:2	businesses 10:4	15:16 16:7,16
13:10 24:17 51:2	believe 68:21	15:7	28:20 29:8,11,17
51:11 73:3	beneficial 74:13	buy 61:20	32:9 37:8,11
attorneys 60:16	74:18	c	43:19 45:5 48:19
audio 77:3	best 44:3 45:3	c 43:16	49:20 51:13 52:5
author 62:19	49:2		52:9,12,19 53:8
authority 59:20	better 2:19	calendar 59:15,17	53:11,16 54:1,5
available 39:14	bid 31:2	call 4:2	54:17 55:4,18,21
40:20 54:6 60:5	big 26:18 35:13,21	called 18:12 40:2	56:13 57:9 58:1
62:17	36:17 37:16,20	cambridge 3:8 6:9	58:17 59:10 61:12
aware 8:12,13	60:15	capacity 23:12 49:4 55:15 60:5	61:17 72:2,6
28:21 58:3	bigger 37:16,19		cleaner 22:1
awesome 11:21	61:14	61:20 capital 60:7	clear 51:15 52:20
b	bioreactor 20:12	capital 60.7	55:5 71:8
b 43:16 44:9 48:3	bit 7:18 8:9 10:12	care 43.2 caroline 5:11 11:4	client 17:17
57:2	42:4 69:2 70:1	case 26:8 47:8,17	clients 70:18
back 2:10,15,17	bnr 53:14,15,16	case 20.8 47.8,17 central 26:8 30:12	close 27:18 45:11
3:11 9:12 11:19	53:18 59:6	certainly 13:1	closest 45:18
11:20 12:6 15:20	board 2:5	48:7 59:3	cluster 28:19
16:1 24:2 32:19	boat 40:1 70:20	certify 77:3,5,8,10	clustered 28:15
32:19 33:15 34:9	bob 16:16 48:8	challenges 6:21	29:21
50:11 56:1,6		chancinges 0.21	
50.11 50.1,0			

[colleagues - demobe]

colleagues 27:11	computer 77:7	40:1	66:10,16,17 70:16
collecting 20:18	computers 12:16	conventional	71:21 77:2,3
collection 21:5,10	concept 41:18	20:20 46:4	county's 64:4
26:9 32:1	50:5	conversation 61:7	couple 59:11,14
collector 30:11,19	concern 72:9	conversations 8:6	59:15
combination	concerned 57:5	copy 16:21	course 27:21
30:21	concerns 73:12	corey 1:16	68:15 69:6
combined 32:14	75:1	correct 11:3 14:6	court 63:17
32:16	concluded 76:5	19:17 48:14 65:17	cover 52:11 67:19
come 9:11 16:15	concrete 65:1	69:15	68:13
20:12 50:11 56:1	condition 24:3	correctly 3:10	covered 72:18
56:6 57:11 73:8	conditions 19:8	cost 5:17 11:8	covering 67:16
74:19	confused 70:1	12:8 13:13 14:11	crap 66:12
comes 33:12 51:16	connect 48:17	17:21 30:14 32:8	creating 19:13
56:1	53:2,7 65:8 67:20	32:12 35:5,17	credits 52:10 59:8
comfortable 23:1	connecting 3:4	36:5 37:2 38:8,9	crossings 48:1
62:21	connection 57:3	41:10 44:21 46:3	current 66:2 67:2
coming 12:4 37:3	58:6 68:10	46:14 52:12 59:7	69:12
42:12 62:9 73:9	connections 15:2	67:16,21 68:1,5	currently 40:1
75:11	15:6 38:9	costing 13:5	64:16
comments 69:11	consensus 67:1	costly 7:16	d
commercial 3:2	consider 41:15,16	costs 5:2,3 10:6,13	data 42:4,10
9:7,21 10:7 15:4	49:6 62:18 68:15	12:2 20:6 22:21	date 9:12
19:2,3,5 67:7 69:5	consideration	23:4 24:10 31:5,6	day 50:16 73:5
69:6 71:4 75:4,7,8	46:21	36:16 37:17 55:10	days 59:11,14,15
75:14	considerations	58:9 59:3 67:20	deal 60:15,15
commission 70:15	50:7	council 1:2,11,15	dealing 21:7
77:20	consistently 24:3	2:8,11,12,14,17	debate 27:10
community 4:3	construct 11:6	2:21 3:9 4:15 5:1	decide 49:8 69:5
7:10 18:18 74:20	12:9 41:1	8:12 10:15 16:3,8	decided 41:20
comparable 31:13	constructed 13:12	28:21 42:11,14	decision 16:4 17:1
compare 11:16	47:15	43:7,21 44:9,12	37:9 39:8 42:11
31:15	construction	50:11 56:16 58:2	42:17 43:5 44:12
compared 32:4,4	11:12,19 20:2	62:2 76:2 77:3	67:13 76:2
comparing 43:12	22:12 24:6 27:4	council's 2:3	decisions 40:9
43:13 44:13	32:4 57:16	counsel 77:4,9,10	41:14
comparison 16:3	consultants 2:14	77:11	dedicated 35:9
completed 42:10	contained 22:14	county 1:2,2 2:3	deeded 40:17
completely 44:10	39:5 77:9	4:6 5:11 11:5	deep 38:1
44:18 49:10,11	contingencies	18:20 19:11 31:8	deeper 37:1
component 52:20	14:16,16,18	39:12 40:13,18	degree 31:12
composite 40:3,5	contracted 4:16	41:20 43:21 47:13	delivered 25:15
_	_		ucii (ci cu 2.).i.)
40:6 70:13,21	control 25:17 26:17 27:2,8,13	57:19,20 61:19,19 64:3,10 65:5	demobe 25:12

[department - exhaust]

department 8:13	dirt 63:21	draw 22:15	engineers 31:10
54:11 68:18 71:11	disadvantage 37:6	drill 6:20 31:19	58:5
depending 38:19	disburse 55:16	duane 5:18 10:12	enlightened 68:20
62:7	discharge 7:13	12:10	enr 21:15 22:4
design 31:7,7,15	10:19 11:8 12:3	dug 64:8	23:1 48:16 59:6
34:19 37:4 41:16	21:2,13,14,16	duplex 28:12,13	61:10
45:15	24:4 33:3 36:9,21	29:10,11,19	entered 77:9
designated 30:13	46:1,3 51:17	duplexes 29:15	enters 66:16
38:14	disconnect 65:8	dwelling 10:1,2	entire 9:16
designed 43:14	65:11	e	environment 8:14
72:12	discrepancies	e 1:19 73:16	45:4 54:12
designing 61:9	2:18	earlier 2:8	environmental
desirable 47:3	discrepancy 17:4	early 21:19 41:14	47:21
desire 60:13	discuss 10:12	41:17	equalization
developer 63:13	61:18	easton 1:11 77:13	23:14
developing 5:17	discussed 6:4 8:20	easton's 52:2	equally 68:16
development 4:17	discussing 2:5	economic 60:10	equivalent 10:1,2
6:2 8:1 54:13 55:1	discussion 41:19	70:15	erosion 25:16
61:11,11 66:16,17	57:12 58:2 68:15	editorial 48:9	26:17 27:2,7,13
70:12,15 71:5	discussions 5:9	edus 9:20 10:10	especially 21:6
72:13,13	6:11 7:3 8:15,19	15:14,15 16:19	70:17
development's	21:19 61:13 69:1	23:6 60:5	estimate 5:19 9:20
4:13	disposal 7:9,11,20	edwards 68:18	9:21 14:5,11,14
diameter 21:4	12:9 17:19 40:8	effective 46:3	19:5 45:9
diane 1:21 77:2,16	56:4 70:4 71:17	effluent 7:12	estimated 5:5 15:2
difference 10:9	72:21	49:16 57:7	27:17
12:15 14:17,19,20	dispose 7:12,14	eighty 23:9	estimates 5:17
differences 43:3	disregarded 70:3	either 3:1 6:9 7:12	14:1,2 30:15
different 2:13,16	district 47:12,13	38:16	estimating 13:6
5:5,7,8 7:8 15:11	47:16 57:16 59:9	electric 68:2	15:9,10 27:12
20:19 24:17 31:11	61:19	element 55:6	evaluated 45:21
41:21 47:10	disturbance 26:19	eliminating 18:3	evaluation 60:4
differently 30:5	ditches 64:8	emergency 35:4,8	everybody 22:4
difficult 14:12	divilio 1:17 40:3,5	35:9,12	51:15 55:5
16:9	doing 25:20 26:9	eminent 66:13	exactly 13:11 36:1
dig 64:21 65:12	57:15 68:12	emphasis 19:11	45:16,20 61:6
direct 51:17	dollars 5:12 45:12	employee 77:11	examination 77:5
direction 9:14	dollars' 64:10	encourage 70:11	examples 45:14
42:13	domain 66:13	71:5	exceeding 11:7
directional 6:20	donate 38:16	engineering 1:6	excited 74:12,21
31:19 46:7	dorchester 47:12	3:18 4:12 5:16 6:1	75:2
directly 32:21	dr 8:20	10:21 13:17 50:4	exhaust 48:3,13
33:7,9	drain 7:15,15	50:7	56:15
	64:19 65:18 68:3		

[exhausted - grand]

exhausted 49:11	feel 13:12 22:21	flows 37:3 53:12	generator 35:4,8,9
exist 6:18	42:16 62:12	72:20	35:12
existing 18:4,10	fees 60:7,8	flush 61:16	gentlemen 76:1
18:11 19:8,18	feet 36:4	follow 3:11	getting 9:1,9
45:6 65:4 71:3	fence 11:6 26:20	foot 36:5 64:7	75:19
74:20	27:3	footprint 20:8	give 3:16 9:14
expand 74:15	ferry 1:6 2:7 3:3	38:18	16:21 41:12 45:13
expanding 4:2	3:19 17:17,19	force 6:13 46:5,11	46:20 74:2
expansion 70:21	18:1,10 19:1,17	47:16	gives 51:10
70:21	22:15 23:2 27:1	forget 18:11 72:19	giving 11:19
expedient 53:2	38:6 39:5,9 40:11	forgiveness 54:10	go 2:15 4:16 6:16
56:10	40:14 47:8 57:13	55:1	10:14 12:6 15:20
expense 64:10	63:5,10,17 69:7,8	format 28:10	16:1,4 17:2 23:4
65:2 66:2,6 67:4,7	69:8,11,13,13	forward 4:7 5:15	24:21 25:8 31:20
expenses 75:7,9	74:10	8:17 9:8,10 38:20	36:2,3 37:1 43:15
expensive 44:21	fewer 28:16 29:20	forwarded 13:17	44:8,11 45:3 49:9
45:3 58:9 59:4	field 7:15,16 65:18	foundation 67:15	50:10 53:2 54:7,9
experience 60:2	68:3	foundations 33:20	54:13,21 55:1,2
expires 77:20	figure 8:16 29:8	33:21	56:9 63:2 65:14
explore 39:13	36:15 38:4 59:6	four 9:18 12:19	76:3
48:12	fill 65:1	30:10 45:18 46:5	goes 18:8 50:13
express 63:7	filled 17:7	66:21	64:17
extend 38:7 46:19	final 39:7 46:9	frank 1:17	going 2:5 3:6 6:10
extended 34:21	50:12,15 62:15,19	front 34:7 59:17	7:3,21 8:21 12:6
extending 60:18	63:1	67:8 69:17 73:20	13:11 14:15,19
extent 23:19 62:21	finalize 8:4	75:7,15	15:16 22:2,14
extra 33:4 34:12	finalized 8:3	frustrating 42:18	24:21 26:4 33:1
f	find 9:6 10:17	full 28:10 35:7	36:2 38:7,11,21
facility 6:6 11:13	17:18 43:3 68:9	49:19	39:11,13 40:10
39:12 45:7,19	findings 3:13	fund 54:8	44:15 45:7 47:2
fact 21:15 22:5	fine 70:14 74:8	funding 4:11	48:5 51:4,5,6,8,17
66:4	75:14	53:13 54:14 55:7	51:17,20 52:3,5
failing 18:7	finished 19:21	68:10	53:6 55:11,12,16
failsafe 39:7	22:11 45:15	funds 55:16 67:16	55:18 57:1 58:6
fair 52:13	firm 26:13 46:9	further 30:14 77:5	58:13 59:3 62:1
fall 59:15	first 21:20 39:5	77:8,10	64:9 67:18,19
far 7:7 21:17 27:8	55:6 56:15 60:16	future 19:7	70:3 71:15 73:9
36:2 42:21 43:1	66:5	futures 3:21	good 13:12 42:5
feasibility 2:6	fit 20:10	g	49:20
feasible 6:16	five 66:3,7	gallon 20:13,14,15	gosh 21:20
41:19 55:19 56:3	fixed 64:14	23:13 61:10	gotten 29:19 58:20
fee 68:11	flow 23:14 29:4	gallons 23:10 73:5	grabs 31:9
feed 64:19	36:20 65:6 73:5	gee 47:4	grand 68:10
		_	

[grant - land]

grant 68:13	harm 58:10	inaudible 18:13	interrogated 77:4
gravity 20:20 21:1	hastings 63:12	18:14 24:4 26:14	investigates 23:20
26:8,12 33:3,11	hate 9:6	26:14 27:5 33:14	invite 16:14
33:12 36:9	head 24:11 33:9	34:15 45:13 59:16	involved 21:4 68:1
great 62:15	hear 3:12 74:6	67:11 72:19	irrigation 7:14
greater 10:4	heard 2:8 17:11	inch 31:1,1,2 46:5	issue 14:9 18:6
grinder 15:9,11	42:3 70:2,6 71:2	include 13:4 26:1	47:9 53:4 54:20
15:19 16:11,13	helps 17:10	33:20 67:11,11,12	62:2 67:17
21:3 22:10,11	hesitancy 8:9 9:9	72:12	item 30:10,10
27:20 28:12,13,14	high 13:9 36:4,20	included 12:13,19	33:19
29:2 32:20 33:8	50:19	13:7 20:5 26:6,16	items 12:19 17:7
35:3	highlight 14:14	26:16 35:5 36:11	33:16
grinders 25:18,20	51:15	72:3,3,8	j
26:2,6,10,11,13	highlighted 16:10	includes 25:2	jack 31:19
27:17 28:15,16,19	hill 74:10	including 40:11	jim 63:16
30:12	history 3:16	income 64:14	job 25:21 26:9
ground 65:21	hold 8:2	incomplete 13:2,4	31:2
72:21	holding 64:17	incorporate 18:17	junction 46:16
growth 19:7,14	holiday 62:8	50:8 63:1	june 77:13
guarantee 68:6	hollis 59:16	incorporated 2:12	k
guaranty 48:4	homeowners 67:8	3:18	
guess 3:17,20 4:15	68:11,16	incorporating	kind 3:20 7:8 8:1
5:1,14 15:1,9 20:1	homes 48:17,18	18:3	16:2 29:5 41:21
28:9 70:1	53:7,19 71:7,9	incorrectly 70:7	51:6 57:5 62:11
guidelines 51:12	hookup 65:2	incur 65:1	70:16
73:2	hopefully 75:21	indicated 3:17	knew 22:21 38:18
guys 33:17 66:12	76:2	44:5	know 13:3,11 14:7
73:8	horse 46:13	infer 70:2	14:14 15:14 17:9
h	hotel 19:8 70:10	inferred 71:3	17:13 18:5 22:19
half 4:19 5:12	houlihan 1:21	information 2:10	22:20 28:13 35:6
12:8 13:7 20:4	77:2,16	42:7,17 44:15	36:1 43:8,12
23:12,16 25:2	house 10:2 28:15	62:17,20 75:21	44:20 46:17 47:4
26:5 31:1 34:13	29:5,7,9	infrastructure	47:6 48:10 53:1,4
34:15 36:12 45:11	houses 29:2,16	20:6	53:5 54:16 56:20
45:12 61:15	51:19 53:12 64:12	ini 24:1	61:2 62:8 64:20
halfway 41:21	howell 48:18	initiated 17:16	75:1
hand 63:2 72:5	huh 40:4	inside 70:9	kupersmith 62:13
77:13	i	installed 25:15	l
handle 49:15	idea 2:19 19:6	instruction 15:21	laid 30:1,5 31:13
hanging 6:13	42:5 61:18	62:3	43:9
happen 47:10	important 70:17	interest 46:18	lakeside 61:11
happened 20:9	improve 73:2	interested 42:9	land 21:17 39:2,9
happening 74:13	improves 60:14	60:6 77:12	39:14 40:20 70:19
	_		

7.10	1941 0 1 6 7 10 0 0		97 45 10
landing 74:1,9	little 3:16 7:18 8:8	main 6:13 46:5,12	miles 45:18
lands 39:19	10:12 47:4,19	47:14,16	million 5:12 11:10
landscaping 64:6	70:1 71:15	maintain 67:3	11:15 12:3 14:5
large 36:20 66:6	live 57:13 63:17	maintaining 69:11	
larger 29:12	load 51:20,21	major 18:7	45:12 46:10 56:18
laterally 18:8	loan 54:9,21	manage 21:8	56:19
laura 1:19	loans 54:8	manageable 22:6	mind 16:20 38:15
law 77:4	located 51:5 71:1	managed 24:1	mine 66:3
lay 31:10	location 11:4 33:2	manager's 44:1	minimal 68:5
layout 30:4 31:4	long 75:3	manner 77:7	minor 26:19 35:20
layouts 31:15	look 2:15 3:1 4:10	marina 18:10 39:2	minute 71:12
lease 38:16	6:2 10:18 11:18	69:7,8,13,13	mistaken 72:7
leased 40:17,17	12:1,11 21:13	70:14 71:1	mobe 25:12
leave 65:20 73:16	27:11 28:2 31:14	marine 3:21 70:19	money 55:2 67:3
73:16	38:21 41:7 49:17	maryland 1:2,11	68:13
lechard 69:19,20	52:16,17 55:7,16	8:13 54:11 63:18	month 50:15
70:6,11 71:9	56:4 57:15 59:10	77:1,2	monthly 24:9
72:15,19	59:14 62:4	matter 21:15	months 8:5 12:7
length 46:11	looked 6:7,12,12	40:19 66:3 77:7	morris 73:18,19
lengths 47:18	6:15 7:19 18:1	md 77:13	motel 74:1,9
lesher 1:18 28:1,5	20:17,21 21:10	mde 8:16,20 11:8	move 4:6 5:15
58:4 59:1	26:11 31:14 46:2	34:19 37:11 73:1	8:17 9:8,10 43:11
letter 69:4 75:20	46:14 72:21	mean 13:9 24:18	44:11 48:3 49:12
letters 63:4	looking 3:20 4:1,5	29:1,14 37:4,12	57:2 58:12 66:5
level 50:5	4:8 5:1,12 6:4 7:2	40:19 44:20 54:20	moves 18:8
levels 53:14,17,19	7:19 8:14 10:9	57:10 61:4 66:15	multiple 20:12
lie 43:3	12:2,7 13:20 15:1	71:17	multiplied 28:7
lift 21:1 26:4	15:3,7,19 16:10	means 59:5 77:7	municipal 6:6
32:12,13 33:1,13	23:10 34:7 39:16	meet 21:15 64:10	mystery 20:15
64:18 65:15 68:3	41:11 73:4	68:21	22:9
lifts 33:13	looks 24:16 67:6	meeting 11:7	n
likelihood 58:13	lot 17:7 20:5 38:3	34:15 62:11 63:7	
limits 60:18	47:1 57:4 60:2	73:7	nailing 58:8 59:2
line 12:19 28:1	65:1 66:2 73:1	meets 22:6	name 63:14 74:3
30:10 34:10 35:21	lots 9:17,18,19	members 1:15 3:9	named 77:3
36:6,7,10 37:1,15	31:20 49:5	membrane 10:20	neavitt 68:12
47:14 56:17,17	loveless 20:11	20:11	necessarily 24:18
72:3	low 28:2 30:11	memo 16:8 43:21	necessary 9:2
linear 36:4	lower 68:7	memory 34:9	need 4:13 8:3
lines 31:2 57:16	ls 3:20	mentioned 66:8	42:21 43:2 44:13
list 25:1	m	met 58:19	44:20 48:12 49:7
listed 54:21		method 56:4	49:18 50:10,16
listen 54:20	ma'am 73:21	methods 20:18	51:1 52:3,5 56:20
	mail 73:16		58:17 59:13 61:4
			62:12 67:9,10

[need - plant] Page 8

69:2 74:19	old 6:14	overall 11:12	particular 17:2
needed 5:21 6:2	once 18:8 55:5	overture 58:15	22:16 26:8 58:20
41:5 47:11	56:21	owned 18:20	parties 77:11,11
needs 19:16 37:16	ones 35:14	39:12 47:11	patched 64:2
37:20 43:2 44:9	operating 24:3	owners 10:7,8	paving 58:11
44:18 64:6 74:3	60:14	57:13 66:20 69:20	64:10
neighborhood 5:6	operation 70:20	ownership 66:10	pay 53:21 54:1
11:10	71:15	p	68:2 75:4,5
never 27:10 66:18	operations 35:11	p.m. 1:8 76:5	paying 67:21
71:18	opinion 48:11	pack 1:16 2:3 3:15	71:21
nine 60:12	63:7	10:16 11:4,16	people 28:4 63:3
nitrogen 51:20,21	opportunities	12:1 15:20 16:14	64:13 66:12
52:18	19:13	16:20 17:1 23:6,9	percent 10:5
notary 77:2,17	opportunity 18:2	23:17 24:5,9,14	perfectly 71:1
notes 77:6	opposed 12:4	25:5,7 27:6,15	75:12
notorial 77:13	18:18	33:6,15 37:12	perform 4:17
number 5:13 9:20	option 3:5,6 6:5,7	38:13 40:15,18	period 66:17
15:3 26:18 28:2	10:19 11:18 16:4	42:9 43:19 44:7	permanent 27:5
29:15 33:17 36:17	43:10,16 44:8,9	47:6 48:7,10,20	permit 11:8
37:5,16 42:8 43:4	44:12,18 45:8	50:9,15 52:10,17	permitable 47:17
45:11 51:19 56:17	46:3 48:3 49:2,9	53:1,10,15,18	permits 9:2
56:18,18	51:16 54:6 55:19	54:3,16,19 56:12	permitting 22:8
numbers 2:13 5:2	56:3 57:2 58:9	56:15 57:21 58:4	pers 55:8
15:18 19:9,20	59:4	58:15,19 59:11,16	personal 48:9
20:2,16,16 22:9	options 5:4,5,7 6:3	60:1,9 62:1 63:2,8	personally 77:3
22:13 24:12 28:10	6:3 7:7,9,20 20:17	63:14,19 65:8,11	perspective 11:14
34:8 43:1 44:17	39:15,18 41:15	65:17,20 68:4,9	28:21
46:9 50:17 52:16	43:9 48:12,13	69:1,16 70:5,9	pete 1:18
0	49:13 55:12 57:11	72:5,8,14,18 73:6	phase 38:11 41:16
objective 17:18	61:21	73:14,18 74:2,6,8	phosphorus 52:4
obviously 59:21	oranges 50:3	75:10,16	52:6
offer 62:20	ordinance 61:5	package 22:6	physical 61:8
office 15:21 44:1,8	ordinances 60:17	29:18	pick 31:20
44:8 54:18 71:16	original 63:13	page 11:20,20	picture 8:11
71:16	64:15	12:12,16 13:19	place 77:4
offset 51:19 68:10	originally 5:5	25:5	places 64:8
oh 11:21 21:20	63:21	parameters 56:14	plan 17:2 28:18
63:16	outcome 77:12	parcel 69:21 70:3	38:13
okay 9:7 25:7,8	outfall 35:21 36:6	70:8,13,19 72:4,6	planned 19:15
27:15,16 33:16	36:7,8 37:15	park 39:17	planning 70:4
34:17 35:13,17	50:21	part 5:21 6:17 7:5	plant 5:7 7:7,9
52:9 55:9 66:1	outlined 16:8	7:6 12:15 13:1	8:21 10:5 18:18
73:6 74:5 75:16	outside 46:19	25:18 30:9 61:7	19:19 20:1,3,7,8
76:3	60:18	67:10 75:8	20:12,13 21:2,11

[plant - quarter]

22.2.6.10.22.2.14		1.1.15	1 10 20
22:3,6,18 23:2,14	practical 18:16	prior 14:15	proposed 18:20
23:21 24:5,7,19	predicated 9:20	private 18:19	31:14 72:10,11
25:10 26:2,3,3	preliminary 1:6	47:11 57:17 66:9	proposing 28:19
32:13,21 33:2,10	3:18 4:12 5:16 6:1	66:9,17 67:8	29:16
34:2 35:10,19	13:16 34:18 50:4	pro 75:1,3	protection 34:11
36:2,20 37:3 38:5	50:7 61:12	probably 4:19	protections 23:15
38:9,14 39:1	premature 38:17	7:16 10:4 19:4	proven 21:5
40:14,18 44:3	prepared 3:18	27:18 47:18 56:8	provide 4:2 17:18
46:1,15 48:15,16	4:13 10:13 13:16	58:17 61:15 64:21	19:6
49:1,3,15 51:6,7	14:15 16:8	problem 11:14	providing 39:16
51:16 52:2,2 54:4	presence 77:9	13:1 19:12,13	public 2:4 47:15
55:13,15 57:6	present 54:7	23:20 45:17 61:15	77:2,17
60:5 61:10	presented 2:13	problems 24:1	pump 6:9 9:2,5,11
plants 22:8 44:14	21:20 43:20	67:2	10:5 22:12 29:4
please 63:8	pressure 30:11	proceed 2:20	32:20,20 33:8
pleasing 3:8	pretty 13:12 27:18	proceeded 4:21	34:14 44:4,5
plus 23:3 64:9	29:17 36:4 43:17	proceedings 2:1	64:18 67:4
point 1:6 2:7 3:3	45:5 47:19 61:1	process 4:8 5:17	pumping 6:5
3:19 7:13 8:2,8	prettyman 11:2	21:16 41:14,18	30:12 32:13 33:4
10:11,15 17:17,20	20:14 23:7,17	55:8 61:9	33:6 35:2,3 45:1,6
18:1,10 19:1,17	24:5 33:12 36:9	professional 48:11	46:4,12 55:13
21:13,14,16 22:15	price 1:19 6:17	prohibitive 21:14	64:17
23:2 27:1 38:7	7:1 11:21 12:11	project 2:9,20 4:7	pumps 15:10,11
39:6,10 40:11	12:18 13:2,18	9:9 12:2 17:20	15:19 16:11,13
46:1 47:8 48:18	14:3,7 15:13	26:21 38:19 74:21	21:4 22:10 27:20
55:21 57:14 63:5	16:21 17:6 19:1	projected 72:20	28:12 29:3,13
63:10,17 69:7,8,9	24:15 25:10 26:15	73:5	33:6,8 64:18
69:11,13,14 74:11	27:20 28:3 29:6	projects 37:21	pursuing 58:8
point's 40:14	32:3 33:16 34:4	proper 24:2	push 59:12
position 9:7	36:11,15 39:4	properties 9:8,10	put 8:2 19:4,9
possibilities 18:3	40:10,21 41:6,10	15:4,5,12 16:19	21:1 22:17 26:8
possibility 3:1,4	44:20 45:9 47:4	38:21 67:9 75:4,6	26:15 28:3 29:1
4:11 15:6 48:4	50:13,17,21 51:3	75:7	29:12 31:16 35:2
possibly 3:6 7:3	51:10 53:21 55:11	property 10:7,8	38:4 41:18 57:17
59:19	55:20 59:5 61:4	11:6 22:15 38:14	64:15 66:2,4 67:6
potential 4:1,2 6:5	65:6,10,12 67:14	38:16,16 39:1,6	73:19 74:19
7:11 10:3 57:14	70:8 71:6	39:10,20,21 40:14	putting 21:21
57:18	priced 30:1 43:15	41:7 57:13 66:9	22:11 46:4,14
potentially 6:13	prices 26:13 30:3	66:20 67:7 74:14	q
15:5 39:3 54:9	31:3,12	74:17 75:8	
55:9 61:18	pricing 26:10	proposal 18:9	qualified 53:13
power 38:4,5,6,9	primary 17:17	34:7 36:14	quality 22:5
38:12,12	printed 77:6	proposals 2:16	quantity 27:17
			quarter 31:1

question 10:17	reach 62:10	62:5,15	68:1 70:9 72:5,6
12:6 48:14 49:14	ready 61:16	reported 1:21	74:10,16
		_	·
49:16 58:21	real 3:16 20:16	reports 3:12 17:5	river 6:10 21:2,21
questions 10:15	69:17 70:17 73:13	50:2,11	33:3 36:3 37:21
17:4 57:4 73:8,11	really 7:8 30:6	represent 69:20	road 18:15 32:2
quick 73:13	31:15 36:19 42:2	request 17:16 54:7	45:1 57:15,19,20
quicker 9:3	42:9 46:20 75:2	67:12	58:12 63:11,21
quickly 3:16 43:18	reason 36:19	require 29:3	64:4,7 66:8,9,18
43:19 69:18	reasonable 42:11	required 11:5	66:19 67:19 68:17
quite 42:4	recall 11:9 24:9	35:1 64:20	68:19 69:2
quoted 27:9 30:3	receive 53:6,6,11	requirement	roads 30:15,18
r	53:13 54:9 56:8	34:16 51:18	31:17 57:17,18
race 46:13	received 63:4	requirements 4:14	68:18
raised 64:7	receiving 8:7 18:6	22:7 24:4	roadway 64:11
	recollection 48:13	reserve 39:18	roadways 30:13
rauch 2:12 3:17	record 63:15 74:3	resident 24:10	robert 69:19
5:3,10 10:18,21	recorded 77:6	residential 3:2	room 20:10 58:5
12:4,13,19 14:15	redid 66:3	9:10,17,19 10:8	route 47:20 54:9
15:1,1,14,18 16:1	reference 63:11	15:4 16:19 18:11	56:9
16:14,16 17:11	64:11	19:2 69:6,8 75:6	row 69:17
18:14 19:4 23:8	referring 72:10	residentials 40:12	run 14:10 69:18
23:11,19 24:7,11	regarding 2:9	residents 9:12	70:15 71:15
25:3,14,20 26:3,7	17:4 42:14 68:17	19:11	running 23:17
26:17 27:7,10	region 52:1,11,14	resolve 17:12	38:5 46:5 47:20
28:9 29:10,14,20	regional 18:17,20	19:17	rural 4:13,17 6:1
30:16,21 32:7,11	39:12	respectfully 67:12	54:13 55:1
32:18 33:8,17	regulators 37:8	response 64:4	S
34:1,6,12,18 35:6	related 20:6 77:11	responsibility	
35:15 36:7,13,17	relatively 26:19	47:14	sanitary 47:12,13
37:10,13,15 38:6	37:2 38:18	restaurant 23:3	47:16 59:8 61:19
38:17 39:7 40:4,6	remember 3:9	45:17	sat 17:11
40:16,19 41:3,9	10:20 44:2 50:1	restoration 67:15	satisfied 22:16
41:13 43:17 45:13	remove 44:9	restriction 61:8	saw 63:2
47:7 48:9 49:18	removed 44:19	restrictions 60:17	saying 27:6 55:9
50:1,16 52:3,16	repaved 64:1	retired 64:13	56:7 61:6 71:18
52:18 59:13,20	repaving 30:15	revolving 54:8	says 15:13 32:5
60:2,10 61:6,14	report 1:6 2:11,17	right 12:12,17	56:1 69:4,7
62:4,16 69:10,15	2:19 3:19 4:12,17	13:19 14:3,9 24:7	scale 50:5
72:9,16	4:19 5:16 6:1 8:1	25:11,19 26:21	scenario 14:11
rauch's 13:2 14:4	8:3 10:18 13:17	30:7 34:5 37:14	scenarios 6:8
15:14 32:6	15:17 16:2,12	41:6 42:8 44:16	schedule 62:8
ray 2:4 3:14 10:16	17:14 22:20 25:3	44:20 45:9,10	seal 77:13
28:17 32:5 49:18	28:11,17 32:10	47:15 48:19 55:20	season 62:8,9
51:10 53:4 62:3	42:5,10 50:15	59:5 60:3,9 61:7	second 23:6 64:12
65:7	72.3,10 30.13	37.3 00.3,9 01.7	75:20

[secretary - super]

	T		
secretary 46:6	share 24:12 42:6	smith 20:11	state 22:7 23:11
47:8	she'll 73:19	solution 17:18	39:16 41:12 48:21
section 13:19 37:1	sheet 73:15	18:21 58:14,14	54:8 77:1,2
sediment 25:17	sheets 62:5	solve 19:12	state's 39:1
26:17 27:1,7,13	shellfish 34:10,16	solving 19:12	station 9:3,5,11
see 2:17 23:12	34:20,21	somebody 40:20	10:6 21:1 26:4
25:1 30:14 36:21	shoots 33:15	someplace 51:21	29:4,12 32:12,13
43:2 48:3 55:12	shoulder 47:20	somewhat 42:18	32:14 33:1,4,13
55:18 59:18 68:1	showed 28:18 69:3	sorry 32:18 63:16	34:14 35:2 44:4
68:18 73:19	side 2:16,16 18:15	74:7	46:5,12 64:18
seeing 42:9	31:21 37:13 42:1	sort 58:11 63:7	65:16
seen 13:14 17:14	70:14 72:5	sounds 16:5 42:5	stations 29:14
28:9	sign 73:15	source 7:13	stay 75:14
self 69:12	signature 77:15	speak 13:8 16:15	steadfast 61:1
sells 74:18	significantly 12:5	17:9 59:21 63:6	stenographic 77:6
send 34:19	silt 26:20 27:3	speaker 73:13,15	stenographically
separate 28:1	similar 22:11	74:1,5,7,9 75:11	77:6
34:14,20 38:11	25:21 36:8 60:21	speaking 10:21	step 59:2 62:2
65:15	simplex 29:10	34:8	stepped 39:5
separated 21:8	simply 33:13 50:5	specific 25:4	stipulations 77:8
separately 13:6	62:17	specifically 3:20	stops 66:16
september 77:20	simultaneously	specs 64:11	storage 34:12 35:1
septic 18:4,5,8	58:10 59:2 62:10	speculation 19:21	70:20 71:10
19:18 20:19 64:15	single 46:12	speculations	strategies 8:18
64:21 65:2	sir 16:7 63:8 69:17	17:12,12	strategy 9:13 44:3
serious 47:21	69:19	spent 64:14 67:3	44:4 57:11
serve 9:15,16 23:2	sit 16:1 27:10	spoke 66:21	stream 7:13
29:2 40:10	62:12 68:17	spot 22:16	street 39:2
service 15:2,5	site 12:9 26:19	spray 7:14	strictly 19:16
18:9 39:17 41:2	27:4 28:18 33:19	spreadsheet 17:9	striving 22:4
serving 3:2	39:1 40:7 43:6	50:13	stuff 68:3
session 1:5 2:4	44:10,16 45:1,21	srf 54:10	subdivision 63:5
75:19,20 76:5	49:11 55:12	stage 68:14	63:10
set 42:1 56:13	situation 60:21	stand 63:8 73:14	submit 55:7
77:4	six 8:5 33:16,19	74:2,3,6	subsequent 5:9
setting 61:18	64:2	standalone 62:6	substantial 37:2
seven 27:21 34:10	size 20:13 36:19	standards 21:16	substantially 27:2
64:2	73:1	50:8 57:19	sued 63:12
sewage 21:21	sized 19:19 29:15	standing 3:1	sufficient 22:17
sewer 20:21 21:1	43:14 49:3	standpoint 10:9	39:9 41:8
24:10 26:12 30:12	sizes 20:12	60:11	suicide 45:15,16
30:20 57:16 72:2	slab 34:1,2	standstill 74:16	suitable 38:7
sewers 30:11	small 21:4 37:3	started 4:10,18	super 27:3
	38:18 71:16		
	1		1

[superior - typical]

	1	I	
superior 21:17	tank 29:12 34:11	thousand 64:9	60:3 61:17 62:11
supplier 30:2	66:5	three 7:8 10:19	63:17
supply 35:18	tanks 29:21 64:17	11:18 12:16 38:11	trappe's 55:14
support 38:20	65:14,15 66:5	58:4 64:7,13	treated 22:1
supported 17:21	tarred 64:1	tie 65:16	treatment 2:6 5:7
supporting 69:12	taxes 71:21	tien 8:20	7:7,8 8:21 10:5,13
supposed 23:13	tell 17:15 45:5	time 2:10,21 3:21	17:19 18:18 19:19
sure 25:9 43:13	64:4 68:8	4:9 5:20 7:21 11:1	20:1,3,7,7 21:2,11
44:13 49:7,18	telling 58:5	14:21 15:8 16:10	22:3,18 23:2
50:6 58:2	temporary 27:4	16:18 46:14 49:16	32:13,21 33:2,9
surrounding 23:3	ten 15:10,19 16:13	50:9 55:2 57:8	34:1 35:10,19
system 3:2 4:3	31:10,11 66:21	64:1 74:14 76:1	38:9 39:1 40:13
5:10 7:10 10:21	69:21 70:2,8	77:4	44:3 45:7,19 46:1
11:12 12:9 18:5	term 70:6	timeline 9:1,1	46:15 48:15 51:16
18:19 19:6 21:5	thank 3:15 24:14	times 12:8 23:12	52:1 53:14,16,19
21:10 22:12 26:9	63:19 69:16 76:4	23:16	55:13 56:3
30:12 32:2,20	thing 7:5 13:15,21	timing 52:19	treatments 5:4
33:7,11,12 43:6	14:21 35:20 45:20	54:20 61:14	trenched 30:17,17
44:10,16 47:11	47:9 51:14 52:19	today 3:11 16:15	32:2
49:11 60:14 62:6	54:5 57:9 62:16	42:3,13,16,20	tried 14:13
64:15,19,21 65:4	64:12 65:19 67:20	67:1 68:6 69:4	trouble 63:20
66:2 67:2,10,10	things 4:10 5:21	71:2	true 77:7
69:12 72:2,11,21	7:17 12:13 14:13	toilets 61:16	try 56:13 68:9
systems 5:8 18:4	43:13,20 44:2	told 9:15	trying 8:16,17
19:18	47:1 54:20 55:4	top 24:11	10:17 17:1 24:15
t	56:5 57:12 59:7	tossing 20:4	36:15 48:17 49:5
table 25:6 41:19	60:11 70:16	total 11:19 12:1	53:7 67:6 69:5
43:10,11 44:10,19	think 3:19 4:5,9	51:19,21	tss 52:7
take 8:10 38:1	4:18,21 5:2,3,4,9	town 3:4 7:3 8:6,9	turn 3:14 10:11
57:18 59:1 66:12	5:20 6:4,11 7:17	43:8 46:6,18,19	13:18
66:14 69:17 73:7	8:5,14,15 9:17,19	46:20 47:1,2,5	twice 23:9
taken 14:2 66:18	10:1,3 11:5,11	48:2 54:6 59:21	two 3:12 6:8 12:8
talbot 1:2 74:1,9	12:4 13:21 14:13	60:3,18 61:20	29:2,3,13 30:8
77:3	14:21 15:18,20	62:11	31:2 34:9 42:7
talk 5:19 7:18	18:2 19:4,9 28:17	town's 48:15	44:14 45:14 46:17
16:17 39:15 54:19	29:18 32:9,18	towns 60:21	50:16 52:1,11,14
57:8 60:16 68:6	36:17,18 37:16,19	transcribed 77:6	57:13 59:18,19
talked 71:12	43:2,20 44:7,11	transcript 2:1	61:15 62:7 64:17
talking 14:4 16:18	49:7,9,10 50:19	transcription 77:7	65:15 66:4 73:7
20:5 26:18 29:18	50:20 51:1,8,8	trappe 3:5 7:4 8:7	76:1
42:3 46:9 61:17	56:16 62:16 65:5	43:8 44:5,5 47:5	type 18:18 39:12
71:10	72:3 73:3	53:3,4 54:6,14	55:15
talks 13:14	thought 42:2	56:1,7 57:3 58:6	typical 64:19
13.14		58:11,16 59:21	

[typically - zoning]

typically 28:13	w	73:1	66:13 68:20 72:8
35:1 46:18	w 1:16	widened 64:8	72:15 73:14
u	wait 71:12	wilding 5:18 12:21	year 4:19,20 72:1
ultimate 58:13	waiting 42:13	13:3 18:13 23:4	years 34:9 46:17
ultimately 4:18	want 9:8 25:8	24:18 25:6,9,11	61:16 63:12 66:3
6:8 8:5,19,20	36:21 45:3 50:6	25:16 26:1,5	66:7
15:18 29:3 56:9	50:18 51:14 52:20	27:16 28:8 30:9	yellow 12:18
uncommon 36:21	55:11,18 61:2,3	30:19 32:17 33:19	13:19 17:6 32:5
underneath 3:7	70:11 71:4 75:5	34:3,10,17 35:4	yup 28:8
6:10,20	76:3	35:13,17 37:14,19	Z
understand 52:7	wanted 2:9 29:1	50:20 51:1,4 52:7	zoned 72:13,14,15
53:1 75:10,11,12	41:3,12 58:2	72:20	zoning 71:6,11
understanding	wants 23:12 31:8	willey 63:3,9,16	
55:14	74:19	63:16,19,20 65:14	
undevelopable	warren 68:18	65:18 66:1 67:18	
39:19	wash 35:19	68:5,20 69:3,16	
undeveloped 19:5	wastewater 2:6	75:3,13	
unique 6:21	4:3 6:6 7:10 8:8	willing 8:10 38:15	
unit 10:2 31:3,12	17:19 18:17,20	41:1 47:7 48:2	
units 10:1	19:19 20:18 22:1	75:4	
unplanned 72:12	22:3,5 23:1 24:19	willingness 44:6	
upgrade 54:3,4	33:14 38:5 39:17	winter 70:20	
57:19	40:13 42:14 45:6	71:10	
upsize 23:15	45:18,21 48:15	wise 42:21	
usages 71:4	51:5 52:1 55:13	witness 77:13	
usda 50:8	56:2,8 70:4 71:17	work 1:5 2:4 8:4 9:12 33:20 41:17	
use 26:11	water 22:2 27:1	56:13 64:6 75:18	
useable 39:3	35:18 38:2	75:20 76:5	
user 60:7	waters 18:6 33:9	worked 4:15	
users 52:13 60:7	way 4:6 17:2 21:9	working 7:6 17:8	
60:13 61:4	32:19 35:16 37:13	51:11	
uses 9:21	47:15 58:11 68:11	works 2:4	
usually 29:8 37:8	75:13 76:3 77:11	worth 64:5,10	
65:6	ways 30:8 31:11	wrap 62:1	
utilities 31:16	we've 8:3 9:15	writing 58:18	
46:19 60:18	22:7 26:21 29:19	wrong 30:7 70:7	
v	30:5 37:21 42:4		
vacant 9:18	45:20 46:7 48:6	y	
vacation 62:8	49:9 58:1,4 60:20	yacht 70:13	
valuation 17:16	week 46:8	yachts 40:3,5,6	
variety 46:2	weeks 59:18,19	yeah 6:19 7:1	
versus 5:6 10:10	60:12 62:7	12:21 16:16 28:20	
	went 22:19 38:19	29:20 40:6 63:3	
	54:10 66:6 67:14	65:10,11,12,20,21	

Veritext Legal Solutions 202-803-8830 -- 410-494-8300